Before Starting the Project Listings for the CoC Priority Listing

The CoC Consolidated Application requires TWO submissions. Both this Project Priority Listing AND the CoC Application MUST be completed and submitted prior to the CoC Program Competition submission deadline stated in the NOFO.

The CoC Priority Listing includes:
- Reallocation forms – must be completed if the CoC is reallocating eligible renewal projects to create new projects or if a project applicant will transition from an existing component to an eligible new component.
- Project Listings:

  - New;
  - Renewal;
  - UFA Costs;
  - CoC Planning;
  - YHPD Renewal; and
  - YHDP Replacement and Reallocation.
- Consolidations and Expansions Forms – must be completed if the CoC is consolidating eligible renewal projects or applying for new projects to expand the operations of eligible renewal projects.
- Attachment Requirement

  - HUD-2991, Certification of Consistency with the Consolidated Plan – Collaborative Applicants must attach an accurately completed, signed, and dated HUD-2991.

Things to Remember:
- New, CoC Renewal, YHPD Renewal, YHDP Replacement and Reallocation Project Listings – all project applications must be reviewed, approved and ranked, or rejected based on the local CoC competition process.
- Project applications on the following Project Listings must be approved and are not ranked per the FY 2026 CoC Program Competition NOFO:

  - UFA Costs Project Listing;
  - CoC planning Project Listing;
- Collaborative Applicants are responsible for ensuring all project applications accurately appear on the Project Listings and there are no project applications missing from one or more Project Listings.
- For each project application rejected by the CoC, the Collaborative Applicant must select the reason for the rejection from the dropdown provided.
- If the Collaborative Applicant needs to amend a project application for any reason, the Collaborative Applicant MUST ensure the amended project is returned to the applicable Project Listing AND ranked or approved BEFORE submitting the CoC Priority Listing to HUD in e-snaps.

Additional training resources are available online on HUD's website

1. Continuum of Care (CoC) Identification

Collaborative Applicant Name: North Dakota Housing Finance Agency

2. Reallocation

2-1 Is the CoC reallocating funds from one or more eligible renewal grant(s) that will expire in Calendar Year 2027 to create one or more new projects?	Yes

Alert:
As stated in the FY 2026 CoC Program Competition NOFO:
- CoCs may reallocate YHDP projects from any Round to create new YHDP Replacement projects.
- If a CoC reallocates funding from a renewal project that was previously awarded DV Bonus funding, any new project created with such funding must be 100 percent dedicated to serving individuals and families who are fleeing or attempting to flee domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, and stalking and who qualify as homeless under paragraphs (1) or (4) of the definition of homeless at 24 CFR 578.3 or Section 103(b) of the McKinney-Vento Homeless Assistance Act.

2A. Reallocation - Grant(s) Eliminated

CoCs reallocating eligible CoC Renewal, DV Renewal or YHDP renewal project funds to create new project application(s) may do so by eliminating one or more expiring eligible projects.

YHDP Renewal Grants and DV Renewal Grants may only be reallocated to create new projects that serve the same populations/subpopulations as the projects the funding was reallocated from.

Amount Available for New CoC Projects: (Sum of All Eliminated CoC Renewal Projects)
$422,613
Amount Available for New YHDP Projects: (Sum of All Eliminated YHDP Restricted Projects)
$0
Amount Available for New DV Projects: (Sum of All Eliminated DV Restricted Projects)
$0

Eliminated Project Name	Grant Number Eliminated	Component Type	Funding Type	Annual Renewal Amount	Transition Grant
Fraser, Ltd. Perm...	ND0031L8T002512	PH-PSH	CoC Renewal	$138,057	No
Prairie Harvest M...	ND0043L8T002509	PH-PSH	CoC Renewal	$97,678	Yes
Scattered Site PS...	ND0073L8T002503	PH-PSH	CoC Renewal	$186,878	Yes

Reallocation - Grant(s) Eliminated Details

2A-1 Complete each of the fields below for each eligible renewal grant that is being eliminated during the reallocation process.

Refer to the FY 2026 Grant Inventory Worksheet to ensure all information entered is accurate.

Eliminated Project Name:	Fraser, Ltd. Permanent Supportive Housing
Grant Number of Eliminated Project:	ND0031L8T002512
Eliminated Project Component Type:	PH-PSH
Funding Type:	CoC Renewal
Eliminated Project Annual Renewal Amount:	$138,057

2A-2. Describe how the CoC determined that this project should be eliminated and include the date the project applicant was notified. (limit 2500 characters)

Following the initial selection of the project for funding, the ND CoC received new information regarding unresolved HUD monitoring findings associated with the project applicant's administration of CoC Program funds. The findings have remained unresolved for approximately three years and include a required repayment of more than $164,000.

Because this information was not available to the Rating and Ranking Committee when it made its initial funding recommendations, the Committee reconvened to review the new information. After considering the nature and duration of the unresolved findings, the associated repayment obligation, and the resulting financial and grant-management risk, the Committee determined that the project should no longer be recommended for funding and withdrew its previous recommendation.

The project applicant was notified of the revised funding decision on September 23, 2026.

2A-3 Is the project being eliminated to create one or more transition grant applications? No

Reallocation - Grant(s) Eliminated Details

2A-1 Complete each of the fields below for each eligible renewal grant that is being eliminated during the reallocation process.

Refer to the FY 2026 Grant Inventory Worksheet to ensure all information entered is accurate.

Eliminated Project Name:	Prairie Harvest Mental Health Permanent Supportive Housing Program
Grant Number of Eliminated Project:	ND0043L8T002509
Eliminated Project Component Type:	PH-PSH
Funding Type:	CoC Renewal
Eliminated Project Annual Renewal Amount:	$97,678

2A-2. Describe how the CoC determined that this project should be eliminated and include the date the project applicant was notified. (limit 2500 characters)

This grant is transitioning from a PH-PSH grant to an SSO grant.

2A-3 Is the project being eliminated to create one or more transition grant applications?	Yes
How much funding will be made available for transition grants?	97678
How much funding will be made available for new Reallocation projects?	0
The Collaborative Applicant confirms the new transition grant(s) are for a new program component.	X
The Collaborative Applicant reviewed and affirms that no more than 50 percent of the funds in the new component project(s) will be for costs of eligible activities under the old component; and	X

The CoC consented to the transition of component and the new component project(s) meet the standards outlined in Section II.A.3.k of the NOFO	X

Reallocation - Grant(s) Eliminated Details

2A-1 Complete each of the fields below for each eligible renewal grant that is being eliminated during the reallocation process.

Refer to the FY 2026 Grant Inventory Worksheet to ensure all information entered is accurate.

Eliminated Project Name:	Scattered Site PSH Support Services FY2024
Grant Number of Eliminated Project:	ND0073L8T002503
Eliminated Project Component Type:	PH-PSH
Funding Type:	CoC Renewal
Eliminated Project Annual Renewal Amount:	$186,878

2A-2. Describe how the CoC determined that this project should be eliminated and include the date the project applicant was notified. (limit 2500 characters)

This grant is transitioning from a PH-PSH grant to an SSO grant.

2A-3 Is the project being eliminated to create one or more transition grant applications?	Yes
How much funding will be made available for transition grants?	186878
How much funding will be made available for new Reallocation projects?	0

The Collaborative Applicant confirms the new transition grant(s) are for a new program component.	X
The Collaborative Applicant reviewed and affirms that no more than 50 percent of the funds in the new component project(s) will be for costs of eligible activities under the old component; and	X
The CoC consented to the transition of component and the new component project(s) meet the standards outlined in Section II.A.3.k of the NOFO	X

2B. Reallocation - Grant(s) Reduced

CoCs that are reallocating eligible CoC Renewal, DV Renewal and YHDP Renewal project funds to create new project applications may do so by reducing one or more expiring eligible renewal projects.

YHDP Renewal Grants and DV Renewal Grants may only be reallocated to create new projects that serve the same populations/subpopulations as the projects the funding was reallocated from.

Amount Available for New CoC Project(s): (Sum of All Reduced CoC Projects)
$0
Amount available for New YHDP Project(s): (Sum of All Reduced YHDP Projects)
$0
Amount available for New DV Project(s): (Sum of All Reduced DV Projects)
$0

Reduced Project Name	Reduced Grant Number	Funding Type	Annual Renewal Amount	Amount Retained	Amount available for YHDP Project	Amount available for DV Project	Amount available for New Project	Reallocation Type
This list contains no items								

Continuum of Care (CoC) New Project Listing

Instructions:

To upload all new project applications submitted to this Project Listing, click the "Update List" button. This process may take a few minutes based on the number of new projects submitted by project applicant(s) to your CoC in the e-snaps. You may update each of the Project Listings simultaneously.

To review a project on the New Project Listing, click on the magnifying glass next to each project to view project details.

To view the actual project application, click on the orange folder.

If you identify errors in the project application(s), you can send the application back to the project applicant to make the necessary changes by clicking the amend icon. It is your sole responsibility to ensure all amended projects are resubmitted, approved and ranked or rejected on this project listing BEFORE submitting the CoC Priority Listing in e-snaps.

WARNING: If you amend project applications back to project applicants to make changes or corrections in e-snaps, you must approve the resubmitted project applications. If you do not approve the resubmitted project applications, they will not be included on your CoC's Priority Listings, which could result in your CoC losing funding. HUD lacks the authority to fund projects unless they are included on the Priority Listings, which informs HUD which projects your CoC is prioritizing.

Project Name	Date Submitted	Comp Type	Applicant Name	Budget Amount	Grant Term	Funding Type	Transition Grant	Expansion	Rank	PSH/RRH
FY26 Region IV SSO	2026-09-29 16:35:...	SSO	Grand Forks Housi...	$186,878	1 Year	Reallocation	Yes		9	
FY26 Grand Forks SSO	2026-09-29 17:06:...	SSO	Grand Forks Housi...	$97,678	1 Year	Reallocation	Yes		11	
Families First - SSO	2026-09-29 17:31:...	SSO	United Way of Gra...	$135,186	1 Year	Bonus + Reallocation	No	No	19	

Families First - RRH	2026-09-29 18:41:...	PH	United Way of Gra...	$135,186	1 Year	Bonus + Reallocation	No	No	18	RRH
Coordinated Care ...	2026-09-30 15:58:...	SSO	Ministry on the M...	$372,095	1 Year	Bonus + Reallocation	No	No	20	

Continuum of Care (CoC) Renewal Project Listing

Instructions:

Prior to starting the Renewal Project Listing, review the CoC Priority Listing Detailed Instructions available on HUD's website.

To upload all renewal project applications submitted to this Project Listing, click the "Update List" button. This process may take a few minutes based upon the number of renewal projects submitted by project applicant(s) to your CoC in the e-snaps system. You may update each of the Project Listings simultaneously. To review a project on the Renewal Project Listing, click on the magnifying glass next to each project to view project details. To view the actual project application, click on the orange folder. If you identify errors in the project application(s), you can send the application back to the project applicant to make necessary changes by clicking the amend icon. It is your sole responsibility for ensuring all amended projects are resubmitted, approved and ranked or rejected on this project listing BEFORE submitting the CoC Priority Listing in e-snaps.

The Collaborative Applicant certifies that there is a demonstrated need for all renewal permanent supportive housing and rapid re-housing projects listed on the Renewal Project Listing.	X
The Collaborative Applicant certifies all renewal permanent supportive housing and rapid rehousing projects listed on the Renewal Project Listing comply with program requirements and appropriate standards of quality and habitability.	X
The Collaborative Applicant does not have any renewal permanent supportive housing or rapid re-housing renewal projects.	

WARNING: If you amend project applications back to project applicants to make changes or corrections in e-snaps, you must approve the resubmitted project applications. If you do not approve the resubmitted project applications, they will not be included on your CoC's Priority Listings, which could result in your CoC losing funding. HUD lacks the authority to fund projects unless they are included on the Priority Listings, which informs HUD which projects your CoC is prioritizing.

Project Name	Date Submitted	Grant Term	Applicant Name	Budget Amount	Consolidation	Accepted?	Comp Type	Rank	Expansion	PSH/RRH	Consolidation Type
ND CE SSO Renewal...	2026-09-28 17:28:...	1 Year	Institute for Com...	$81,900		Yes	SSO	5			
Youthworks Bismar...	2026-09-28 20:22:...	1 Year	Mountain Plains Y...	$105,221		Yes	Joint TH & PH-RRH	17			
ND HMIS 2026	2026-09-28 17:24:...	1 Year	Institute for Com...	$241,271		Yes	HMIS	1			
RASHP Renewal FY2026	2026-09-29 09:08:...	1 Year	Fargo Housing and...	$322,150		Yes	PH	16		PSH	
YWCA Cass Clay SH...	2026-09-29 14:50:...	1 Year	YWCA Cass Clay	$155,258		Yes	PH	12		PSH	
YWCA Cass Clay Tr...	2026-09-29 14:45:...	1 Year	YWCA Cass Clay	$225,284		Yes	TH	6			
YWCA Cass Clay DV...	2026-09-29 14:32:...	1 Year	YWCA Cass Clay	$393,836		Yes	Joint TH & PH-RRH	4			
Bonnie's FY2026	2026-09-29 16:31:...	1 Year	Abused Adult Reso...	$5,291		Yes	PH	22		PSH	
DZ Hope House Tra...	2026-09-29 16:26:...	1 Year	Abused Adult Reso...	$83,561		Yes	TH	21			
Rapid Rehousing 2...	2026-09-29 16:24:...	1 Year	Abused Adult Reso...	$236,112		Yes	PH	15		RRH	
SW North Dakota S...	2026-09-30 11:14:...	1 Year	Women's Alliance,...	$39,197		Yes	TH	13			

Continuum of Care (CoC) Planning Project Listing

Instructions:

Prior to starting the CoC Planning Project Listing, review the CoC Priority Listing Detailed Instructions available on HUD's website.

To upload the CoC planning project application submitted to this Project Listing, click the "Update List" button. This process may take a few minutes while the project is located in the e-snaps system. You may update each of the Project Listings simultaneously. To review the CoC Planning Project Listing, click on the magnifying glass next to view the project details. To view the actual project application, click on the orange folder. If you identify errors in the project application, you can send the application back to the project applicant to make necessary changes by clicking the amend icon. It is your sole responsibility for ensuring all amended projects are resubmitted, approved and ranked or rejected on this project listing BEFORE submitting the CoC Priority Listing in e-snaps.

Only one CoC planning project application can be submitted and only by the Collaborative Applicant designated by the CoC which must match the Collaborative Applicant information on the CoC Applicant Profile.

WARNING: If you amend project applications back to project applicants to make changes or corrections in e-snaps, you must approve the resubmitted project applications. If you do not approve the resubmitted project applications, they will not be included on your CoC's Priority Listings, which could result in your CoC losing funding. HUD lacks the authority to fund projects unless they are included on the Priority Listings, which informs HUD which projects your CoC is accepting.

Project Name	Date Submitted	Grant Term	Applicant Name	Budget Amount	Accepted?
ND-500 CoC Planni...	2026-09-29 15:42:...	1 Year	North Dakota Hous...	$168,137	Yes

Continuum of Care (CoC) YHDP Renewal Project Listing

Instructions:

Prior to starting the YHDP Renewal Project Listing, review the CoC Priority Listing Detailed Instructions available on HUD's website.

To upload all YHDP Renewal project applications submitted to this Project Listing, click the "Update List" button. This process may take a few minutes based upon the number of YHDP Renewal projects submitted by project applicant(s) to your CoC in the e-snaps system.

You may update each of the Project simultaneously. To review a project on the YHDP Renewal Project Listing, click on the magnifying glass next to each project to view project details. To view the actual project application, click on the orange folder. If you identify errors in the project application(s), you can send the application back to the project applicant to make necessary changes by clicking the amend icon. It is your sole responsibility for ensuring all amended projects are resubmitted, approved and ranked (if applicable) or rejected on this project listing BEFORE submitting the CoC Priority Listing in e-snaps.

As stated in the FY 2026 CoC NOFO, YHDP Renewal and YHDP Replacement applications are competitive and must be ranked.

The Collaborative Applicant certifies that there is a demonstrated need for all renewal permanent supportive housing and rapid rehousing projects listed on the YHDP Renewal Project Listing.	
The Collaborative Applicant certifies all renewal permanent supportive housing and rapid rehousing projects listed on the YHDP Renewal Project Listing comply with program requirements and appropriate standards of quality and habitability.	
The Collaborative Applicant does not have any renewal permanent supportive housing or rapid rehousing YHDP renewal projects.	X

WARNING: If you amend project applications back to project applicants to make changes or corrections in e-snaps, you must approve the resubmitted project applications. If you do not approve the resubmitted project applications, they will not be included on your CoC's Priority Listings, which could result in your CoC losing funding. HUD lacks the authority to fund projects unless they are included on the Priority Listings, which informs HUD which projects your CoC is accepting.

Project Name	Date Submitted	Applicant Name	Budget Amount	Comp Type	Grant Term	Accepted ?	Rank	PSH/RRH	Consolidation Type
ND Renewal YHDP 2026	2026-09-28 17:28:...	Institute for Com...	$54,600	HMIS	1 Year	Yes	2		
Youthworks Fargo ...	2026-09-28 20:33:...	Mountain Plains Y...	$135,787	Joint TH & PH-RRH	1 Year	Yes	10		
Youthworks Minot ...	2026-09-28 20:39:...	Mountain Plains Y...	$314,372	Joint TH & PH-RRH	1 Year	Yes	3		
Youthworks YHDP Y...	2026-09-28 20:45:...	Mountain Plains Y...	$108,372	SSO	1 Year	Yes	7		
FY26 YHDP Reg IV ...	2026-09-29 15:50:...	Grand Forks Housi...	$218,112	SSO	1 Year	Yes	14		
PSND Homeless Con...	2026-09-29 18:57:...	Pathfinder Servic...	$219,794	SSO	1 Year	Yes	8		

Project Applicant Project Details

Project Name: ND Renewal YHDP 2026
Project Number: 236884
Date Submitted: 2026-09-28 17:28:10.827
Applicant Name Institute for Community Alliances
Budget Amount $54,600
Component Type HMIS
Grant Term 1 Year

Instructions

This form provides the basic information for the YHDP Renewal project application that was submitted to your CoC for review.

YHDP Replacement and YHDP Reallocation project applications will renew competitively and must be ranked in the FY 2026 CoC Program and YHDP Competition.

Based on the CoC local competition process that includes the rating and ranking or rejection of projecs, answer "Yes" or "No" to the question "Do you want to rank this project?" and complete the remaining fields:

If “Yes” is selected, click “Save” and a new field labeled “Rank” will appear where you must enter a unique rank number for the project application, then click “Save & Back to List.”

If “No” is selected, click “Save.” A new drop-down menu will appear asking for the reason the CoC rejected the project application. Select the appropriate response from the list and then click “Save & Back to List."

Do you want to rank this project? (Make selection and click the 'save' button below) Yes
Rank 2

Project Applicant Project Details

Project Name: Youthworks Fargo YHDP TH-RRH 2026
Project Number: 230511
Date Submitted: 2026-09-28 20:33:58.956
Applicant Name Mountain Plains Youth Services Inc.

Budget Amount $135,787
Component Type Joint TH & PH-RRH
Grant Term 1 Year

Instructions

This form provides the basic information for the YHDP Renewal project application that was submitted to your CoC for review.

YHDP Replacement and YHDP Reallocation project applications will renew competitively and must be ranked in the FY 2026 CoC Program and YHDP Competition.

Based on the CoC local competition process that includes the rating and ranking or rejection of projecs, answer "Yes" or "No" to the question "Do you want to rank this project?" and complete the remaining fields:

If “Yes” is selected, click “Save” and a new field labeled “Rank” will appear where you must enter a unique rank number for the project application, then click “Save & Back to List.”

If “No” is selected, click “Save.” A new drop-down menu will appear asking for the reason the CoC rejected the project application. Select the appropriate response from the list and then click “Save & Back to List."

Do you want to rank this project? (Make selection and click the 'save' button below) Yes
Rank 10

Project Applicant Project Details

Project Name: Youthworks Minot YHDP TH-RRH 2026
Project Number: 230510
Date Submitted: 2026-09-28 20:39:56.187
Applicant Name Mountain Plains Youth Services Inc.
Budget Amount $314,372
Component Type Joint TH & PH-RRH
Grant Term 1 Year

Instructions

This form provides the basic information for the YHDP Renewal project application that was submitted to your CoC for review.

YHDP Replacement and YHDP Reallocation project applications will renew competitively and must be ranked in the FY 2026 CoC Program and YHDP Competition.

Based on the CoC local competition process that includes the rating and ranking or rejection of projecs, answer "Yes" or "No" to the question "Do you want to rank this project?" and complete the remaining fields:

If “Yes” is selected, click “Save” and a new field labeled “Rank” will appear where you must enter a unique rank number for the project application, then click “Save & Back to List.”

If “No” is selected, click “Save.” A new drop-down menu will appear asking for the reason the CoC rejected the project application. Select the appropriate response from the list and then click “Save & Back to List."

Do you want to rank this project? (Make selection and click the 'save' button below) Yes

Rank 3

Project Applicant Project Details

Project Name: Youthworks YHDP Youth Homeless Navigation SSO 2026

Project Number: 230512

Date Submitted: 2026-09-28 20:45:42.911

Applicant Name Mountain Plains Youth Services Inc.

Budget Amount $108,372

Component Type SSO

Grant Term 1 Year

Instructions

This form provides the basic information for the YHDP Renewal project application that was submitted to your CoC for review.

YHDP Replacement and YHDP Reallocation project applications will renew competitively and must be ranked in the FY 2026 CoC Program and YHDP Competition.

Based on the CoC local competition process that includes the rating and ranking or rejection of projecs, answer "Yes" or "No" to the question "Do you want to rank this project?" and complete the remaining fields:

If “Yes” is selected, click “Save” and a new field labeled “Rank” will appear where you must enter a unique rank number for the project application, then click “Save & Back to List.”

If “No” is selected, click “Save.” A new drop-down menu will appear asking for the reason the CoC rejected the project application. Select the appropriate response from the list and then click “Save & Back to List."

Do you want to rank this project? Yes
(Make selection and click the 'save' button below)

Rank 7

Project Applicant Project Details

Project Name: FY26 YHDP Reg IV System Navigation
Project Number: 240139
Date Submitted: 2026-09-29 15:50:22.702
Applicant Name Grand Forks Housing Authority
Budget Amount $218,112
Component Type SSO
Grant Term 1 Year

Instructions

This form provides the basic information for the YHDP Renewal project application that was submitted to your CoC for review.

YHDP Replacement and YHDP Reallocation project applications will renew competitively and must be ranked in the FY 2026 CoC Program and YHDP Competition.

Based on the CoC local competition process that includes the rating and ranking or rejection of projecs, answer "Yes" or "No" to the question "Do you want to rank this project?" and complete the remaining fields:

If “Yes” is selected, click “Save” and a new field labeled “Rank” will appear where you must enter a unique rank number for the project application, then click “Save & Back to List.”

If “No” is selected, click “Save.” A new drop-down menu will appear asking for the reason the CoC rejected the project application. Select the appropriate response from the list and then click “Save & Back to List."

Do you want to rank this project? Yes
(Make selection and click the 'save' button below)

Rank 14

Project Applicant Project Details

Project Name: PSND Homeless Connections
Project Number: 240373
Date Submitted: 2026-09-29 18:57:34.189
Applicant Name Pathfinder Services of ND, Inc.
Budget Amount $219,794
Component Type SSO
Grant Term 1 Year

Instructions

This form provides the basic information for the YHDP Renewal project application that was submitted to your CoC for review.

YHDP Replacement and YHDP Reallocation project applications will renew competitively and must be ranked in the FY 2026 CoC Program and YHDP Competition.

Based on the CoC local competition process that includes the rating and ranking or rejection of projecs, answer "Yes" or "No" to the question "Do you want to rank this project?" and complete the remaining fields:

If “Yes” is selected, click “Save” and a new field labeled “Rank” will appear where you must enter a unique rank number for the project application, then click “Save & Back to List.”

If “No” is selected, click “Save.” A new drop-down menu will appear asking for the reason the CoC rejected the project application. Select the appropriate response from the list and then click “Save & Back to List."

Do you want to rank this project? (Make selection and click the 'save' button below) Yes

Rank 8

Continuum of Care (CoC) YHDP Replacement and YHDP Reallocation Listing

Instructions:

Prior to starting the YHDP Replacement and YHDP Reallocation Project Listing, review the CoC Priority Listing Detailed Instructions available on HUD's website.

To upload all YHDP Replacement project and YHDP Reallocation project applications, submitted to this Project Listing, click the "Update List" button. This process may take a few minutes based upon the number of YHDP renewal projects submitted by project applicant(s) to your CoC in the e-snaps system.

You may update each of the projects simultaneously. To review a project on the YHDP Replacement and YHDP Reallocation Project Listing, click on the magnifying glass next to each project to view project details. To view the actual project application, click on the orange folder. If you identify errors in the project application(s), you can send the application back to the project applicant to make necessary changes by clicking the amend icon. It is your sole responsibility for ensuring all amended projects are resubmitted, approved and ranked (if applicable) or rejected on this project listing BEFORE submitting the CoC Priority Listing in e-snaps.

As stated in the FY 2026 CoC NOFO, YHDP Renewal and YHDP Replacement applications are competitive and must be ranked.

WARNING: If you amend project applications back to project applicants to make changes or corrections in e-snaps, you must approve the resubmitted project applications. If you do not approve the resubmitted project applications, they will not be included on your CoC's Priority Listings, which could result in your CoC losing funding. HUD lacks the authority to fund projects unless they are included on the Priority Listings, which informs HUD which projects your CoC is accepting.

Project Name	Applicant Name	Date Submitted	Comp Type	Expansion	Budget Amount	Grant Term	Funding Type	PSH/RRH	Rank
This list contains no items									

4. Consolidation & Expansion

Instructions:

For guidance on completing the CoC Priority listing, please reference the CoC Priority Listing Detailed Instructions on HUD's website.

1. Is the CoC consolidating renewal projects that will expire in Calendar Year 2027?	No
2. Is the CoC expanding renewal projects that will expire in Calendar Year 2027?	No

Funding Summary

Instructions

This page provides the total budget summaries for each of the project listings after you approved and ranked or rejected new and renewal project applications. You must review this page to ensure the totals for each of the categories is accurate.

The "Total CoC Request" indicates the total funding request amount your CoC will submit to HUD for funding consideration. As stated previously, only 1 UFA Cost project application (for UFA designated Collaborative Applicants only) and only 1 CoC Planning project application can be submitted and only the Collaborative Applicant designated by the CoC is eligible to request these funds.

Title	Total Amount
CoC Renewal Amount	$1,889,081
New CoC Bonus and CoC Reallocation Amount	$927,023
New DV Bonus Amount	$0
New DV Reallocation Amount	$0
YHDP Renewal and Replacement Amount	$1,051,037
YHDP Reallocation Amount	$0
CoC Planning Amount	$168,137
Rejected Amount	$0
Total Funding Request	$4,035,278

Attachments

Document Type	Required?	Document Description	Date Attached
Certification of Consistency with the Consolidated Plan (HUD-2991)	Yes	Certification of ...	09/24/2026
Other	No		
Other	No		
Project Rating and Ranking Tool (optional)	No	Project Rating an...	09/29/2026

Attachment Details

Document Description: Certification of Consistency with the Consolidated Plan

Attachment Details

Document Description:

Attachment Details

Document Description:

Attachment Details

Document Description: Project Rating and Ranking Tool

Submission Summary

WARNING: The FY 2026 CoC Consolidated Application requires submissions of the CoC Priority Listing AND the CoC Application by the FY 2026 Application Submission Deadline.

WARNING: The FY 2026 CoC Consolidated Application requires submissions of the CoC Priority Listing AND the CoC Application by the FY 2026 Application Submission Deadline.

Page	Last Updated
Before Starting	No Input Required
1. Collaborative Applicant	09/29/2026
2. Reallocation	09/29/2026
2A. Grant(s) Eliminated	09/30/2026
2B. Grant(s) Reduced	No Input Required
3A. CoC New Project Listing	09/30/2026
3B. CoC Renewal Project Listing	09/30/2026
3D. CoC Planning Project Listing	09/29/2026
3E. YHDP Renewal Project Listing	09/30/2026

3F. YHDP Replacement and YHDP Reallocation Project Listing	No Input Required
4. Consolidation & Expansion	09/29/2026
Funding Summary	No Input Required
Attachments	09/29/2026
Submission Summary	No Input Required

U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development

Certification of Consistency Plan with the Consolidated Plan for the Continuum of Care Program Competition

I certify the proposed activities included in the Continuum of Care (CoC) project application(s) is consistent with the jurisdiction's currently approved Consolidated Plan.

Applicant Name: _____

Project Name: _____

Location of the Project: _____

Name of
Certifying Jurisdiction: _____

Certifying Official
of the Jurisdiction Name: _____

Title: _____

Signature: 

Date: _____

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 3.0 hours per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, completing the form, attaching a list of projects if submitting one form per jurisdiction, obtaining local jurisdiction's signature, and uploading to the electronic e-snaps CoC Consolidated Application. This agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection information unless that collection displays a valid OMB control number.

Privacy Act Statement. This form does not collect SSN information. The Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) is authorized to collect all the information required by this form under 24 CFR part 91, 24 CFR Part 578, and is authorized by the McKinney-Vento Act, as amended by S. 896 The Homeless Emergency Assistance and Rapid Transition to Housing (HEARTH) Act of 2009 (42 U.S.C. 11371 et seq.).

HUD considers the completion of this form, including the local jurisdiction(s) authorizing official's signature, as confirmation the project application(s) proposed activities submitted to HUD in the CoC Program Competition are consistent with the jurisdiction's Consolidated Plan and, if the project applicant is a state or unit of local government, that the jurisdiction is following its Consolidated Plan per the requirement of 24 CFR part 91. Failure to either submit one form per project or one form with a listing of project information for each field (i.e., name of applicant, name of project, location of project) will result in a technical deficiency notification that must be corrected within the number of days designated by HUD, and further failure to provide missing or incomplete information will result in project application removal from the review process and rejection in the competitive process.

Instructions for completing the HUD-2991, Certification of Consistency with the Consolidated Plan

The following information must be completed by the Continuum of Care's designated Collaborative Applicant. If the CoC has multiple projects, it may complete a single HUD-2991 for the jurisdiction provided the Collaborative Applicant includes a list of all projects with applicant names, project names, and locations that will be submitted to HUD with the form when forwarding to the jurisdiction for signature. If there are multiple jurisdictions located within a CoC's geographic area, it must obtain a signed HUD-2991 for each jurisdiction where projects are located.

Completed by the CoC's Collaborative Applicant:

Applicant Name. Enter the name of the project applicant's organization.

Project Name. Enter the name of the project application that will be submitted to HUD in the Continuum of Care Program Competition.

Location of the Project. Enter the physical address of the project; however, if the project is designated as a domestic violence project, enter a P.O. Box or address of the main administrative office provided it is not the same address as the project.

Name of Certifying Jurisdiction. Enter the name of jurisdiction that will review the project information and certify consistency with the Consolidated Plan (e.g., City of…, County, State).

Must be completed by the certifying jurisdiction.

Certifying Official of the Jurisdiction. Enter the name of the official who will sign the form.

Title. Enter the official title of the certifying official (e.g., mayor, county judge, state official).

Signature. The certifying official is to sign the form.

Date. Enter the date the certifying official signs the form.

Applicant Name	Project Name	Project Location
Abused Adult Resource Center	Bonnie's Apartments	PO Box 5003, Bismarck, ND 58502
Abused Adult Resource Center	DZ Hope House	PO Box 5003, Bismarck, ND 58502
Abused Adult Resource Center	Rapid Rehousing (Hope Stability/Bismarck)	PO Box 5003, Bismarck, ND 58502
Fargo Housing and Redevelopment Authority	RASHP Renewal FY26	325 Broadway, Fargo, ND 58102
Grand Forks Housing Authority	FY26 Grand Forks SSO	1405 1st Ave N, Grand Forks, ND 58203
Grand Forks Housing Authority	FY26 Region IV SSO	1405 1st Ave N, Grand Forks, ND 58203
Grand Forks Housing Authority	FY26 YHDP Reg. IV System Navigation	1405 1st Ave N, Grand Forks, ND 58203
Institute for Community Alliances	HMIS	1111 9th St, Ste 380, Des Moines, IA 50314
Institute for Community Alliances	ND CE SSO Renewal Project	1111 9th St, Ste 380, Des Moines, IA 50314
Institute for Community Alliances	ND Renewal YHDP Project Application	1111 9th St, Ste 380, Des Moines, IA 50314
Ministry on the Margins	Coordinated Care Across the Margins	201 N 24th St, Bismarck, ND 58501
Mountain Plains Youth Services Coalition	CoC Joint TH/RRH Bismarck	217 W Rosser Ave, Bismarck, ND 58501
Mountain Plains Youth Services Coalition	YHDP SSO	217 W Rosser Ave, Bismarck, ND 58501
Mountain Plains Youth Services Coalition	YHDP TH/RRH Fargo	217 W Rosser Ave, Bismarck, ND 58501
Mountain Plains Youth Services Coalition	YHDP TH/RRH Minot	217 W Rosser Ave, Bismarck, ND 58501
Pathfinder Services of ND	YHDP Pathways to Partnership	1412 2nd Ave SW, Ste 6, Minot, ND 58701
United Way of Grand Forks, East Grand Forks, & Area	Families First - RRH	1013 N 5th St, Grand Forks, ND 58203
United Way of Grand Forks, East Grand Forks, & Area	Families First - SSO	1013 N 5th St, Grand Forks, ND 58203
Women's Alliance, Inc. DBA: Domestic Violence and Rape Crisi	SW North Dakota Supportive Housing Project	PO Box 1081, Dickinson, ND 58601
YWCA Cass Clay	YWCA Cass Clay DV	4650 38th Ave S, Ste 110, Fargo, ND 58104
YWCA Cass Clay	YWCA Cass Clay SHP Consolidated	4650 38th Ave S, Ste 110, Fargo, ND 58104
YWCA Cass Clay	YWCA Cass Clay Transitional Housing	4650 38th Ave S, Ste 110, Fargo, ND 58104

Application Information	
Applicant Name	
Funding Type (1A)	
Name of Project (1D)	
Amount Requested (1G)	
Consolidate/Expand (1K)	
Project Type (3A)	
100% Dedicated/ DedicatedPLUS (3C)	
Units/Beds (4B)	
Primary Contact	
Email Address	
Phone Number	
UIE Number	

Overview of Scoring		
Summary of Factors	**Possible Points**	**Reviewer s Score**
Agency Wide Scoring	**23**	**0**
ND CoC Participation	11	0
ND CoC Priority	5	0
Client Participation in Project Design	7	0
Project-Specific Scoring	**88**	**0**
HUD Scoring	5	0
Project Design	41	0
Financial Capacity & Monitoring	25	0
HMIS & Data Quality	12	0
Coordinated Entry	5	0
Total Possible Points	**111**	**0**

AGENCY WIDE SCORING

Category	Question	Possible Points	Scoring Considerations	CoC Criteria Score	Reviewer s Score	Reviewer s Comments	Source Documentation/ Attachment
ND CoC Participation	Does the agency participate in the ND CoC and local efforts to address homelessness? (select one)	3	ND CoC member AND member of ND CoC committee AND active participation in local coalition/homeless response efforts = 3 ND CoC member AND member of ND CoC committee = 2 ND CoC Member = 1				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score
ND CoC Participation	Did agency staff participate in ND CoC meetings in the previous 12 months? *(select one)*	2	Staff participated in 100% of CoC quarterly meetings = 2 Staff participated in at least 50% of CoC quarterly meetings = 1 Staff participated in less than 50% of quarterly meetings = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score
ND CoC Participation	Did agency staff participate in ND CoC monthly trainings in the previous 12 months? *(select one)*	3	Staff participated in 100% of CoC monthly trainings = 3 Staff participated in at least 50% of CoC monthly trainings = 2 Staff participated in less than 50% of CoC monthly trainings = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score
ND CoC Participation	Did the agency participate in the unsheltered PIT Count for 2026? If no, explain why not.	2	Yes = 2 No = 0 Detailed explanation demonstrating reasonable circumstances or barriers to participation = 1				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score
ND CoC Participation	Did the agency submit PIT/HIC sheltered/housing information by the submission due date?	1	Yes = 1 No = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score
Category	**Question**	**Possible Points**	**Scoring Considerations**	**CoC Criteria Score**	**Reviewer s Score**	**Reviewer s Comments**	**Source Documentation/ Attachment**
ND CoC Priority	Does the project serve geographic areas of the state identified by the ND CoC as underserved or without existing CoC-funded housing/services projects? If yes, identify the region(s) the project will serve and describe how services and housing assistance will be provided within the identified area(s).	5	Serves area with no existing CoC-funded housing/services projects = 5 Expands services in historically underserved region with limited inventory = 3 Does not address identified geographic gap = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Category	**Question**	**Possible Points**	**Scoring Considerations**	**CoC Criteria Score**	**Reviewer s Score**	**Reviewer s Comments**	**Source Documentation/ Attachment**
Client Participation in Project Design	Does the agency engage individuals with lived experience in service design, project implementation, or organizational decision-making through any of the following strategies? (answer each statement; one point per strategy, up to 7 points)	7	7 strategies = 7 6 strategies = 6 5 strategies = 5 4 strategies = 4 3 strategies = 3 2 strategies = 2 1 strategy = 1 0 strategies = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application

PROJECT SPECIFIC SCORING - NEW - PERMANENT SUPPORTIVE HOUSING

Category	Question	Possible Points	Scoring Considerations (Per the NOFO, applicants must score 3 out of 5 in this category to be considered for funding.)	CoC Criteria Score	Reviewer s Score	Reviewer s Comments	Source Documentation/ Attachment
HUD Scoring	Explain how the type of housing proposed, including the number and configuration of units, will fit the needs of the program participants	1	Detailed description of proposed project = 1 Limited explanation = 0.5 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
HUD Scoring	Explain how the type of supportive services and assistance that will be offered to program participants will ensure that the participant is able to successfully obtain and retain permanent housing in a manner that fits their needs (e.g., transportation, safety planning, enhanced case management). If this is an expansion grant request, the applicant must also demonstrate how they are expanding supportive services to program participants, including where appropriate, on-site supportive services.	1	Detailed description of proposed project = 1 Limited explanation = 0.5 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
HUD Scoring	Will the project serve homeless individuals or families with a disability in accordance with 24 CFR 578.37(a)(1)(i)?	1	Yes = 1 No = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application

HUD Scoring	Are the services provided cost-effective and consistent with 2 CFR 200.404?	1	Yes = 1 No = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
HUD Scoring	Will the project be supplemented with resources from other public or private sources, that may include mainstream health, social, and employment programs such as Medicare, Medicaid, SSI, and SNAP?	1	Yes = 1 No = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Category	**Question**	**Possible Points**	**Scoring Considerations**	**CoC Criteria Score**	**Reviewer s Score**	**Reviewer s Comments**	**Source Documentation/ Attachment**
In this section: **Full Points = Response is detailed, project-specific, and demonstrates a clear operational plan** **Partial Points = Response addresses the topic but lacks sufficient detail or implementation strategy** **Zero Points = Response is missing, unrelated or does not demonstrate an adequate plan**							
Project Design	Provide a description that addresses the proposed project's scope, including the target population and the plan for addressing the needs of the target population. *(Limit 2,500 characters)*	5	Full Points = 5 Partial Points = 3 Zero Points = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Project Design	Describe the project's strategy to address the following: Promote access to housing while supporting participant engagement, accountability, and self-sufficiency Identify, recruit, retain, and support landlords to promote long-term housing stability Administer housing assistance, rental assistance, or move-in assistance, as applicable Provide supportive services to participants to assist in locating housing Emphasize client choice *(Limit 2,500 characters)*	10	Full Points = 10 Partial Points = 5 Zero Points = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Project Design	Explain how the project's services will help participants stabilize in permanent housing. This may include: Assisting participants in obtaining mainstream benefits and employment programs Financial planning/budgeting support, life skills support, or classes Transportation assistance Use peer support specialists or staff with lived experience Planning for exits to and stabilization in permanent housing Improve safety for survivors of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, stalking, and/or trafficking. *(Limit 2,500 characters)*	10	Full Points = 10 Partial Points = 5 Zero Points = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Project Design	Explain how the project will be staffed, including training for staff to meet the needs of the population to be served (e.g., motivational interviewing, trauma-informed care, etc.), and if the agency will focus on hiring people with lived experience. *(Limit 2,500 characters)*	5	Full Points = 5 Partial Points = 2 Zero Points = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Project Design	Describe how the project will provide services to assist participants in the following: Housing retention Follow up after placement or program exit Avoiding involuntary project exits and the steps that will be taken to ensure a client-centered housing-focused approach designed to avoid involuntary exits *(Limit 2,500 characters)*	5	Full Points = 5 Partial Points = 2 Zero Points = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Project Design	Explain how the project will be available and accessible to persons experiencing homelessness and how the agency will take proactive steps to promote fair access to housing, taking into consideration the following: Serving all eligible households Ensuring participants receive reasonable accommodations whenever they are needed Ensuring participants know their housing rights and are protected from discrimination, including through reasonable accommodations and applicable fair housing protections. *(Limit 2,500 characters)*	3	Full Points = 3 Partial Points = 1 Zero Points = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Project Design	Explain how the project will address the behavioral health and substance use service needs of participants, including whether treatment requirements apply, if applicable. Include any applicable project policies, occupancy agreements, referral partnerships, or service coordination strategies. (Limit 2,500 characters) If treatment participation is required, attach applicable occupancy agreements or policies.	3	Full Points = 3 Partial Points = 1 Zero Points = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application Attachment: Agreement(s)
Category	**Question**	**Possible Points**	**Scoring Considerations**	**CoC Criteria Score**	**Reviewer s Score**	**Reviewer s Comments**	**Source Documentation/ Attachment**
Financial Capacity & Monitoring	Does the agency have experience administering CoC, ESG, or NDHG funding, either currently or previously? *(2 points per funding source)*	6	CoC funding = 2 ESG funding = 2 NDHG funding = 2 No experience = 0				Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Financial Capacity & Monitoring	Does the agency have experience managing other federal, state, or local housing, homeless assistance, behavioral health, youth, victim services, or human service grants? Experience must demonstrate administration, oversight, fiscal management, or operational responsibility for grant-funded programs. Examples may include RHY, PATH, HUD housing programs, VA-funded programs, TANF-funded services, domestic violence funding, SAMHSA grants, or other comparable grant programs.	3	Extensive relevant grant management experience = 3 Moderate relevant grant management experience = 2 Limited relevant grant management experience = 1 No relevant experience = 0				

Financial Capacity & Monitoring	What total percentage of funds were unexpended for CoC-, ESG-, or NDHG-funded projects in FY24? *(select one)* If the agency had 5% or more of unexpended funds in CoC-, ESG-, or NDHG-funded grants, explain why funds were not expended. If this is a new HUD or ND state-funded grant, explain your projected spend-down date and if you are on track for the total expenditure of funds. *(Limit 750 characters)*	5	0-1% = 5 2-4% = 3 5% or more = 0 Detailed and relevant explanation = 2 Limited explanation = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score & ND CoC Competition Application
Financial Capacity & Monitoring	Did the agency make the required drawdowns for CoC-, ESG-, and/or NDHG-funded projects?	2	Yes = 2 No = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score
Financial Capacity & Monitoring	Describe the agency's financial management systems and internal controls used to administer public funding, including financial oversight, accounting systems, segregation of duties, grant monitoring, and fiscal staffing structure. (Limit 1,500 characters)	3	Detailed description demonstrating strong financial management systems & oversight capacity = 3 Limited explanation = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0				
Financial Capacity & Monitoring **Financial Capacity & Monitoring**	Is the agency free of any HUD monitoring findings for CoC- or ESG-funded projects? If the agency has HUD monitoring findings, explain the findings, if they are resolved, or the plan, including the timeline, to resolve the findings. *(Limit 750 characters)*	2	Yes = 2 No = 0 Findings resolved or corrective action completed with documentation/timeline provided = 2 Findings not fully resolved, but agency demonstrates an active corrective action plan and timeline = 1 Missing, insufficient, or unrelated response = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score
Financial Capacity & Monitoring **Financial Capacity & Monitoring**	Is the agency free of NDHFA monitoring findings for any CoC-, ESG-, or NDHG-funded projects? If the agency has NDHFA monitoring findings, explain the findings, if they are resolved, or the plan, including the timeline, to resolve the findings. *(Limit 750 characters)*	2	Yes = 2 No = 0 Findings resolved or corrective action completed with documentation/timeline provided = 2 Findings not fully resolved, but agency demonstrates an active corrective action plan and timeline = 1 Missing, insufficient, or unrelated response = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score
Financial Capacity & Monitoring **Financial Capacity & Monitoring**	Is the agency free of findings in its most recent financial audit? If the agency has findings in their most recent financial audit, explain whether they are resolved, or the plan, including the timeline, to resolve the findings. *(Limit 750 characters)*	2	Yes = 2 No = 0 Findings resolved or corrective action completed with documentation/timeline provided = 2 Findings not fully resolved, but agency demonstrates an active corrective action plan and timeline = 1 Missing, insufficient, or unrelated response = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application

Category	Question	Possible Points	Scoring Considerations	CoC Criteria Score	Reviewer s Score	Reviewer s Comments	Source Documentation/ Attachment
HMIS & Data Quality	Does the agency use HMIS or a comparable database?	2	Yes = 2 No = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
HMIS & Data Quality	Does the agency enter at least 90% of HMIS project start records within 10 days of participant project start dates? If less than 90% of HMIS project start records were entered within 10 days, explain the barriers contributing to delayed data entry and describe the steps the agency will take to improve HMIS data entry timeliness. *(Limit 750 characters)*	2	90-100% of records entered within 10 days = 2 Less than 90% of records entered within 10 days = 0 Detailed explanation and improvement plan = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated response = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score Attachment: Annual Performance Report
HMIS & Data Quality	Does the agency maintain a combined HMIS data quality error rate of less than 3%? If the agency has 6% or higher, explain why and how the agency will improve data completeness. *(Limit 750 characters)*	2	Less than 3% = 2 3-5.99% = 1 6% or higher = 0 Detailed explanation and improvement plan = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated response = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score Attachment: Annual Performance Report
HMIS & Data Quality	What percentage of participants exited to positive or permanent housing destinations in HMIS-participating housing projects? Positive and permanent housing destinations shall be defined consistent with HUD APR Q23c calculations. If less than 60% of participants exited to positive or permanent housing destinations, explain the barriers and how the agency will improve housing outcomes. *(Limit 750 characters)*	3	80-100% = 3 60-79% = 2 40-59% = 1 Less than 40% = 0 Detailed explanation and improvement plan = 2 Unacceptable/unrelated response = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score Attachment: Annual Performance Report
HMIS & Data Quality	What is the agency's total destination error rate? If the agency has an error rate of 10% or higher, please explain how the agency will improve errors. *(Limit 750 characters)*	3	Less than 10% = 3 10% or higher = 0 Detailed explanation and improvement plan = 2 Unacceptable/unrelated response = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score Attachment: Annual Performance Report

Category	Question	Possible Points	Scoring Considerations	CoC Criteria Score	Reviewer s Score	Reviewer s Comments	Source Documentation/ Attachment
Coordinated Entry	Will the project accept and prioritize 100% of participant referrals through the ND CoC CE process and Prioritization List?	5	Yes = 5 No = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application

Application Information	
Applicant Name	
Funding Type (1A)	
Name of Project (1D)	
Amount Requested (1G)	
Consolidate/Expand (1K)	
Project Type (3A)	
100% Dedicated/ DedicatedPLUS (3C)	
Units/Beds (4B)	
Primary Contact	
Email Address	
Phone Number	
UIE Number	

Overview of Scoring		
Summary of Factors	**Possible Points**	**Reviewer s Score**
Agency Wide Scoring	**23**	**0**
ND CoC Participation	11	0
ND CoC Priority	5	0
Client Participation in Project Design	7	0
Project-Specific Scoring	**89**	**0**
HUD Scoring	6	0
Project Design	41	0
Financial Capacity & Monitoring	25	0
HMIS & Data Quality	12	0
Coordinated Entry	5	0
Total Possible Points	**112**	**0**

AGENCY WIDE SCORING

Category	Question	Possible Points	Scoring Considerations	CoC Criteria Score	Reviewer s Score	Reviewer s Comments	Source Documentation/ Attachment
ND CoC Participation	Does the agency participate in the ND CoC and local efforts to address homelessness? (select one)	3	ND CoC member AND member of ND CoC committee AND active participation in local coalition/homeless response efforts = 3 ND CoC member AND member of ND CoC committee = 2 ND CoC Member = 1				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score
ND CoC Participation	Did agency staff participate in ND CoC meetings in the previous 12 months? *(select one)*	2	Staff participated in 100% of CoC quarterly meetings = 2 Staff participated in at least 50% of CoC quarterly meetings = 1 Staff participated in less than 50% of quarterly meetings = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score
ND CoC Participation	Did agency staff participate in ND CoC monthly trainings in the previous 12 months? *(select one)*	3	Staff participated in 100% of CoC monthly trainings = 3 Staff participated in at least 50% of CoC monthly trainings = 2 Staff participated in less than 50% of CoC monthly trainings = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score
ND CoC Participation	Did the agency participate in the unsheltered PIT Count for 2026? If no, explain why not.	2	Yes = 2 No = 0 Detailed explanation demonstrating reasonable circumstances or barriers to participation = 1				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score
ND CoC Participation	Did the agency submit PIT/HIC sheltered/housing information by the submission due date?	1	Yes = 1 No = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score
Category	**Question**	**Possible Points**	**Scoring Considerations**	**CoC Criteria Score**	**Reviewer s Score**	**Reviewer s Comments**	**Source Documentation/ Attachment**
ND CoC Priority	Does the project serve geographic areas of the state identified by the ND CoC as underserved or without existing CoC-funded housing/services projects? If yes, identify the region(s) the project will serve and describe how services and housing assistance will be provided within the identified area(s).	5	Serves area with no existing CoC-funded housing/services projects = 5 Expands services in historically underserved region with limited inventory = 3 Does not address identified geographic gap = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Category	**Question**	**Possible Points**	**Scoring Considerations**	**CoC Criteria Score**	**Reviewer s Score**	**Reviewer s Comments**	**Source Documentation/ Attachment**
Client Participation in Project Design	Does the agency engage individuals with lived experience in service design, project implementation, or organizational decision-making through any of the following strategies? (answer each statement; one point per strategy, up to 7 points)	7	7 strategies = 7 6 strategies = 6 5 strategies = 5 4 strategies = 4 3 strategies = 3 2 strategies = 2 1 strategy = 1 0 strategies = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application

PROJECT SPECIFIC SCORING - NEW - RAPID RE-HOUSING

Category	Question	Possible Points	Scoring Considerations (Per the NOFO, applicants must score 4 out of 6 in this category to be considered for funding.)	CoC Criteria Score	Reviewer s Score	Reviewer s Comments	Source Documentation/ Attachment
HUD Scoring	Will the provision of tenant-based rental assistance help individuals and families achieve self-sufficiency within 24 months?	1	Yes = 1 No = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
HUD Scoring	Will the types of supportive services and assistance offered to program participants (e.g., case management, substance use treatment, mental health treatment, and employment assistance) ensure that the participant is able to successfully obtain self-sufficiency and exit homelessness?	2	Yes = 2 No = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
HUD Scoring	Does the applicant have prior or current experience operating a homelessness project where, or has a plan in place to have, at least 50 percent of participants exit to permanent housing within 24 months and at least 50 percent of participants exit with employment income as reflected in HMIS or another data system used by the applicant, or has a plan in place to ensure this?	1	Yes = 1 No = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application

HUD Scoring	Are the services provided cost-effective and consistent with 2 CFR 200.404?	1	Yes = 1 No = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
HUD Scoring	Will the project be supplemented with resources from other public or private sources, that may include mainstream health, social, and employment programs such as Medicare, Medicaid, SSI, and SNAP?	1	Yes = 1 No = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Category	**Question**	**Possible Points**	**Scoring Considerations**	**CoC Criteria Score**	**Reviewer s Score**	**Reviewer s Comments**	**Source Documentation/ Attachment**
In this section:							
Project Design	Provide a description that addresses the proposed project's scope, including the target population and the plan for addressing the needs of the target population. *(Limit 2,500 characters)*	5	Full Points = 5 Partial Points = 3 Zero Points = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Project Design	Describe the project's strategy to address the following: Promote access to housing while supporting participant engagement, accountability, and self-sufficiency Identify, recruit, retain, and support landlords to promote long-term housing stability Administer housing assistance, rental assistance, or move-in assistance, as applicable Provide supportive services to participants to assist in locating housing Emphasize client choice *(Limit 2,500 characters)*	10	Full Points = 10 Partial Points = 5 Zero Points = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Project Design	Explain how the project's services will help participants stabilize in permanent housing. This may include: Assisting participants in obtaining mainstream benefits and employment programs Financial planning/budgeting support, life skills support, or classes Transportation assistance Use peer support specialists or staff with lived experience Planning for exits to and stabilization in permanent housing Improve safety for survivors of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, stalking, and/or trafficking. *(Limit 2,500 characters)*	10	Full Points = 10 Partial Points = 5 Zero Points = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Project Design	Explain how the project will be staffed, including training for staff to meet the needs of the population to be served (e.g., motivational interviewing, trauma-informed care, etc.), and if the agency will focus on hiring people with lived experience. *(Limit 2,500 characters)*	5	Full Points = 5 Partial Points = 2 Zero Points = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Project Design	Describe how the project will provide services to assist participants in the following: Housing retention Follow up after placement or program exit Avoiding involuntary project exits and the steps that will be taken to ensure a client-centered housing-focused approach designed to avoid involuntary exits *(Limit 2,500 characters)*	5	Full Points = 5 Partial Points = 2 Zero Points = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Project Design	Explain how the project will be available and accessible to persons experiencing homelessness and how the agency will take proactive steps to promote fair access to housing, taking into consideration the following: Serving all eligible households Ensuring participants receive reasonable accommodations whenever they are needed Ensuring participants know their housing rights and are protected from discrimination, including through reasonable accommodations and applicable fair housing protections. *(Limit 2,500 characters)*	3	Full Points = 3 Partial Points = 1 Zero Points = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Project Design	Explain how the project will address the behavioral health and substance use service needs of participants, including whether treatment requirements apply, if applicable. Include any applicable project policies, occupancy agreements, referral partnerships, or service coordination strategies. (Limit 2,500 characters) If treatment participation is required, attach applicable occupancy agreements or policies.	3	Full Points = 3 Partial Points = 1 Zero Points = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application Attachment: Agreement(s)
Category	**Question**	**Possible Points**	**Scoring Considerations**	**CoC Criteria Score**	**Reviewer s Score**	**Reviewer s Comments**	**Source Documentation/ Attachment**
Financial Capacity & Monitoring	Does the agency have experience administering CoC, ESG, or NDHG funding, either currently or previously? *(2 points per funding source)*	6	CoC funding = 2 ESG funding = 2 NDHG funding = 2 No experience = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Financial Capacity & Monitoring	Does the agency have experience managing other federal, state, or local housing, homeless assistance, behavioral health, youth, victim services, or human service grants? Experience must demonstrate administration, oversight, fiscal management, or operational responsibility for grant-funded programs. Examples may include RHY, PATH, HUD housing programs, VA-funded programs, TANF-funded services, domestic violence funding, SAMHSA grants, or other comparable grant programs.	3	Extensive relevant grant management experience = 3 Moderate relevant grant management experience = 2 Limited relevant grant management experience = 1 No relevant experience = 0				
Financial Capacity &	What total percentage of funds were unexpended for CoC-, ESG-, or NDHG-funded projects in FY24? *(select one)*		0-1% = 5 2-4% = 3 5% or more = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score & ND

Financial Capacity & Monitoring	If the agency had 5% or more of unexpended funds in CoC-, ESG-, or NDHG-funded grants, explain why funds were not expended. If this is a new HUD or ND state-funded grant, explain your projected spend-down date and if you are on track for the total expenditure of funds. *(Limit 750 characters)*	5	Detailed and relevant explanation = 2 Limited explanation = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0				Criteria Score & ND CoC Competition Application
Financial Capacity & Monitoring	Did the agency make the required drawdowns for CoC-, ESG-, and/or NDHG-funded projects?	2	Yes = 2 No = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score
Financial Capacity & Monitoring	Describe the agency's financial management systems and internal controls used to administer public funding, including financial oversight, accounting systems, segregation of duties, grant monitoring, and fiscal staffing structure. (Limit 1,500 characters)	3	Detailed description demonstrating strong financial management systems & oversight capacity = 3 Limited explanation = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0				
Financial Capacity & Monitoring	Is the agency free of any HUD monitoring findings for CoC- or ESG-funded projects?	2	Yes = 2 No = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score
Financial Capacity & Monitoring	If the agency has HUD monitoring findings, explain the findings, if they are resolved, or the plan, including the timeline, to resolve the findings. *(Limit 750 characters)*		Findings resolved or corrective action completed with documentation/timeline provided = 2 Findings not fully resolved, but agency demonstrates an active corrective action plan and timeline = 1 Missing, insufficient, or unrelated response = 0				
Financial Capacity & Monitoring	Is the agency free of NDHFA monitoring findings for any CoC-, ESG-, or NDHG-funded projects?	2	Yes = 2 No = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score
Financial Capacity & Monitoring	If the agency has NDHFA monitoring findings, explain the findings, if they are resolved, or the plan, including the timeline, to resolve the findings. *(Limit 750 characters)*		Findings resolved or corrective action completed with documentation/timeline provided = 2 Findings not fully resolved, but agency demonstrates an active corrective action plan and timeline = 1 Missing, insufficient, or unrelated response = 0				
Financial Capacity & Monitoring	Is the agency free of findings in its most recent financial audit?	2	Yes = 2 No = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Financial Capacity & Monitoring	If the agency has findings in their most recent financial audit, explain whether they are resolved, or the plan, including the timeline, to resolve the findings. *(Limit 750 characters)*		Findings resolved or corrective action completed with documentation/timeline provided = 2 Findings not fully resolved, but agency demonstrates an active corrective action plan and timeline = 1 Missing, insufficient, or unrelated response = 0				

Category	Question	Possible Points	Scoring Considerations	CoC Criteria Score	Reviewer s Score	Reviewer s Comments	Source Documentation/ Attachment
HMIS & Data Quality	Does the agency use HMIS or a comparable database?	2	Yes = 2 No = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
HMIS & Data Quality	Does the agency enter at least 90% of HMIS project start records within 10 days of participant project start dates?	2	90-100% of records entered within 10 days = 2 Less than 90% of records entered within 10 days = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score
	If less than 90% of HMIS project start records were entered within 10 days, explain the barriers contributing to delayed data entry and describe the steps the agency will take to improve HMIS data entry timeliness. *(Limit 750 characters)*		Detailed explanation and improvement plan = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated response = 0				Attachment: Annual Performance Report
HMIS & Data Quality	Does the agency maintain a combined HMIS data quality error rate of less than 3%?	2	Less than 3% = 2 3-5.99% = 1 6% or higher = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score
	If the agency has 6% or higher, explain why and how the agency will improve data completeness. *(Limit 750 characters)*		Detailed explanation and improvement plan = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated response = 0				Attachment: Annual Performance Report
HMIS & Data Quality	What percentage of participants exited to positive or permanent housing destinations in HMIS-participating housing projects? Positive and permanent housing destinations shall be defined consistent with HUD APR Q23c calculations.	3	80-100% = 3 60-79% = 2 40-59% = 1 Less than 40% = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score
	If less than 60% of participants exited to positive or permanent housing destinations, explain the barriers and how the agency will improve housing outcomes. *(Limit 750 characters)*		Detailed explanation and improvement plan = 2 Unacceptable/unrelated response = 0				Attachment: Annual Performance Report
HMIS & Data Quality	What is the agency's total destination error rate?	3	Less than 10% = 3 10% or higher = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score
	If the agency has an error rate of 10% or higher, please explain how the agency will improve errors. *(Limit 750 characters)*		Detailed explanation and improvement plan = 2 Unacceptable/unrelated response = 0				Attachment: Annual

Category	Question	Possible Points	Scoring Considerations	CoC Criteria Score	Reviewer s Score	Reviewer s Comments	Source Documentation/ Attachment
Coordinated Entry	Will the project accept and prioritize 100% of participant referrals through the ND CoC CE process and Prioritization List?	5	Yes = 5 No = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application

Application Information	
Applicant Name	
Funding Type (1A)	
Name of Project (1D)	
Amount Requested (1G)	
Consolidate/Expand (1K)	
Project Type (3A)	
100% Dedicated/ DedicatedPLUS (3C)	
Units/Beds (4B)	
Primary Contact	
Email Address	
Phone Number	
UIE Number	

Overview of Scoring		
Summary of Factors	**Possible Points**	**Reviewer s Score**
Agency Wide Scoring	**23**	**0**
ND CoC Participation	11	0
ND CoC Priority	5	0
Client Participation in Project Design	7	0
Project-Specific Scoring	**91**	**0**
HUD Scoring	8	0
Project Design	41	0
Financial Capacity & Monitoring	25	0
HMIS & Data Quality	12	0
Coordinated Entry	5	0
Total Possible Points	**114**	**0**

AGENCY WIDE SCORING

Category	Question	Possible Points	Scoring Considerations	CoC Criteria Score	Reviewer s Score	Reviewer s Comments	Source Documentation/ Attachment
ND CoC Participation	Does the agency participate in the ND CoC and local efforts to address homelessness? (select one)	3	ND CoC member AND member of ND CoC committee AND active participation in local coalition/homeless response efforts = 3 ND CoC member AND member of ND CoC committee = 2 ND CoC Member = 1				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score
ND CoC Participation	Did agency staff participate in ND CoC meetings in the previous 12 months? *(select one)*	2	Staff participated in 100% of CoC quarterly meetings = 2 Staff participated in at least 50% of CoC quarterly meetings = 1 Staff participated in less than 50% of quarterly meetings = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score
ND CoC Participation	Did agency staff participate in ND CoC monthly trainings in the previous 12 months? *(select one)*	3	Staff participated in 100% of CoC monthly trainings = 3 Staff participated in at least 50% of CoC monthly trainings = 2 Staff participated in less than 50% of CoC monthly trainings = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score
ND CoC Participation	Did the agency participate in the unsheltered PIT Count for 2026? If no, explain why not.	2	Yes = 2 No = 0 Detailed explanation demonstrating reasonable circumstances or barriers to participation = 1				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score
ND CoC Participation	Did the agency submit PIT/HIC sheltered/housing information by the submission due date?	1	Yes = 1 No = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score

Category	Question	Possible Points	Scoring Considerations	CoC Criteria Score	Reviewer s Score	Reviewer s Comments	Source Documentation/ Attachment
ND CoC Priority	Does the project serve geographic areas of the state identified by the ND CoC as underserved or without existing CoC-funded housing/services projects? If yes, identify the region(s) the project will serve and describe how services and housing assistance will be provided within the identified area(s).	5	Serves area with no existing CoC-funded housing/services projects = 5 Expands services in historically underserved region with limited inventory = 3 Does not address identified geographic gap = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application

Category	Question	Possible Points	Scoring Considerations	CoC Criteria Score	Reviewer s Score	Reviewer s Comments	Source Documentation/ Attachment
Client Participation in Project Design	Does the agency engage individuals with lived experience in service design, project implementation, or organizational decision-making through any of the following strategies? (answer each statement; one point per strategy, up to 7 points)	7	7 strategies = 7 6 strategies = 6 5 strategies = 5 4 strategies = 4 3 strategies = 3 2 strategies = 2 1 strategy = 1 0 strategies = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application

PROJECT SPECIFIC SCORING - NEW - TRANSITIONAL HOUSING

Category	Question	Possible Points	Scoring Considerations (Per the NOFO, applicants must score 6 out of 8 in this category to be considered for funding.)	CoC Criteria Score	Reviewer s Score	Reviewer s Comments	Source Documentation/ Attachment
HUD Scoring	Explain how the project will provide and/or partner with other organizations to provide eligible supportive services that are necessary to assist program participants to obtain and maintain housing (i.e., case management, behavioral healthcare, employment training, etc.)	2	Detailed description of proposed project = 2 Limited explanation = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
HUD Scoring	Does the applicant have prior experience operating transitional housing or other projects that have successfully helped homeless individuals and families exit homelessness within 24 months or has a plan in place to ensure homeless individuals and families will exit homelessness within 24 months?	1	Yes = 1 No = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application

HUD Scoring	Has the applicant previously operated or currently operates transitional housing or another homelessness project, or has a plan in place to ensure that at least 50 percent of participants exit to a positive destination within 24 months and at least 50 percent of participants exit with employment income as reflected in HMIS or another data system used by the applicant?	1	Yes = 1 No = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
HUD Scoring	Will the project be supplemented with resources from other public or private sources, that may include mainstream health, social, and employment programs such as Medicare, Medicaid, SSI, and SNAP?	1	Yes = 1 No = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
HUD Scoring	Describe how the proposed project will: assess the service needs of program participants, provide individualized services for program participants during their time in Transitional Housing that will result in at least 20 hours per week of engagement in services, activities or employment for all program participants, except for a program participant over age 62 or who is an individual with handicaps as defined in 24 CFR 8.3 or a with a developmental disability as defined under 24 CFR 578.3 (examples of services or activities include case management, counseling, treatment, volunteering, work therapy, education, job training, community building activities, etc.) Employment may contribute to the 20 hours per week of engagement. The project description provided here does not constitute a reporting or documentation requirement. Indicate that the proposed project will create service plans for each program participant that include: the services to be provided, when and how often services will be provided, by whom all services will be provided; program participant goals, strategies for achieving those goals, and target dates for achievement to focus on improved health and wellness, housing stability, and increased employment income leading to financial stability and self-sufficiency.	2	Detailed description of proposed project = 2 Limited explanation = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0				Source: ND CoC Competition Application
HUD Scoring	Are the services provided cost-effective and consistent with 2 CFR 200.404?	1	Yes = 1 No = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Category	**Question**	**Possible Points**	**Scoring Considerations**	**CoC Criteria Score**	**Reviewer s Score**	**Reviewer s Comments**	**Source Documentation/ Attachment**
In this section: **Full Points = Response is detailed, project-specific, and demonstrates a clear operational plan** **Partial Points = Response addresses the topic but lacks sufficient detail or implementation strategy** **Zero Points = Response is missing, unrelated or does not demonstrate an adequate plan**							
Project Design	Provide a description that addresses the proposed project's scope, including the target population and the plan for addressing the needs of the target population. *(Limit 2,500 characters)*	5	Full Points = 5 Partial Points = 3 Zero Points = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Project Design	Describe the project's strategy to address the following: Promote access to housing while supporting participant engagement, accountability, and self-sufficiency Identify, recruit, retain, and support landlords to promote long-term housing stability Administer housing assistance, rental assistance, or move-in assistance, as applicable Provide supportive services to participants to assist in locating housing Emphasize client choice *(Limit 2,500 characters)*	10	Full Points = 10 Partial Points = 5 Zero Points = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Project Design	Explain how the project's services will help participants stabilize in permanent housing. This may include: Assisting participants in obtaining mainstream benefits and employment programs Financial planning/budgeting support, life skills support, or classes Transportation assistance Use peer support specialists or staff with lived experience Planning for exits to and stabilization in permanent housing Improve safety for survivors of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, stalking, and/or trafficking. *(Limit 2,500 characters)*	10	Full Points = 10 Partial Points = 5 Zero Points = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Project Design	Explain how the project will be staffed, including training for staff to meet the needs of the population to be served (e.g., motivational interviewing, trauma-informed care, etc.), and if the agency will focus on hiring people with lived experience. *(Limit 2,500 characters)*	5	Full Points = 5 Partial Points = 2 Zero Points = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Project Design	Describe how the project will provide services to assist participants in the following: Housing retention Follow up after placement or program exit Avoiding involuntary project exits and the steps that will be taken to ensure a client-centered housing-focused approach designed to avoid involuntary exits *(Limit 2,500 characters)*	5	Full Points = 5 Partial Points = 2 Zero Points = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Project Design	Explain how the project will be available and accessible to persons experiencing homelessness and how the agency will take proactive steps to promote fair access to housing, taking into consideration the following: Serving all eligible households Ensuring participants receive reasonable accommodations whenever they are needed	3	Full Points = 3 Partial Points = 1 Zero Points = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application

	Ensuring participants know their housing rights and are protected from discrimination, including through reasonable accommodations and applicable fair housing protections. *(Limit 2,500 characters)*						
Project Design	Explain how the project will address the behavioral health and substance use service needs of participants, including whether treatment requirements apply, if applicable. Include any applicable project policies, occupancy agreements, referral partnerships, or service coordination strategies. (Limit 2,500 characters) If treatment participation is required, attach applicable occupancy agreements or policies.	3	Full Points = 3 Partial Points = 1 Zero Points = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application Attachment: Agreement(s)

Category	Question	Possible Points	Scoring Considerations	CoC Criteria Score	Reviewer s Score	Reviewer s Comments	Source Documentation/ Attachment
Financial Capacity & Monitoring	Does the agency have experience administering CoC, ESG, or NDHG funding, either currently or previously? *(2 points per funding source)*	6	CoC funding = 2 ESG funding = 2 NDHG funding = 2 No experience = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Financial Capacity & Monitoring	Does the agency have experience managing other federal, state, or local housing, homeless assistance, behavioral health, youth, victim services, or human service grants? Experience must demonstrate administration, oversight, fiscal management, or operational responsibility for grant-funded programs. Examples may include RHY, PATH, HUD housing programs, VA-funded programs, TANF-funded services, domestic violence funding, SAMHSA grants, or other comparable grant programs.	3	Extensive relevant grant management experience = 3 Moderate relevant grant management experience = 2 Limited relevant grant management experience = 1 No relevant experience = 0				
Financial Capacity & Monitoring	What total percentage of funds were unexpended for CoC-, ESG-, or NDHG-funded projects in FY24? *(select one)* If the agency had 5% or more of unexpended funds in CoC-, ESG-, or NDHG-funded grants, explain why funds were not expended. If this is a new HUD or ND state-funded grant, explain your projected spend-down date and if you are on track for the total expenditure of funds. *(Limit 750 characters)*	5	0-1% = 5 2-4% = 3 5% or more = 0 Detailed and relevant explanation = 2 Limited explanation = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score & ND CoC Competition Application
Financial Capacity & Monitoring	Did the agency make the required drawdowns for CoC-, ESG-, and/or NDHG-funded projects?	2	Yes = 2 No = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score
Financial Capacity & Monitoring	Describe the agency's financial management systems and internal controls used to administer public funding, including financial oversight, accounting systems, segregation of duties, grant monitoring, and fiscal staffing structure. (Limit 1,500 characters)	3	Detailed description demonstrating strong financial management systems & oversight capacity = 3 Limited explanation = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0				
Financial Capacity & Monitoring	Is the agency free of any HUD monitoring findings for CoC- or ESG-funded projects? If the agency has HUD monitoring findings, explain the findings, if they are resolved, or the plan, including the timeline, to resolve the findings. *(Limit 750 characters)*	2	Yes = 2 No = 0 Findings resolved or corrective action completed with documentation/timeline provided = 2 Findings not fully resolved, but agency demonstrates an active corrective action plan and timeline = 1 Missing, insufficient, or unrelated response = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score
Financial Capacity & Monitoring	Is the agency free of NDHFA monitoring findings for any CoC-, ESG-, or NDHG-funded projects? If the agency has NDHFA monitoring findings, explain the findings, if they are resolved, or the plan, including the timeline, to resolve the findings. *(Limit 750 characters)*	2	Yes = 2 No = 0 Findings resolved or corrective action completed with documentation/timeline provided = 2 Findings not fully resolved, but agency demonstrates an active corrective action plan and timeline = 1 Missing, insufficient, or unrelated response = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score
Financial Capacity & Monitoring	Is the agency free of findings in its most recent financial audit? If the agency has findings in their most recent financial audit, explain whether they are resolved, or the plan, including the timeline, to resolve the findings. *(Limit 750 characters)*	2	Yes = 2 No = 0 Findings resolved or corrective action completed with documentation/timeline provided = 2 Findings not fully resolved, but agency demonstrates an active corrective action plan and timeline = 1 Missing, insufficient, or unrelated response = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application

Category	Question	Possible Points	Scoring Considerations	CoC Criteria Score	Reviewer s Score	Reviewer s Comments	Source Documentation/ Attachment
HMIS & Data Quality	Does the agency use HMIS or a comparable database?	2	Yes = 2 No = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
HMIS & Data Quality	Does the agency enter at least 90% of HMIS project start records within 10 days of participant project start dates? If less than 90% of HMIS project start records were entered within 10 days, explain the barriers contributing to delayed data entry and describe the steps the agency will take to improve HMIS data entry timeliness. *(Limit 750 characters)*	2	90-100% of records entered within 10 days = 2 Less than 90% of records entered within 10 days = 0 Detailed explanation and improvement plan = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated response = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score Attachment: Annual Performance Report
HMIS & Data Quality	Does the agency maintain a combined HMIS data quality error rate of less than 3%? If the agency has 6% or higher, explain why and how the agency will improve data completeness. *(Limit 750 characters)*	2	Less than 3% = 2 3-5.99% = 1 6% or higher = 0 Detailed explanation and improvement plan = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated response = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score Attachment: Annual Performance Report

HMIS & Data Quality	What percentage of participants exited to positive or permanent housing destinations in HMIS-participating housing projects? Positive and permanent housing destinations shall be defined consistent with HUD APR Q23c calculations. If less than 60% of participants exited to positive or permanent housing destinations, explain the barriers and how the agency will improve housing outcomes. *(Limit 750 characters)*	3	80-100% = 3 60-79% = 2 40-59% = 1 Less than 40% = 0 Detailed explanation and improvement plan = 2 Unacceptable/unrelated response = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score Attachment: Annual Performance Report
HMIS & Data Quality	What is the agency's total destination error rate? If the agency has an error rate of 10% or higher, please explain how the agency will improve errors. *(Limit 750 characters)*	3	Less than 10% = 3 10% or higher = 0 Detailed explanation and improvement plan = 2 Unacceptable/unrelated response = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score Attachment: Annual Performance Report
Category	**Question**	**Possible Points**	**Scoring Considerations**	**CoC Criteria Score**	**Reviewer s Score**	**Reviewer s Comments**	**Source Documentation/ Attachment**
Coordinated Entry	Will the project accept and prioritize 100% of participant referrals through the ND CoC CE process and Prioritization List?	5	Yes = 5 No = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application

Application Information	
Applicant Name	
Funding Type (1A)	
Name of Project (1D)	
Amount Requested (1G)	
Consolidate/Expand (1K)	
Project Type (3A)	
100% Dedicated/ DedicatedPLUS (3C)	
Units/Beds (4B)	
Primary Contact	
Email Address	
Phone Number	
UIE Number	

Overview of Scoring		
Summary of Factors	**Possible Points**	**Reviewer s Score**
Agency Wide Scoring	**23**	**0**
ND CoC Participation	11	0
ND CoC Priority	5	0
Client Participation in Project Design	7	0
Project-Specific Scoring	**83**	**0**
HUD Scoring	5	0
Project Design	36	0
Financial Capacity & Monitoring	25	0
HMIS & Data Quality	12	0
Coordinated Entry	5	0
Total Possible Points	**106**	**0**

AGENCY WIDE SCORING

Category	Question	Possible Points	Scoring Considerations	CoC Criteria Score	Reviewer s Score	Reviewer s Comments	Source Documentation/ Attachment
ND CoC Participation	Does the agency participate in the ND CoC and local efforts to address homelessness? (select one)	3	ND CoC member AND member of ND CoC committee AND active participation in local coalition/homeless response efforts = 3 ND CoC member AND member of ND CoC committee = 2 ND CoC Member = 1				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score
ND CoC Participation	Did agency staff participate in ND CoC meetings in the previous 12 months? *(select one)*	2	Staff participated in 100% of CoC quarterly meetings = 2 Staff participated in at least 50% of CoC quarterly meetings = 1 Staff participated in less than 50% of quarterly meetings = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score
ND CoC Participation	Did agency staff participate in ND CoC monthly trainings in the previous 12 months? *(select one)*	3	Staff participated in 100% of CoC monthly trainings = 3 Staff participated in at least 50% of CoC monthly trainings = 2 Staff participated in less than 50% of CoC monthly trainings = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score
ND CoC Participation	Did the agency participate in the unsheltered PIT Count for 2026? If no, explain why not.	2	Yes = 2 No = 0 Detailed explanation demonstrating reasonable circumstances or barriers to participation = 1				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score
ND CoC Participation	Did the agency submit PIT/HIC sheltered/housing information by the submission due date?	1	Yes = 1 No = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score

Category	Question	Possible Points	Scoring Considerations	CoC Criteria Score	Reviewer s Score	Reviewer s Comments	Source Documentation/ Attachment
ND CoC Priority	Does the project serve geographic areas of the state identified by the ND CoC as underserved or without existing CoC-funded housing/services projects? If yes, identify the region(s) the project will serve and describe how services and housing assistance will be provided within the identified area(s).	5	Serves area with no existing CoC-funded housing/services projects = 5 Expands services in historically underserved region with limited inventory = 3 Does not address identified geographic gap = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application

Category	Question	Possible Points	Scoring Considerations	CoC Criteria Score	Reviewer s Score	Reviewer s Comments	Source Documentation/ Attachment
Client Participation in Project Design	Does the agency engage individuals with lived experience in service design, project implementation, or organizational decision-making through any of the following strategies? (answer each statement; one point per strategy, up to 7 points)	7	7 strategies = 7 6 strategies = 6 5 strategies = 5 4 strategies = 4 3 strategies = 3 2 strategies = 2 1 strategy = 1 0 strategies = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application

PROJECT SPECIFIC SCORING - NEW - SUPPORTIVE SERVICES ONLY

Category	Question	Possible Points	Scoring Considerations (Per the NOFO, applicants must score 4 out of 5 in this category to be considered for funding.)	CoC Criteria Score	Reviewer s Score	Reviewer s Comments	Source Documentation/ Attachment
HUD Scoring	Explain how the project is necessary to assist people in exiting homelessness, addressing barriers to stable housing (e.g., substance use disorder, unemployment, childcare, etc.) and increasing self-sufficiency and how the Recipient will conduct an annual assessment of the service needs of the program participants.	1	Detailed description of proposed project = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
HUD Scoring	Does the proposed project have a strategy for providing supportive services to eligible program participants including those with histories of unsheltered homelessness and those who do not traditionally engage with supportive services?	2	Yes = 2 No = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application

HUD Scoring	Will the project be supplemented with resources from other public or private sources, that may include mainstream health, social, and employment programs such as Medicare, Medicaid, SSI, and SNAP?	1	Yes = 1 No = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
HUD Scoring	Are the services provided cost-effective and consistent with 2 CFR 200.404?	1	Yes = 1 No = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Category	**Question**	**Possible Points**	**Scoring Considerations**	**CoC Criteria Score**	**Reviewer s Score**	**Reviewer s Comments**	**Source Documentation/ Attachment**
In this section: **Full Points = Response is detailed, project-specific, and demonstrates a clear operational plan** **Partial Points = Response addresses the topic but lacks sufficient detail or implementation strategy** **Zero Points = Response is missing, unrelated or does not demonstrate an adequate plan**							
Project Design	Provide a description that addresses the proposed project's scope, including the target population and the plan for addressing the needs of the target population. *(Limit 2,500 characters)*	5	Full Points = 5 Partial Points = 3 Zero Points = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Project Design	Describe the project's supportive services and case management approach for participants. Include, as applicable to the project design: types of services provided (e.g., housing navigation, mainstream benefit assistance, SSI/SSDI assistance, behavioral health or substance use coordination, trauma-informed care, employment support, transportation assistance, etc.), frequency of participant engagement, population-specific services (e.g., youth, domestic violence, chronic homelessness), staff-to-participant ratio, coordination with community-based services, follow-up after project exit, and other pertinent operational information related to the project. *(Limit 2,500 characters)*	15	Full Points = 15 Partial Points = 8 Zero Points = 0	N/A		Changed the language.	Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Project Design	Does the project primarily serve participants who are unsheltered, residing in emergency shelter, or fleeing/attempting to flee domestic violence?	5	85% or more of participants meet these criteria = 5 60-84% = 3 59% or less of participants meet these criteria = 0	N/A		Changed the language.	Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Project Design	Does the project prioritize serving participants who are experiencing chronic homelessness, as applicable to the project design and target population?	1	Yes = 1 No = 0	N/A		Changed the language.	Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Project Design	Describe how the project will assist participants in accessing and maintaining safe and stable housing, including housing navigation, landlord engagement, referral coordination, or connection to permanent housing resources, as applicable to the project design. *(Limit 1,500 characters)*	5	Full Points = 5 Partial Points = 2 Zero Points = 0	N/A		Changed the language.	Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Project Design	Does the project have a plan to conduct follow-up with participants at least semi-annually for up to 2 years after project exit to support continued access to mainstream benefits and community resources?	2	Yes = 2 No = 0	N/A		Changed the language.	Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Project Design	Explain how the project will address the behavioral health and substance use service needs of participants, including whether treatment requirements apply, if applicable. Include any applicable project policies, occupancy agreements, referral partnerships, or service coordination strategies. (Limit 2,500 characters) If treatment participation is required, attach applicable occupancy agreements or policies.	3	Full Points = 3 Partial Points = 1 Zero Points = 0	N/A		Changed wording in this question.	Source: ND CoC Competition Application Attachment: Agreement(s)
Category	**Question**	**Possible Points**	**Scoring Considerations**	**CoC Criteria Score**	**Reviewer s Score**	**Reviewer s Comments**	**Source Documentation/ Attachment**
Financial Capacity & Monitoring	Does the agency have experience administering Continuum of Care (CoC), Emergency Solutions Grant (ESG), or North Dakota Homeless Grant funding (NDHG), either currently or previously? *(2 points per funding source)*	6	CoC funding = 2 ESG funding = 2 NDHG funding = 2 No experience = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Financial Capacity & Monitoring	Does the agency have experience managing other federal, state, or local housing, homeless assistance, behavioral health, youth, victim services, or human service grants? Experience must demonstrate administration, oversight, fiscal management, or operational responsibility for grant-funded programs. Examples may include Runaway and Homeless Youth (RHY), PATH, HUD housing programs, VA-funded programs, TANF-funded services, domestic violence funding, SAMHSA grants, or other comparable grant programs.	3	Extensive relevant grant management experience = 3 Moderate relevant grant management experience = 2 Limited relevant grant management experience = 1 No relevant experience = 0				
Financial Capacity & Monitoring **Financial Capacity & Monitoring**	What percentage of funds were unexpended for CoC-, ESG-, or NDHG-funded projects in FY24? *(select one)* If the agency had 5% or more of unexpended funds in CoC-, ESG-, or NDHG-funded grants or projects, explain why funds were not expended. If this is a new HUD or ND state-funded grant, explain your projected spend-down date and if you are on track for the total expenditure of funds. *(Limit 750 characters)*	5	0-1% = 5 2-4% = 3 5% or more = 0 Agency does not have funding = N/A Detailed and relevant explanation = 2 Limited explanation = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score & ND CoC Competition Application
Financial Capacity & Monitoring	Did the agency make the required drawdowns for CoC-, ESG-, and/or NDHG-funded projects?	2	Yes = 2 No = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score
Financial Capacity & Monitoring	Describe the agency's financial management systems and internal controls used to administer public funding, including financial oversight, accounting systems, segregation of duties, grant monitoring, and fiscal staffing structure. (Limit 1,500 characters)	3	Detailed description demonstrating strong financial management systems & oversight capacity = 3 Limited explanation = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0				

Financial Capacity & Monitoring **Financial Capacity & Monitoring**	Is the agency free of any HUD monitoring findings for CoC- or ESG-funded projects? If the agency has HUD monitoring findings, explain the findings, if they are resolved, or the plan, including the timeline, to resolve the findings. *(Limit 750 characters)*	2	Yes = 2 No = 0 Findings resolved or corrective action completed with documentation/timeline provided = 2 Findings not fully resolved, but agency demonstrates an active corrective action plan and timeline = 1 Missing, insufficient, or unrelated response = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score
Financial Capacity & Monitoring **Financial Capacity & Monitoring**	Is the agency free of NDHFA monitoring findings for any CoC-, ESG-, or NDHG-funded projects? If the agency has NDHFA monitoring findings, explain the findings, if they are resolved, or the plan, including the timeline, to resolve the findings. *(Limit 750 characters)*	2	Yes = 2 No = 0 Findings resolved or corrective action completed with documentation/timeline provided = 2 Findings not fully resolved, but agency demonstrates an active corrective action plan and timeline = 1 Missing, insufficient, or unrelated response = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score
Financial Capacity & Monitoring	Is the agency free of findings in its most recent financial audit? If the agency has findings in their most recent financial audit, explain whether they are resolved, or the plan, including the timeline, to resolve the findings. *(Limit 750 characters)*	2	Yes = 2 No = 0 Findings resolved or corrective action completed with documentation/timeline provided = 2 Findings not fully resolved, but agency demonstrates an active corrective action plan and timeline = 1 Missing, insufficient, or unrelated response = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application

Category	Question	Possible Points	Scoring Considerations	CoC Criteria Score	Reviewer s Score	Reviewer s Comments	Source Documentation/ Attachment
HMIS & Data Quality	Does the agency use HMIS or a comparable database?	2	Yes = 2 No = 0				Source: ND CoC Competition Application
HMIS & Data Quality	Does the agency enter at least 90% of HMIS project start records within 10 days of participant project start dates? If less than 90% of HMIS project start records were entered within 10 days, explain the barriers contributing to delayed data entry and describe the steps the agency will take to improve HMIS data entry timeliness. *(Limit 750 characters)*	2	90-100% of records entered within 10 days = 2 Less than 90% of records entered within 10 days = 0 Detailed explanation and improvement plan = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated response = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score Attachment: Annual Performance Report
HMIS & Data Quality	Does the agency maintain a combined HMIS data quality error rate of less than 3%? If the agency has 6% or higher, explain why and how the agency will improve data completeness. *(Limit 750 characters)*	2	Less than 3% = 2 3-5.99% = 1 6% or higher = 0 Detailed explanation and improvement plan = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated response = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score Attachment: Annual Performance Report
HMIS & Data Quality	What percentage of participants exited to positive or permanent housing destinations in HMIS-participating housing projects? Positive and permanent housing destinations shall be defined consistent with HUD APR Q23c calculations. If less than 60% of participants exited to positive or permanent housing destinations, explain the barriers and how the agency will improve housing outcomes. *(Limit 750 characters)*	3	80-100% = 3 60-79% = 2 40-59% = 1 Less than 40% = 0 Detailed explanation and improvement plan = 2 Unacceptable/unrelated response = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score Attachment: Annual Performance Report
HMIS & Data Quality	What is the agency's total destination error rate? If the agency has an error rate of 10% or higher, please explain how the agency will improve errors. *(Limit 750 characters)*	3	Less than 10% = 3 10% or higher = 0 Detailed explanation and improvement plan = 2 Unacceptable/unrelated response = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score Attachment: Annual Performance Report

Category	Question	Possible Points	Scoring Considerations	CoC Criteria Score	Reviewer s Score	Reviewer s Comments	Source Documentation/ Attachment
Coordinated Entry	Will the project participate in the ND CoC Coordinated Entry process by conducting coordinated entry assessments and placing eligible participants on the prioritization list, as applicable to the project design?	5	Yes = 5 No = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application

Application Information	
Applicant Name	
Funding Type (1A)	
Name of Project (1D)	
Amount Requested (1G)	
Consolidate/Expand (1K)	
Project Type (3A)	
100% Dedicated/ DedicatedPLUS (3C)	
Units/Beds (4B)	
Primary Contact	
Email Address	
Phone Number	
UIE Number	

Overview of Scoring		
Summary of Factors	**Possible Points**	**Reviewer s Score**
Agency Wide Scoring	**23**	**0**
ND CoC Participation	11	0
ND CoC Priority	5	0
Client Participation in Project Design	7	0
Project-Specific Scoring	**91**	**0**
HUD Scoring	4	0
Project Design	25	0
Financial Capacity	25	0
HMIS & Data Quality	10	0
Coordinated Entry	27	0
Total Possible Points	**114**	**0**

AGENCY WIDE SCORING

Category	Question	Possible Points	Scoring Considerations	CoC Criteria Score	Reviewer s Score	Reviewer s Comments	Source Documentation/ Attachment
ND CoC Participation	Does the agency participate in the ND CoC and local efforts to address homelessness? (select one)	3	ND CoC member AND member of ND CoC committee AND active participation in local coalition/homeless response efforts = 3 ND CoC member AND member of ND CoC committee = 2 ND CoC Member = 1				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score
ND CoC Participation	Did agency staff participate in ND CoC meetings in the previous 12 months? *(select one)*	2	Staff participated in 100% of CoC quarterly meetings = 2 Staff participated in at least 50% of CoC quarterly meetings = 1 Staff participated in less than 50% of quarterly meetings = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score
ND CoC Participation	Did agency staff participate in ND CoC monthly trainings in the previous 12 months? *(select one)*	3	Staff participated in 100% of CoC monthly trainings = 3 Staff participated in at least 50% of CoC monthly trainings = 2 Staff participated in less than 50% of CoC monthly trainings = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score
ND CoC Participation	Did the agency participate in the unsheltered PIT Count for 2026? If no, explain why not.	2	Yes = 2 No = 0 Detailed explanation demonstrating reasonable circumstances or barriers to participation = 1				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score
ND CoC Participation	Did the agency submit PIT/HIC sheltered/housing information by the submission due date?	1	Yes = 1 No = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score

Category	Question	Possible Points	Scoring Considerations	CoC Criteria Score	Reviewer s Score	Reviewer s Comments	Source Documentation/ Attachment
ND CoC Priority	Does the project serve geographic areas of the state identified by the ND CoC as underserved or without existing CoC-funded housing/services projects? If yes, identify the region(s) the project will serve and describe how services and housing assistance will be provided within the identified area(s).	5	Serves area with no existing CoC-funded housing/services projects = 5 Expands services in historically underserved region with limited inventory = 3 Does not address identified geographic gap = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application

Category	Question	Possible Points	Scoring Considerations	CoC Criteria Score	Reviewer s Score	Reviewer s Comments	Source Documentation/ Attachment
Client Participation in Project Design	Does the agency engage individuals with lived experience in service design, project implementation, or organizational decision-making through any of the following strategies? (answer each statement; one point per strategy, up to 7 points)	7	7 strategies = 7 6 strategies = 6 5 strategies = 5 4 strategies = 4 3 strategies = 3 2 strategies = 2 1 strategy = 1 0 strategies = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application

PROJECT SPECIFIC SCORING - NEW - SUPPORTIVE SERVICES ONLY-COORDINATED ENTRY

Category	Question	Possible Points	Scoring Considerations (Per the NOFO, applicants must score 3 out of 4 in this category to be considered for funding.)	CoC Criteria Score	Reviewer s Score	Reviewer s Comments	Source Documentation/ Attachment
HUD Scoring	Is the Coordinated Entry system easily available and reachable for all persons who are seeking homelessness assistance and accessible for persons with disabilities within the CoC's geographic area?	1	Detailed description of proposed project = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
HUD Scoring	Does the applicant have a strategy for advertising that is designed specifically to reach households experiencing homelessness with the highest needs?	1	Yes = 1 No = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
HUD Scoring	Is there a standardized assessment process?	1	Yes = 1 No = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
HUD Scoring	Will the project ensure program participants are directed to appropriate housing and services that fit their needs?	1	Yes = 1 No = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application

Category	Question	Possible Points	Scoring Considerations	CoC Criteria Score	Reviewer s Score	Reviewer s Comments	Source Documentation/ Attachment
In this section: **Full Points = Response is detailed, project-specific, and demonstrates a clear operational plan** **Partial Points = Response addresses the topic but lacks sufficient detail or implementation strategy** **Zero Points = Response is missing, unrelated or does not demonstrate an adequate plan**							
Project Design	Provide a description that addresses the proposed project's scope, including the target population, geographic coverage area, and the plan for addressing the needs of participants through the Coordinated Entry process. *(Limit 2,500 characters)*	5	Full Points = 5 Partial Points = 3 Zero Points = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Project Design	Describe how the project will support Coordinated Entry assessments, prioritization, referrals, navigation, by-name list management, data quality, follow-up, and participant engagement. *(Limit 2,500 characters)*	10	Full Points = 10 Partial Points = 5 Zero Points = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Project Design	Explain how participants will be supported in identifying and accessing housing resources, including housing navigation, connection to mainstream benefits, and landlord engagement, as applicable to the project design. *(Limit 2,500 characters)*	5	Full Points = 5 Partial Points = 3 Zero Points = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Project Design	Describe how the project will improve the effectiveness, efficiency, or accessibility of the ND CoC Coordinated Entry system. Include any plans for process improvements, data-driven decision making, reduction of barriers to access, improved referral workflows, increased geographic coverage, or other system enhancements. *(Limit 2,500 characters)*	5	Full Points = 5 Partial Points = 3 Zero Points = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Category	**Question**	**Possible Points**	**Scoring Considerations**	**CoC Criteria Score**	**Reviewer s Score**	**Reviewer s Comments**	**Source Documentation/ Attachment**
Financial Capacity & Monitoring	Does the agency have experience administering Continuum of Care (CoC), Emergency Solutions Grant (ESG), or North Dakota Homeless Grant funding (NDHG), either currently or previously? *(2 points per funding source)*	6	CoC funding = 2 ESG funding = 2 NDHG funding = 2 No experience = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Financial Capacity & Monitoring	Does the agency have experience managing other federal, state, or local housing, homeless assistance, behavioral health, youth, victim services, or human service grants? Experience must demonstrate administration, oversight, fiscal management, or operational responsibility for grant-funded programs. Examples may include Runaway and Homeless Youth (RHY), PATH, HUD housing programs, VA-funded programs, TANF-funded services, domestic violence funding, SAMHSA grants, or other comparable grant programs.	3	Extensive relevant grant management experience = 3 Moderate relevant grant management experience = 2 Limited relevant grant management experience = 1 No relevant experience = 0				
Financial Capacity & Monitoring	What percentage of funds were unexpended for CoC-, ESG-, or NDHG-funded projects in FY24? *(select one)* If the agency had 5% or more of unexpended funds in CoC-, ESG-, or NDHG-funded grants or projects, explain why funds were not expended. If this is a new HUD or ND state-funded grant, explain your projected spend-down date and if you are on track for the total expenditure of funds. *(Limit 750 characters)*	5	0-1% = 5 2-4% = 3 5% or more = 0 Agency does not have funding = N/A Detailed and relevant explanation = 2 Limited explanation = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score & ND CoC Competition Application
Financial Capacity & Monitoring	Did the agency make the required drawdowns for CoC-, ESG-, and/or NDHG-funded projects?	2	Yes = 2 No = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score
Financial Capacity & Monitoring	Describe the agency's financial management systems and internal controls used to administer public funding, including financial oversight, accounting systems, segregation of duties, grant monitoring, and fiscal staffing structure. (Limit 1,500 characters)	3	Detailed description demonstrating strong financial management systems & oversight capacity = 3 Limited explanation = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0				
Financial Capacity & Monitoring	Is the agency free of any HUD monitoring findings for CoC- or ESG-funded projects? If the agency has HUD monitoring findings, explain the findings, if they are resolved, or the plan, including the timeline, to resolve the findings. *(Limit 750 characters)*	2	Yes = 2 No = 0 Findings resolved or corrective action completed with documentation/timeline provided = 2 Findings not fully resolved, but agency demonstrates an active corrective action plan and timeline = 1 Missing, insufficient, or unrelated response = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score
Financial Capacity & Monitoring	Is the agency free of NDHFA monitoring findings for any CoC-, ESG-, or NDHG-funded projects? If the agency has NDHFA monitoring findings, explain the findings, if they are resolved, or the plan, including the timeline, to resolve the findings. *(Limit 750 characters)*	2	Yes = 2 No = 0 Findings resolved or corrective action completed with documentation/timeline provided = 2 Findings not fully resolved, but agency demonstrates an active corrective action plan and timeline = 1 Missing, insufficient, or unrelated response = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score
Financial Capacity & Monitoring	Is the agency free of findings in its most recent financial audit? If the agency has findings in their most recent financial audit, explain whether they are resolved, or the plan, including the timeline, to resolve the findings. *(Limit 750 characters)*	2	Yes = 2 No = 0 Findings resolved or corrective action completed with documentation/timeline provided = 2 Findings not fully resolved, but agency demonstrates an active corrective action plan and timeline = 1 Missing, insufficient, or unrelated response = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Category	**Question**	**Possible Points**	**Scoring Considerations**	**CoC Criteria Score**	**Reviewer s Score**	**Reviewer s Comments**	**Source Documentation/ Attachment**
HMIS & Data Quality	Does the agency use HMIS or a comparable database?	2	Yes = 2 No = 0				Source: ND CoC Competition Application

HMIS & Data Quality	Describe how the project ensures the accuracy, maintenance, and timely updating of the Coordinated Entry prioritization list, by-name list, and referral records, as applicable to the project design. *(Limit 1,500 characters)*	3	Detailed and relevant explanation = 3 Limited explanation = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0				Source: ND CoC Competition Application
HMIS & Data Quality	Explain how the project will use HMIS and Coordinated Entry data to support data quality, referral management, reporting, and compliance with ND CoC and HUD requirements. *(Limit 1,500 characters)*	3	Detailed and relevant explanation = 3 Limited explanation = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0				Source: ND CoC Competition Application
HMIS & Data Quality	Explain the safeguards and procedures in place to protect participant information and ensure confidentiality within Coordinated Entry and HMIS processes. *(Limit 1,500 characters)*	2	Detailed and relevant explanation = 2 Limited explanation = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0				Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Category	**Question**	**Possible Points**	**Scoring Considerations**	**CoC Criteria Score**	**Reviewer s Score**	**Reviewer s Comments**	**Source Documentation/ Attachment**
Coordinated Entry	Describe how the project will operate in accordance with ND CoC Coordinated Entry policies and procedures, including participant choice, prioritization, referral practices, non-discrimination requirements, and any applicable HUD requirements. (Limit 2,500 characters)	5	Full Points = 5 Partial Points = 3 Zero Points = 0				
Coordinated Entry	Explain how the project will ensure equitable access to Coordinated Entry services for all eligible households, including persons in rural areas, survivors of domestic violence, youth, veterans, and persons with disabilities, and ensuring reasonable accommodations are provided when needed. *(Limit 2,500 characters)*	5	Full Points = 5 Partial Points = 3 Zero Points = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Coordinated Entry	Describe how the project will coordinate with housing providers, shelters, victim service providers, behavioral health providers, employment and workforce partners, and mainstream benefit systems. *(Limit 2,500 characters)*	10	Full Points = 10 Partial Points = 5 Zero Points = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Coordinated Entry	Describe how the project will monitor Coordinated Entry performance and use data to evaluate and improve system outcomes. Include any performance measures, reporting processes, or quality improvement activities. *(Limit 2,500 characters)*	5	Full Points = 5 Partial Points = 3 Zero Points = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Coordinated Entry	Describe how the project tracks referrals and uses referral outcomes to improve Coordinated Entry effectiveness and participant access to housing resources. *(Limit 1,000 characters)*	2	Detailed and relevant explanation = 2 Limited explanation = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0				Source: ND CoC Competition Application

Application Information	
Applicant Name	
Funding Type (1A)	
Name of Project (1D)	
Amount Requested (1G)	
Consolidate/Expand (1K)	
Project Type (3A)	
100% Dedicated/ DedicatedPLUS (3C)	
Units/Beds (4B)	
Primary Contact	
Email Address	
Phone Number	
UIE Number	

Overview of Scoring		
Summary of Factors	**Possible Points**	**Reviewer s Score**
Agency Wide Scoring	**23**	**0**
ND CoC Participation	11	0
ND CoC Priority	5	0
Client Participation in Project Design	7	0
Project-Specific Scoring	**91**	**0**
Project Performance	32	0
Project Design	32	0
Financial Performance & Monitoring	13	0
HMIS & Data Quality	10	0
Coordinated Entry	4	0
Total Possible Points	**114**	**0**

AGENCY WIDE SCORING

Category	Question	Possible Points	Scoring Considerations	CoC Criteria Score	Reviewer s Score	Reviewer s Comments	Source Documentation/ Attachment
ND CoC Participation	Does the agency participate in the ND CoC and local efforts to address homelessness? (select one)	3	ND CoC member AND member of ND CoC committee AND active participation in local coalition/homeless response efforts = 3 ND CoC member AND member of ND CoC committee = 2 ND CoC Member = 1				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score
ND CoC Participation	Did agency staff participate in ND CoC meetings in the previous 12 months? *(select one)*	2	Staff participated in 100% of CoC quarterly meetings = 2 Staff participated in at least 50% of CoC quarterly meetings = 1 Staff participated in less than 50% of quarterly meetings = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score
ND CoC Participation	Did agency staff participate in ND CoC monthly trainings in the previous 12 months? *(select one)*	3	Staff participated in 100% of CoC monthly trainings = 3 Staff participated in at least 50% of CoC monthly trainings = 2 Staff participated in less than 50% of CoC monthly trainings = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score
ND CoC Participation	Did the agency participate in the unsheltered PIT Count for 2026? If no, explain why not.	2	Yes = 2 No = 0 Detailed explanation demonstrating reasonable circumstances or barriers to participation = 1				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score
ND CoC Participation	Did the agency submit PIT/HIC sheltered/housing information by the submission due date?	1	Yes = 1 No = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score

Category	Question	Possible Points	Scoring Considerations	CoC Criteria Score	Reviewer s Score	Reviewer s Comments	Source Documentation/ Attachment
ND CoC Priority	Does the project serve geographic areas of the state identified by the ND CoC as underserved or without existing CoC-funded housing/services projects? If yes, identify the region(s) the project will serve and describe how services and housing assistance will be provided within the identified area(s).	5	Serves area with no existing CoC-funded housing/services projects = 5 Expands services in historically underserved region with limited inventory = 3 Does not address identified geographic gap = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application

Category	Question	Possible Points	Scoring Considerations	CoC Criteria Score	Reviewer s Score	Reviewer s Comments	Source Documentation/ Attachment
Client Participation in Project Design	Does the agency engage individuals with lived experience in service design, project implementation, or organizational decision-making through any of the following strategies? (answer each statement; one point per strategy, up to 7 points)	7	7 strategies = 7 6 strategies = 6 5 strategies = 5 4 strategies = 4 3 strategies = 3 2 strategies = 2 1 strategy = 1 0 strategies = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application

PROJECT SPECIFIC SCORING - RENEWAL - PERMANENT SUPPORT HOUSING

Projects will be scored based on HMIS/APR data and the submitted project application. Some criteria are pre-scored based on data and scoring scales. Panelists may adjust pre-scored criteria based on documented project context; however, adjustments may not exceed 20% of the maximum available points for the applicable scoring factor. When using discretion, panelists should keep in mind:

Outcomes may be lower in projects serving higher-acuity populations with severe service needs and vulnerabilities, including persons experiencing chronic homelessness, serious mental illness, substance use disorders, and/or survivors of domestic violence; and

Project size may influence performance percentages, particularly in smaller projects where a limited number of participant outcomes may significantly affect overall percentages.

Category	Question	Possible Points	Scoring Considerations	CoC Criteria Score	Reviewer s Score	Reviewer s Comments	Source Documentation/ Attachment

Project Performance	What was the project's average bed utilization rate during the operating year, based on APR bed utilization data? If the project utilization rate is less than 80%, explain the low utilization rate and how the project will improve this performance measure in the upcoming year. *(Limit 750 characters)*	5	90-100% = 5 80-89% = 4 70-79% = 3 60-69% = 2 50-59% = 1 Less than 50% = 0 Detailed and relevant explanation = 2 Limited explanation = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score Attachment: Annual Performance Report
Project Performance	What percentage of program participants exited to positive or permanent housing destinations, excluding participants who passed away or exited to non-psychiatric hospitals, foster care, long-term care, or nursing homes? If the project is less than 85%, explain the low exits to positive or permanent housing and how the project will improve this performance measure in the upcoming year. *(Limit 750 characters)*	10	95-100% = 10 90-94% = 8 85-89% = 6 80-84% = 4 75-79% = 2 Less than 75% = 0 Detailed and relevant explanation = 4 Limited explanation = 2 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score Attachment: Annual Performance Report
Project Performance	What percentage of participants exited to emergency shelter or places not meant for habitation? If the project is more than 10%, explain the high rate of returns to homelessness and how the project will improve this performance measure in the upcoming year. *(Limit 750 characters)*	7	0-5% = 7 6-10% = 5 11-15% = 3 16-20% = 1 More than 20% = 0 Detailed and relevant explanation = 3 Limited explanation = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score Attachment: Annual Performance Report
Project Performance	What percentage of adult stayers/leavers experienced an increase in cash income from project entry to the latest annual assessment or project exit, excluding stayers not yet required to complete an annual assessment? If the project is less than 40%, explain the low percentage of stayers/leavers with increased cash income and how the project will improve this performance measure in the upcoming year. *(Limit 750 characters)*	5	55% or higher = 5 40-54% = 4 30-39% = 3 20-29% = 2 15-19% = 1 Less than 15% = 0 Detailed and relevant explanation = 2 Limited explanation = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score Attachment: Annual Performance Report
Project Performance	What percentage of participants had at least one non-cash benefit at exit or follow-up assessment, excluding stayers not yet required to have an assessment? If the project is less than 40%, explain the low percentage of participants with at least one non-cash benefit and how the project will improve this performance measure in the upcoming year. *(Limit 750 characters)*	5	60% or higher = 5 40-59% = 3 Less than 40% = 0 Detailed and relevant explanation = 2 Limited explanation = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score Attachment: Annual Performance Report

Category	Question	Possible Points	Scoring Considerations	CoC Criteria Score	Reviewer s Score	Reviewer s Comments	Source Documentation/ Attachment
In this section: **Full Points = Response is detailed, project-specific, and demonstrates a clear operational plan** **Partial Points = Response addresses the topic but lacks sufficient detail or implementation strategy** **Zero Points = Response is missing, unrelated or does not demonstrate an adequate plan**							
Project Design	Provide a description that addresses the project's scope, including the target population and the plan for addressing the needs of the target population. *(Limit 2,500 characters)*	5	Full Points = 5 Partial Points = 3 Zero Points = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Project Design	Describe the project's supportive services and case management approach for participants. Include, as applicable to the project design: types of services provided (e.g., housing navigation, mainstream benefit assistance, SSI/SSDI assistance, behavioral health or substance use coordination, trauma-informed care, employment support, transportation assistance, etc.), frequency of participant engagement, population-specific services (e.g., youth, domestic violence, chronic homelessness), staff-to-participant ratio, coordination with community-based services, follow-up after project exit, and other pertinent operational information related to the project. (Limit 2,500 characters)	15	Full Points = 15 Partial Points = 8 Zero Points = 0				
Project Design	What percentage of participants served by the project were enrolled from unsheltered locations, emergency shelter, or situations involving fleeing/attempting to flee domestic violence?	1	85% or higher of participants served were enrolled from unsheltered locations, emergency shelter, or situations involving fleeing/attempting to flee domestic violence = 1 Less than 85% of participants served were enrolled from unsheltered locations, emergency shelter, or situations involving fleeing/attempting to flee domestic violence = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Project Design	What percentage of turnover beds are prioritized for participants experiencing chronic homelessness?	1	75% or higher of turnover beds are prioritized for participants experiencing chronic homelessness = 1 Less than 75% of turnover beds are prioritized for participants experiencing chronic homelessness = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Project Design	Does the project attempt to follow up with participants at least semi-annually after project exit to ensure participants maintain access to mainstream benefits and community resources for up to 2 years?	2	Yes = 2 No = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application

Project Design	Are annual assessments completed within required HMIS and program timelines for participants who remain enrolled in the project?	2	Yes = 2 No = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Project Design	Describe the project's supportive service participation policies and participant engagement expectations, including how the project communicates, documents, and implements any required supportive service participation in accordance with 24 CFR 578.75(h), as applicable to the project design. Include any supportive service agreements, participation expectations, or participant engagement strategies utilized by the project. *(Limit 1,500 characters)*	3	Full Points = Response clearly describes participant engagement expectations, supportive service participation requirements (if applicable), and how participation is documented and implemented in accordance with project policies and 24 CFR 578.75(h) = 3 Partial Points = Response addresses supportive service participation policies or participant engagement expectations but lacks sufficient operational detail = 1 Zero Points = Response is missing, unrelated, or does not adequately address supportive service participation policies or requirements = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Project Design	Explain how the project will address the behavioral health and substance use service needs of participants, including whether treatment requirements apply, if applicable. Include any applicable project policies, occupancy agreements, referral partnerships, or service coordination strategies. (Limit 2,500 characters) If treatment participation is required, attach applicable occupancy agreements or policies.	3	Full Points = 3 Partial Points = 1 Zero Points = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application Attachment: Agreement(s)

Category	Question	Possible Points	Scoring Considerations	CoC Criteria Score	Reviewer s Score	Reviewer s Comments	Source Documentation/ Attachment
Financial Performance & Monitoring	What percentage of project funds remained unexpended at the end of the FY24 operating year for the grant awarded under Competition Year 2023? If the project had 5% or more of unexpended funds, explain why funds were not expended. If the project did not have a full operating year, explain the projected spend-down date and whether the project is on track for full expenditure of funds. *(Limit 750 characters)*	5	0-1.99% = 5 2-4.99% = 3 5% or more = 0 Project did not have a full operating year = N/A Detailed and relevant explanation = 2 Limited explanation = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score & ND CoC Competition Application
Financial Performance & Monitoring	Did the project complete required drawdowns at least quarterly?	2	Yes = 2 No = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score & Intent to Apply
Financial Performance & Monitoring	Is the agency free of HUD monitoring findings for CoC- or ESG-funded projects? If the agency has HUD monitoring findings, explain the findings, if they are resolved, or the plan, including the timeline, to resolve the findings. *(Limit 750 characters)*	2	Yes = 2 No = 0 Findings resolved or corrective action completed with documentation/timeline provided = 2 Findings not fully resolved, but agency demonstrates an active corrective action plan and timeline = 1 Missing, insufficient, or unrelated response = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score & ND CoC Competition Application Attachment: HUD monitoring, if needed
Financial Performance & Monitoring	Did the project fully participate in the ND CoC monitoring process, including promptly responding to requests for information and subsequent correspondence?	2	Yes = 2 No = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score Attachment: ND CoC monitoring, if needed
Financial Performance & Monitoring	Is the agency free of NDHFA monitoring findings for any CoC-, ESG-, or NDHG-funded projects? If the agency has NDHFA monitoring findings, explain the findings, if they are resolved, or the plan, including the timeline, to resolve the findings. *(Limit 750 characters)*	2	Yes = 2 No = 0 Findings resolved or corrective action completed with documentation/timeline provided = 2 Findings not fully resolved, but agency demonstrates an active corrective action plan and timeline = 1 Missing, insufficient, or unrelated response = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score

Category	Question	Possible Points	Scoring Considerations	CoC Criteria Score	Reviewer s Score	Reviewer s Comments	Source Documentation/ Attachment
HMIS & Data Quality	Does the project enter at least 90% of HMIS project start records within 10 days of participant project start dates? If less than 90% of HMIS project start records were entered within 10 days, explain the barriers contributing to delayed data entry and describe the steps the agency will take to improve HMIS data entry timeliness. *(Limit 750 characters)*	2	90-100% of records entered within 10 days = 2 Less than 90% of records entered within 10 days = 0 Detailed explanation and improvement plan = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated response = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score Attachment: Annual Performance Report
HMIS & Data Quality	Does the project maintain a combined HMIS data quality error rate of less than 3%? If the project has 6% or higher, explain why and how the agency will improve data completeness. *(Limit 750 characters)*	2	Less than 3% = 2 3-5.99% = 1 6% or higher = 0 Detailed explanation and improvement plan = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated response = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score Attachment: Annual Performance Report
HMIS & Data Quality	What is the average number of days between the project start date and the housing move-in date? If the project has 22 days or more, explain the delay between project start and housing move-in and how the agency will improve housing placement timeliness. *(Limit 750 characters)*	3	7 days or less = 3 8-14 days = 2 15-21 days = 1 22 days or more = 0 Detailed explanation and improvement plan = 2 Unacceptable/unrelated response = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score & ND CoC Competition Application Attachment: Annual Performance Report

HMIS & Data Quality	What is the project's total destination error rate? If the project has a destination error rate of 10% or higher, explain the causes of the errors and how the agency will improve data accuracy. *(Limit 750 characters)*	3	0-9.99% = 3 10% or higher = 0 Detailed explanation and improvement plan = 2 Unacceptable/unrelated response = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score & ND CoC Competition Application Attachment: Annual Performance Report
Category	**Question**	**Possible Points**	**Scoring Considerations**	**CoC Criteria Score**	**Reviewer s Score**	**Reviewer s Comments**	**Source Documentation/ Attachment**
Coordinated Entry	Does the project fill all available units through the Coordinated Entry Prioritization List in accordance with ND CoC Coordinated Entry policies and HUD requirements?	2	Yes = 2 No = 0				Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Coordinated Entry	What percentage of Coordinated Entry referrals were rejected by the project? If the project rejection rate is 20% or higher, explain the reasons for the rejected referrals and how the agency will improve referral acceptance and placement outcomes. *(Limit 750 characters)*	2	Less than 15% = 2 15-19.99% = 1 20% or higher = 0 Detailed explanation and improvement plan = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated response = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score & ND CoC Competition Application Attachment: HMIS CE Referral Report

Application Information	
Applicant Name	
Funding Type (1A)	
Name of Project (1D)	
Amount Requested (1G)	
Consolidate/Expand (1K)	
Project Type (3A)	
100% Dedicated/ DedicatedPLUS (3C)	
Units/Beds (4B)	
Primary Contact	
Email Address	
Phone Number	
UIE Number	

Overview of Scoring		
Summary of Factors	**Possible Points**	**Reviewer s Score**
Agency Wide Scoring	**23**	**0**
ND CoC Participation	11	0
ND CoC Priority	5	0
Client Participation in Project Design	7	0
Project-Specific Scoring	**92**	**0**
Project Performance	34	0
Project Design	31	0
Financial Performance & Monitoring	13	0
HMIS & Data Quality	10	0
Coordinated Entry	4	0
Total Possible Points	**115**	**0**

AGENCY WIDE SCORING

Category	Question	Possible Points	Scoring Considerations	CoC Criteria Score	Reviewer s Score	Reviewer s Comments	Source Documentation/ Attachment
ND CoC Participation	Does the agency participate in the ND CoC and local efforts to address homelessness? (select one)	3	ND CoC member AND member of ND CoC committee AND active participation in local coalition/homeless response efforts = 3 ND CoC member AND member of ND CoC committee = 2 ND CoC Member = 1				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score
ND CoC Participation	Did agency staff participate in ND CoC meetings in the previous 12 months? *(select one)*	2	Staff participated in 100% of CoC quarterly meetings = 2 Staff participated in at least 50% of CoC quarterly meetings = 1 Staff participated in less than 50% of quarterly meetings = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score
ND CoC Participation	Did agency staff participate in ND CoC monthly trainings in the previous 12 months? *(select one)*	3	Staff participated in 100% of CoC monthly trainings = 3 Staff participated in at least 50% of CoC monthly trainings = 2 Staff participated in less than 50% of CoC monthly trainings = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score
ND CoC Participation	Did the agency participate in the unsheltered PIT Count for 2026? If no, explain why not.	2	Yes = 2 No = 0 Detailed explanation demonstrating reasonable circumstances or barriers to participation = 1				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score
ND CoC Participation	Did the agency submit PIT/HIC sheltered/housing information by the submission due date?	1	Yes = 1 No = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score

Category	Question	Possible Points	Scoring Considerations	CoC Criteria Score	Reviewer s Score	Reviewer s Comments	Source Documentation/ Attachment
ND CoC Priority	Does the project serve geographic areas of the state identified by the ND CoC as underserved or without existing CoC-funded housing/services projects? If yes, identify the region(s) the project will serve and describe how services and housing assistance will be provided within the identified area(s).	5	Serves area with no existing CoC-funded housing/services projects = 5 Expands services in historically underserved region with limited inventory = 3 Does not address identified geographic gap = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application

Category	Question	Possible Points	Scoring Considerations	CoC Criteria Score	Reviewer s Score	Reviewer s Comments	Source Documentation/ Attachment
Client Participation in Project Design	Does the agency engage individuals with lived experience in service design, project implementation, or organizational decision-making through any of the following strategies? (answer each statement; one point per strategy, up to 7 points)	7	7 strategies = 7 6 strategies = 6 5 strategies = 5 4 strategies = 4 3 strategies = 3 2 strategies = 2 1 strategy = 1 0 strategies = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application

PROJECT SPECIFIC SCORING - RENEWAL - RAPID RE-HOUSING

Projects will be scored based on HMIS/APR data and the submitted project application. Some criteria are pre-scored based on data and scoring scales. Panelists may adjust pre-scored criteria based on documented project context; however, adjustments may not exceed 20% of the maximum available points for the applicable scoring factor. When using discretion, panelists should keep in mind:

Outcomes may be lower in projects serving higher-acuity populations with severe service needs and vulnerabilities, including persons experiencing chronic homelessness, serious mental illness, substance use disorders, and/or survivors of domestic violence; and

Project size may influence performance percentages, particularly in smaller projects where a limited number of participant outcomes may significantly affect overall percentages.

Category	Question	Possible Points	Scoring Considerations	CoC Criteria Score	Reviewer s Score	Reviewer s Comments	Source Documentation/ Attachment
Project Performance	What was the project's average bed utilization rate during the operating year, based on APR bed utilization data?	5	85-100% = 5 70-84% = 3 55-69% = 2 Less than 55% = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score

	If the project utilization rate is less than 70%, explain the low utilization rate and how the project will improve this performance measure in the upcoming year. *(Limit 750 characters)*		Detailed and relevant explanation = 2 Limited explanation = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0				Attachment: Annual Performance Report
Project Performance	What percentage of program participants exited to positive or permanent housing destinations, excluding participants who passed away or exited to non-psychiatric hospitals, foster care, long-term care, or nursing homes? *(select one)* If the project is less than 85%, explain the low exits to positive or permanent housing destinations and how the project will improve this performance measure in the upcoming year. *(Limit 750 characters)*	10	95-100% = 10 90-94% = 8 85-89% = 6 80-84% = 4 75-79% = 2 Less than 75% = 0 Detailed and relevant explanation = 4 Limited explanation = 2 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score Attachment: Annual Performance Report
Project Performance	What is the project's median length of stay for participants who exited the project during the operating year? If the project median length of stay is less than 6 months, explain the factors contributing to shorter lengths of stay and how the project supports long-term housing stability and reduced returns to homelessness following project exit. *(Limit 750 characters)*	2	13-24 months = 2 6-12 months = 1 Less than 6 months = 0 Detailed and relevant explanation = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score & ND CoC Competition Application Attachment: Annual Performance Report
Project Performance	What percentage of participants exited to emergency shelter or places not meant for habitation? If the project is more than 10%, explain the high rate of returns to homelessness and how the project will improve this performance measure in the upcoming year. *(Limit 750 characters)*	7	0-5% = 7 6-10% = 5 11-15% = 3 16-20% = 1 More than 20% = 0 Detailed and relevant explanation = 3 Limited explanation = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score Attachment: Annual Performance Report
Project Performance	What percentage of adult stayers/leavers experienced an increase in cash income from project entry to the latest annual assessment or project exit, excluding stayers not yet required to complete an annual assessment? *(select one)* If the project is less than 40%, explain the low percentage of stayers/leavers with increased cash income and how the project will improve this performance measure in the upcoming year. *(Limit 750 characters)*	5	55% or higher = 5 40-54% = 4 30-39% = 3 20-29% = 2 15-19% = 1 Less than 15% = 0 Detailed and relevant explanation = 2 Limited explanation = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score Attachment: Annual Performance Report
Project Performance	What percentage of participants had at least one non-cash benefit at exit or follow-up assessment, excluding stayers not yet required to have an assessment? *(select one)* If the project is less than 40%, explain the low percentage of participants with at least one non-cash benefit and how the project will improve this performance measure in the upcoming year. *(Limit 750 characters)*	5	60% or higher = 5 40-59% = 3 Less than 40% = 0 Detailed and relevant explanation = 2 Limited explanation = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score Attachment: Annual Performance Report

Category	Question	Possible Points	Scoring Considerations	CoC Criteria Score	Reviewer s Score	Reviewer s Comments	Source Documentation/ Attachment
In this section: **Full Points = Response is detailed, project-specific, and demonstrates a clear operational plan** **Partial Points = Response addresses the topic but lacks sufficient detail or implementation strategy** **Zero Points = Response is missing, unrelated or does not demonstrate an adequate plan**							
Project Design	Provide a description that addresses the project's scope, including the target population and the plan for addressing the needs of the target population. *(Limit 2,500 characters)*	5	Full Points = 5 Partial Points = 3 Zero Points = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Project Design	Describe the project's supportive services and case management approach for participants. Include, as applicable to the project design: types of services provided (e.g., housing navigation, mainstream benefit assistance, SSI/SSDI assistance, behavioral health or substance use coordination, trauma-informed care, employment support, transportation assistance, etc.), frequency of participant engagement, population-specific services (e.g., youth, domestic violence, chronic homelessness), staff-to-participant ratio, coordination with community-based services, follow-up after project exit, and other pertinent operational information related to the project. (Limit 2,500 characters)	15	Full Points = 15 Partial Points = 8 Zero Points = 0				
Project Design	What percentage of participants served by the project were enrolled from unsheltered locations, emergency shelter, or situations involving fleeing/attempting to flee domestic violence?	1	85% or higher of participants served were enrolled from unsheltered locations, emergency shelter, or situations involving fleeing/attempting to flee domestic violence = 1 Less than 85% of participants served were enrolled from unsheltered locations, emergency shelter, or situations involving fleeing/attempting to flee domestic violence = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Project Design	Does the project attempt to follow up with participants at least semi-annually after project exit to ensure participants maintain access to mainstream benefits and community resources for up to 2 years?	2	Yes = 2 No = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Project Design	Are annual assessments completed within required HMIS and program timelines for participants who remain enrolled in the project?	2	Yes = 2 No = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application

Project Design	Describe the project's supportive service participation policies and participant engagement expectations, including how the project communicates, documents, and implements any required supportive service participation in accordance with 24 CFR 578.75(h), as applicable to the project design. Include any supportive service agreements, participation expectations, or participant engagement strategies utilized by the project. *(Limit 1,500 characters)*	3	Full Points = Response clearly describes participant engagement expectations, supportive service participation requirements (if applicable), and how participation is documented and implemented in accordance with project policies and 24 CFR 578.75(h) = 3 Partial Points = Response addresses supportive service participation policies or participant engagement expectations but lacks sufficient operational detail = 1 Zero Points = Response is missing, unrelated, or does not adequately address supportive service participation policies or requirements = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Project Design	Explain how the project will address the behavioral health and substance use service needs of participants, including whether treatment requirements apply, if applicable. Include any applicable project policies, occupancy agreements, referral partnerships, or service coordination strategies. (Limit 2,500 characters) If treatment participation is required, attach applicable occupancy agreements or policies.	3	Full Points = 3 Partial Points = 1 Zero Points = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application Attachment: Agreement(s)

Category	Question	Possible Points	Scoring Considerations	CoC Criteria Score	Reviewer s Score	Reviewer s Comments	Source Documentation/ Attachment
Financial Performance & Monitoring	What percentage of project funds remained unexpended at the end of the FY24 operating year for the grant awarded under Competition Year 2023? If the project had 5% or more of unexpended funds, explain why funds were not expended. If the project did not have a full operating year, explain the projected spend-down date and whether the project is on track for full expenditure of funds. *(Limit 750 characters)*	5	0-1.99% = 5 2-4.99% = 3 5% or more = 0 Project did not have a full operating year = N/A Detailed and relevant explanation = 2 Limited explanation = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score & ND CoC Competition Application
Financial Performance & Monitoring	Did the project complete required drawdowns at least quarterly?	2	Yes = 2 No = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score & Intent to Apply
Financial Performance & Monitoring	Is the agency free of HUD monitoring findings for CoC- or ESG-funded projects? If the agency has HUD monitoring findings, explain the findings, if they are resolved, or the plan, including the timeline, to resolve the findings. *(Limit 750 characters)*	2	Yes = 2 No = 0 Findings resolved or corrective action completed with documentation/timeline provided = 2 Findings not fully resolved, but agency demonstrates an active corrective action plan and timeline = 1 Missing, insufficient, or unrelated response = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score & ND CoC Competition Application Attachment: HUD monitoring, if needed
Financial Performance & Monitoring	Did the project fully participate in the ND CoC monitoring process, including promptly responding to requests for information and subsequent correspondence?	2	Yes = 2 No = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score Attachment: ND CoC monitoring, if needed
Financial Performance & Monitoring	Is the agency free of NDHFA monitoring findings for any CoC-, ESG-, or NDHG-funded projects? If the agency has NDHFA monitoring findings, explain the findings, if they are resolved, or the plan, including the timeline, to resolve the findings. *(Limit 750 characters)*	2	Yes = 2 No = 0 Findings resolved or corrective action completed with documentation/timeline provided = 2 Findings not fully resolved, but agency demonstrates an active corrective action plan and timeline = 1 Missing, insufficient, or unrelated response = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score

Category	Question	Possible Points	Scoring Considerations	CoC Criteria Score	Reviewer s Score	Reviewer s Comments	Source Documentation/ Attachment
HMIS & Data Quality	Does the project enter at least 90% of HMIS project start records within 10 days of participant project start dates? If less than 90% of HMIS project start records were entered within 10 days, explain the barriers contributing to delayed data entry and describe the steps the agency will take to improve HMIS data entry timeliness. *(Limit 750 characters)*	2	90-100% of records entered within 10 days = 2 Less than 90% of records entered within 10 days = 0 Detailed explanation and improvement plan = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated response = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score Attachment: Annual Performance Report
HMIS & Data Quality	Does the project maintain a combined HMIS data quality error rate of less than 3%? If the project has 6% or higher, explain why and how the agency will improve data completeness. *(Limit 750 characters)*	2	Less than 3% = 2 3-5.99% = 1 6% or higher = 0 Detailed explanation and improvement plan = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated response = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score Attachment: Annual Performance Report
HMIS & Data Quality	What is the average number of days between the project start date and the housing move-in date? If the project has 22 days or more, explain the delay between project start and housing move-in and how the agency will improve housing placement timeliness. *(Limit 750 characters)*	3	7 days or less = 3 8-14 days = 2 15-21 days = 1 22 days or more = 0 Detailed explanation and improvement plan = 2 Unacceptable/unrelated response = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score & ND CoC Competition Application Attachment: Annual Performance Report
	What is the project's total destination error rate?		0-9.99% = 3 10% or higher = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score & ND

HMIS & Data Quality	If the project has a destination error rate of 10% or higher, explain the causes of the errors and how the agency will improve data accuracy. *(Limit 750 characters)*	3	Detailed explanation and improvement plan = 2 Unacceptable/unrelated response = 0				CoC Competition Application Attachment: Annual Performance Report
Category	**Question**	**Possible Points**	**Scoring Considerations**	**CoC Criteria Score**	**Reviewer s Score**	**Reviewer s Comments**	**Source Documentation/ Attachment**
Coordinated Entry	Does the project fill all available units through the Coordinated Entry Prioritization List in accordance with ND CoC Coordinated Entry policies and HUD requirements?	2	Yes = 2 No = 0				Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Coordinated Entry	What percentage of Coordinated Entry referrals were rejected by the project? If the project rejection rate is 20% or higher, explain the reasons for the rejected referrals and how the agency will improve referral acceptance and placement outcomes. *(Limit 750 characters)*	2	Less than 15% = 2 15-19% = 1 20% or higher = 0 Detailed explanation and improvement plan = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated response = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score & ND CoC Competition Application Attachment: HMIS CE Referral Report

Application Information	
Applicant Name	
Funding Type (1A)	
Name of Project (1D)	
Amount Requested (1G)	
Consolidate/Expand (1K)	
Project Type (3A)	
100% Dedicated/ DedicatedPLUS (3C)	
Units/Beds (4B)	
Primary Contact	
Email Address	
Phone Number	
UIE Number	

Overview of Scoring		
Summary of Factors	**Possible Points**	**Reviewer s Score**
Agency Wide Scoring	**23**	**0**
ND CoC Participation	11	0
ND CoC Priority	5	0
Client Participation in Project Design	7	0
Project-Specific Scoring	**92**	**0**
Project Performance	34	0
Project Design	31	0
Financial Performance & Monitoring	13	0
HMIS & Data Quality	10	0
Coordinated Entry	4	0
Total Possible Points	**115**	**0**

AGENCY WIDE SCORING

Category	Question	Possible Points	Scoring Considerations	CoC Criteria Score	Reviewer s Score	Reviewer s Comments	Source Documentation/ Attachment
ND CoC Participation	Does the agency participate in the ND CoC and local efforts to address homelessness? (select one)	3	ND CoC member AND member of ND CoC committee AND active participation in local coalition/homeless response efforts = 3 ND CoC member AND member of ND CoC committee = 2 ND CoC Member = 1				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score
ND CoC Participation	Did agency staff participate in ND CoC meetings in the previous 12 months? *(select one)*	2	Staff participated in 100% of CoC quarterly meetings = 2 Staff participated in at least 50% of CoC quarterly meetings = 1 Staff participated in less than 50% of quarterly meetings = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score
ND CoC Participation	Did agency staff participate in ND CoC monthly trainings in the previous 12 months? *(select one)*	3	Staff participated in 100% of CoC monthly trainings = 3 Staff participated in at least 50% of CoC monthly trainings = 2 Staff participated in less than 50% of CoC monthly trainings = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score
ND CoC Participation	Did the agency participate in the unsheltered PIT Count for 2026? If no, explain why not.	2	Yes = 2 No = 0 Detailed explanation demonstrating reasonable circumstances or barriers to participation = 1				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score
ND CoC Participation	Did the agency submit PIT/HIC sheltered/housing information by the submission due date?	1	Yes = 1 No = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score

Category	Question	Possible Points	Scoring Considerations	CoC Criteria Score	Reviewer s Score	Reviewer s Comments	Source Documentation/ Attachment
ND CoC Priority	Does the project serve geographic areas of the state identified by the ND CoC as underserved or without existing CoC-funded housing/services projects? If yes, identify the region(s) the project will serve and describe how services and housing assistance will be provided within the identified area(s).	5	Serves area with no existing CoC-funded housing/services projects = 5 Expands services in historically underserved region with limited inventory = 3 Does not address identified geographic gap = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application

Category	Question	Possible Points	Scoring Considerations	CoC Criteria Score	Reviewer s Score	Reviewer s Comments	Source Documentation/ Attachment
Client Participation in Project Design	Does the agency engage individuals with lived experience in service design, project implementation, or organizational decision-making through any of the following strategies? (answer each statement; one point per strategy, up to 7 points)	7	7 strategies = 7 6 strategies = 6 5 strategies = 5 4 strategies = 4 3 strategies = 3 2 strategies = 2 1 strategy = 1 0 strategies = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application

PROJECT SPECIFIC SCORING - RENEWAL - TRANSITIONAL HOUSING

Projects will be scored based on HMIS/APR data and the submitted project application. Some criteria are pre-scored based on data and scoring scales. Panelists may adjust pre-scored criteria based on documented project context; however, adjustments may not exceed 20% of the maximum available points for the applicable scoring factor. When using discretion, panelists should keep in mind:

Outcomes may be lower in projects serving higher-acuity populations with severe service needs and vulnerabilities, including persons experiencing chronic homelessness, serious mental illness, substance use disorders, and/or survivors of domestic violence; and

Project size may influence performance percentages, particularly in smaller projects where a limited number of participant outcomes may significantly affect overall percentages.

Category	Question	Possible Points	Scoring Considerations	CoC Criteria Score	Reviewer s Score	Reviewer s Comments	Source Documentation/ Attachment
Project Performance	What was the project's average bed utilization rate during the operating year, based on APR bed utilization data?	5	85-100% = 5 70-84% = 3 55-69% = 2 Less than 55% = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score

	If the project utilization rate is less than 70%, explain the low utilization rate and how the project will improve this performance measure in the upcoming year. *(Limit 750 characters)*		Detailed and relevant explanation = 2 Limited explanation = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0				Attachment: Annual Performance Report
Project Performance	What percentage of program participants exited to positive or permanent housing destinations, excluding participants who passed away or exited to non-psychiatric hospitals, foster care, long-term care, or nursing homes? *(select one)* If the project is less than 85%, explain the low exits to positive or permanent housing and how the project will improve this performance measure in the upcoming year. *(Limit 750 characters)*	10	95-100% = 10 90-94% = 8 85-89% = 6 80-84% = 4 75-79% = 2 Less than 75% = 0 Detailed and relevant explanation = 4 Limited explanation = 2 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score Attachment: Annual Performance Report
Project Performance	What is the project's median length of stay for participants who exited the project during the operating year? If the project median length of stay is less than 6 months, explain the factors contributing to shorter lengths of stay and how the project supports long-term housing stability and reduced returns to homelessness following project exit. *(Limit 750 characters)*	2	13-24 months = 2 6-12 months = 1 Less than 6 months = 0 Detailed and relevant explanation = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score & ND CoC Competition Application Attachment: Annual Performance Report
Project Performance	What percentage of participants exited to emergency shelter or places not meant for habitation? If the project is more than 10%, explain the high rate of returns to homelessness and how the project will improve this performance measure in the upcoming year. *(Limit 750 characters)*	7	0-5% = 7 6-10% = 5 11-15% = 3 16-20% = 1 More than 20% = 0 Detailed and relevant explanation = 3 Limited explanation = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score Attachment: Annual Performance Report
Project Performance	What percentage of adult stayers/leavers experienced an increase in cash income from project entry to the latest annual assessment or project exit, excluding stayers not yet required to complete an annual assessment? *(select one)* If the project is less than 40%, explain the low percentage of stayers/leavers with increased cash income and how the project will improve this performance measure in the upcoming year. *(Limit 750 characters)*	5	55% or higher = 5 40-54% = 4 30-39% = 3 20-29% = 2 15-19% = 1 Less than 15% = 0 Detailed and relevant explanation = 2 Limited explanation = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score Attachment: Annual Performance Report
Project Performance	What percentage of participants had at least one non-cash benefit at exit or follow-up assessment, excluding stayers not yet required to have an assessment? *(select one)* If the project is less than 40%, explain the low percentage of participants with at least one non-cash benefit and how the project will improve this performance measure in the upcoming year. *(Limit 750 characters)*	5	60% or higher = 5 40-59% = 3 Less than 40% = 0 Detailed and relevant explanation = 2 Limited explanation = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score Attachment: Annual Performance Report

Category	Question	Possible Points	Scoring Considerations	CoC Criteria Score	Reviewer s Score	Reviewer s Comments	Source Documentation/ Attachment
In this section: **Full Points = Response is detailed, project-specific, and demonstrates a clear operational plan** **Partial Points = Response addresses the topic but lacks sufficient detail or implementation strategy** **Zero Points = Response is missing, unrelated or does not demonstrate an adequate plan**							
Project Design	Provide a description that addresses the project's scope, including the target population and the plan for addressing the needs of the target population. *(Limit 2,500 characters)*	5	Full Points = 5 Partial Points = 3 Zero Points = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Project Design	Describe the project's supportive services and case management approach for participants. Include, as applicable to the project design: types of services provided (e.g., housing navigation, mainstream benefit assistance, SSI/SSDI assistance, behavioral health or substance use coordination, trauma-informed care, employment support, transportation assistance, etc.), frequency of participant engagement, population-specific services (e.g., youth, domestic violence, chronic homelessness), staff-to-participant ratio, coordination with community-based services, follow-up after project exit, and other pertinent operational information related to the project. (Limit 2,500 characters)	15	Full Points = 15 Partial Points = 8 Zero Points = 0				
Project Design	What percentage of participants served by the project were enrolled from unsheltered locations, emergency shelter, or situations involving fleeing/attempting to flee domestic violence?	1	85% or higher of participants served were enrolled from unsheltered locations, emergency shelter, or situations involving fleeing/attempting to flee domestic violence = 1 Less than 85% of participants served were enrolled from unsheltered locations, emergency shelter, or situations involving fleeing/attempting to flee domestic violence = 0				Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Project Design	Does the project attempt to follow up with participants at least semi-annually after project exit to ensure participants maintain access to mainstream benefits and community resources for up to 2 years?	2	Yes = 2 No = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Project Design	Are annual assessments completed within required HMIS and program timelines for participants who remain enrolled in the project?	2	Yes = 2 No = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application

Project Design	Describe the project's supportive service participation policies and participant engagement expectations, including how the project communicates, documents, and implements any required supportive service participation in accordance with 24 CFR 578.75(h), as applicable to the project design. Include any supportive service agreements, participation expectations, or participant engagement strategies utilized by the project. *(Limit 1,500 characters)*	3	Full Points = Response clearly describes participant engagement expectations, supportive service participation requirements (if applicable), and how participation is documented and implemented in accordance with project policies and 24 CFR 578.75(h) = 3 Partial Points = Response addresses supportive service participation policies or participant engagement expectations but lacks sufficient operational detail = 1 Zero Points = Response is missing, unrelated, or does not adequately address supportive service participation policies or requirements = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Project Design	Explain how the project will address the behavioral health and substance use service needs of participants, including whether treatment requirements apply, if applicable. Include any applicable project policies, occupancy agreements, referral partnerships, or service coordination strategies. (Limit 2,500 characters) If treatment participation is required, attach applicable occupancy agreements or policies.	3	Full Points = 3 Partial Points = 1 Zero Points = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application Attachment: Agreement(s)

Category	Question	Possible Points	Scoring Considerations	CoC Criteria Score	Reviewer s Score	Reviewer s Comments	Source Documentation/ Attachment
Financial Performance & Monitoring	What percentage of project funds remained unexpended at the end of the FY24 operating year for the grant awarded under Competition Year 2023? If the project had 5% or more of unexpended funds, explain why funds were not expended. If the project did not have a full operating year, explain the projected spend-down date and whether the project is on track for full expenditure of funds. *(Limit 750 characters)*	5	0-1% = 5 2-4% = 3 5% or more = 0 Project did not have a full operating year = N/A Detailed and relevant explanation = 2 Limited explanation = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score & ND CoC Competition Application
Financial Performance & Monitoring	Did the project complete required drawdowns at least quarterly?	2	Yes = 2 No = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score & Intent to Apply
Financial Performance & Monitoring	Is the agency free of HUD monitoring findings for CoC- or ESG-funded projects? If the agency has HUD monitoring findings, explain the findings, if they are resolved, or the plan, including the timeline, to resolve the findings. *(Limit 750 characters)*	2	Yes = 2 No = 0 Findings resolved or corrective action completed with documentation/timeline provided = 2 Findings not fully resolved, but agency demonstrates an active corrective action plan and timeline = 1 Missing, insufficient, or unrelated response = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score & ND CoC Competition Application Attachment: HUD monitoring, if needed
Financial Performance & Monitoring	Did the project fully participate in the ND CoC monitoring process, including promptly responding to requests for information and subsequent correspondence?	2	Yes = 2 No = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score Attachment: ND CoC monitoring, if needed
Financial Performance & Monitoring	Is the agency free of NDHFA monitoring findings for any CoC-, ESG-, or NDHG-funded projects? If the agency has NDHFA monitoring findings, explain the findings, if they are resolved, or the plan, including the timeline, to resolve the findings. *(Limit 750 characters)*	2	Yes = 2 No = 0 Findings resolved or corrective action completed with documentation/timeline provided = 2 Findings not fully resolved, but agency demonstrates an active corrective action plan and timeline = 1 Missing, insufficient, or unrelated response = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score

Category	Question	Possible Points	Scoring Considerations	CoC Criteria Score	Reviewer s Score	Reviewer s Comments	Source Documentation/ Attachment
HMIS & Data Quality	Does the project enter at least 90% of HMIS project start records within 10 days of participant project start dates? If less than 90% of HMIS project start records were entered within 10 days, explain the barriers contributing to delayed data entry and describe the steps the agency will take to improve HMIS data entry timeliness. *(Limit 750 characters)*	2	90-100% of records entered within 10 days = 2 Less than 90% of records entered within 10 days = 0 Detailed explanation and improvement plan = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated response = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score Attachment: Annual Performance Report
HMIS & Data Quality	Does the project maintain a combined HMIS data quality error rate of less than 3%? If the project has 6% or higher, explain why and how the agency will improve data completeness. *(Limit 750 characters)*	2	Less than 3% = 2 3-5.99% = 1 6% or higher = 0 Detailed explanation and improvement plan = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated response = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score Attachment: Annual Performance Report
HMIS & Data Quality	What is the average number of days between project start date and housing move-in date? If the project has 22 days or more, explain the delay between project start and housing move-in and how the agency will improve housing placement timeliness. *(Limit 750 characters)*	3	7 days or less = 3 8-14 days = 2 15-21 days = 1 22 days or more = 0 Detailed explanation and improvement plan = 2 Unacceptable/unrelated response = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score & ND CoC Competition Application Attachment: Annual Performance Report
	What is the project's total destination error rate?		0-9% = 3 10% or higher = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score & ND

HMIS & Data Quality	If the project has a destination error rate of 10% or higher, explain the causes of the errors and how the agency will improve data accuracy. *(Limit 750 characters)*	3	Detailed explanation and improvement plan = 2 Unacceptable/unrelated response = 0				CoC Competition Application Attachment: Annual Performance Report
Category	**Question**	**Possible Points**	**Scoring Considerations**	**CoC Criteria Score**	**Reviewer s Score**	**Reviewer s Comments**	**Source Documentation/ Attachment**
Coordinated Entry	Does the project fill all available units through the Coordinated Entry Prioritization List in accordance with ND CoC Coordinated Entry policies and HUD requirements?	2	Yes = 2 No = 0				Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Coordinated Entry	What percentage of Coordinated Entry referrals were rejected by the project? If the project rejection rate is 20% or higher, explain the reasons for the rejected referrals and how the agency will improve referral acceptance and placement outcomes. *(Limit 750 characters)*	2	Less than 15% = 2 15-19% = 1 20% or higher = 0 Detailed explanation and improvement plan = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated response = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score & ND CoC Competition Application Attachment: HMIS CE Referral Report

Application Information	
Applicant Name	
Funding Type (1A)	
Name of Project (1D)	
Amount Requested (1G)	
Consolidate/Expand (1K)	
Project Type (3A)	
100% Dedicated/ DedicatedPLUS (3C)	
Units/Beds (4B)	
Primary Contact	
Email Address	
Phone Number	
UIE Number	

Overview of Scoring		
Summary of Factors	**Possible Points**	**Reviewer s Score**
Agency Wide Scoring	**23**	**0**
ND CoC Participation	11	0
ND CoC Priority	5	0
Client Participation in Project Design	7	0
Project-Specific Scoring	**83**	**0**
Project Performance	27	0
Project Design	31	0
Financial Performance & Monitoring	13	0
HMIS & Data Quality	7	0
Coordinated Entry	5	0
Total Possible Points	**106**	**0**

AGENCY WIDE SCORING

Category	Question	Possible Points	Scoring Considerations	CoC Criteria Score	Reviewer s Score	Reviewer s Comments	Source Documentation/ Attachment
ND CoC Participation	Does the agency participate in the ND CoC and local efforts to address homelessness? (select one)	3	ND CoC member AND member of ND CoC committee AND active participation in local coalition/homeless response efforts = 3 ND CoC member AND member of ND CoC committee = 2 ND CoC Member = 1				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score
ND CoC Participation	Did agency staff participate in ND CoC meetings in the previous 12 months? *(select one)*	2	Staff participated in 100% of CoC quarterly meetings = 2 Staff participated in at least 50% of CoC quarterly meetings = 1 Staff participated in less than 50% of quarterly meetings = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score
ND CoC Participation	Did agency staff participate in ND CoC monthly trainings in the previous 12 months? *(select one)*	3	Staff participated in 100% of CoC monthly trainings = 3 Staff participated in at least 50% of CoC monthly trainings = 2 Staff participated in less than 50% of CoC monthly trainings = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score
ND CoC Participation	Did the agency participate in the unsheltered PIT Count for 2026? If no, explain why not.	2	Yes = 2 No = 0 Detailed explanation demonstrating reasonable circumstances or barriers to participation = 1				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score
ND CoC Participation	Did the agency submit PIT/HIC sheltered/housing information by the submission due date?	1	Yes = 1 No = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score

Category	Question	Possible Points	Scoring Considerations	CoC Criteria Score	Reviewer s Score	Reviewer s Comments	Source Documentation/ Attachment
ND CoC Priority	Does the project serve geographic areas of the state identified by the ND CoC as underserved or without existing CoC-funded housing/services projects? If yes, identify the region(s) the project will serve and describe how services and housing assistance will be provided within the identified area(s).	5	Serves area with no existing CoC-funded housing/services projects = 5 Expands services in historically underserved region with limited inventory = 3 Does not address identified geographic gap = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application

Category	Question	Possible Points	Scoring Considerations	CoC Criteria Score	Reviewer s Score	Reviewer s Comments	Source Documentation/ Attachment
Client Participation in Project Design	Does the agency engage individuals with lived experience in service design, project implementation, or organizational decision-making through any of the following strategies? (answer each statement; one point per strategy, up to 7 points)	7	7 strategies = 7 6 strategies = 6 5 strategies = 5 4 strategies = 4 3 strategies = 3 2 strategies = 2 1 strategy = 1 0 strategies = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application

PROJECT SPECIFIC SCORING - RENEWAL - SUPPORTIVE SERVICES ONLY

Projects will be scored based on HMIS/APR data and the submitted project application. Some criteria are pre-scored based on data and scoring scales. Panelists may adjust pre-scored criteria based on documented project context; however, adjustments may not exceed 20% of the maximum available points for the applicable scoring factor. When using discretion, panelists should keep in mind:

Outcomes may be lower in projects serving higher-acuity populations with severe service needs and vulnerabilities, including persons experiencing chronic homelessness, serious mental illness, substance use disorders, and/or survivors of domestic violence; and

Project size may influence performance percentages, particularly in smaller projects where a limited number of participant outcomes may significantly affect overall percentages.

Category	Question	Possible Points	Scoring Considerations	CoC Criteria Score	Reviewer s Score	Reviewer s Comments	Source Documentation/ Attachment

Project Performance	What percentage of program participants exited to positive or permanent housing destinations, excluding participants who passed away or exited to non-psychiatric hospitals, foster care, long-term care, or nursing homes? *(select one)* If the project is less than 85%, explain the low exits to positive or permanent housing destinations and how the project will improve this performance measure in the upcoming year. *(Limit 750 characters)*	10	95-100% = 10 90-94% = 8 85-89% = 6 80-84% = 4 75-79% = 2 Less than 75% = 0 Detailed and relevant explanation = 4 Limited explanation = 2 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score Attachment: Annual Performance Report
Project Performance	What percentage of participants exited to emergency shelter or places not meant for habitation? If the project is more than 10%, explain the high rate of returns to homelessness and how the project will improve this performance measure in the upcoming year. *(Limit 750 characters)*	7	0-5% = 7 6-10% = 5 11-15% = 3 16-20% = 1 More than 20% = 0 Detailed and relevant explanation = 3 Limited explanation = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score Attachment: Annual Performance Report
Project Performance	What percentage of adult stayers/leavers experienced an increase in cash income from project entry to the latest annual assessment or project exit, excluding stayers not yet required to complete an annual assessment? *(select one)* If the project is less than 40%, explain the low percentage of stayers/leavers with increased cash income and how the project will improve this performance measure in the upcoming year. *(Limit 750 characters)*	5	55% or higher = 5 40-54% = 4 30-39% = 3 20-29% = 2 15-19% = 1 Less than 15% = 0 Detailed and relevant explanation = 2 Limited explanation = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score Attachment: Annual Performance Report
Project Performance	What percentage of participants had at least one non-cash benefit at exit or follow-up assessment, excluding stayers not yet required to have an assessment? *(select one)* If the project is less than 40%, explain the low percentage of participants with at least one non-cash benefit and how the project will improve this performance measure in the upcoming year. *(Limit 750 characters)*	5	60% or higher = 5 40-59% = 3 Less than 40% = 0 Detailed and relevant explanation = 2 Limited explanation = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score Attachment: Annual Performance Report
Category	**Question**	**Possible Points**	**Scoring Considerations**	**CoC Criteria Score**	**Reviewer s Score**	**Reviewer s Comments**	**Source Documentation/ Attachment**
In this section: **Full Points = Response is detailed, project-specific, and demonstrates a clear operational plan** **Partial Points = Response addresses the topic but lacks sufficient detail or implementation strategy** **Zero Points = Response is missing, unrelated or does not demonstrate an adequate plan**							
Project Design	Provide a description that addresses the project's scope, including the target population and the plan for addressing the needs of the target population. *(Limit 2,500 characters)*	5	Full Points = 5 Partial Points = 3 Zero Points = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Project Design	Describe the project's supportive services and case management approach for participants. Include, as applicable to the project design: types of services provided (e.g., housing navigation, mainstream benefit assistance, SSI/SSDI assistance, behavioral health or substance use coordination, trauma-informed care, employment support, transportation assistance, etc.), frequency of participant engagement, population-specific services (e.g., youth, domestic violence, chronic homelessness), staff-to-participant ratio, coordination with community-based services, follow-up after project exit, and other pertinent operational information related to the project. (Limit 2,500 characters)	15	Full Points = 15 Partial Points = 8 Zero Points = 0				
Project Design	What percentage of participants served by the project were enrolled from unsheltered locations, emergency shelter, or situations involving fleeing/attempting to flee domestic violence?	1	85% or higher of participants served were enrolled from unsheltered locations, emergency shelter, or situations involving fleeing/attempting to flee domestic violence = 1 Less than 85% of participants served were enrolled from unsheltered locations, emergency shelter, or situations involving fleeing/attempting to flee domestic violence = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Project Design	Does the project attempt to follow up with participants at least semi-annually after project exit to ensure participants maintain access to mainstream benefits and community resources for up to 2 years?	2	Yes = 2 No = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Project Design	Are annual assessments completed within required HMIS and program timelines for participants who remain enrolled in the project?	2	Yes = 2 No = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Project Design	Describe the project's supportive service participation policies and participant engagement expectations, including how the project communicates, documents, and implements any required supportive service participation in accordance with 24 CFR 578.75(h), as applicable to the project design. Include any supportive service agreements, participation expectations, or participant engagement strategies utilized by the project. *(Limit 1,500 characters)*	3	Full Points = Response clearly describes participant engagement expectations, supportive service participation requirements (if applicable), and how participation is documented and implemented in accordance with project policies and 24 CFR 578.75(h) = 3 Partial Points = Response addresses supportive service participation policies or participant engagement expectations but lacks sufficient operational detail = 1 Zero Points = Response is missing, unrelated, or does not adequately address supportive service participation policies or requirements = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application

Project Design	Explain how the project will address the behavioral health and substance use service needs of participants, including whether treatment requirements apply, if applicable. Include any applicable project policies, occupancy agreements, referral partnerships, or service coordination strategies. (Limit 2,500 characters) If treatment participation is required, attach applicable occupancy agreements or policies.	3	Full Points = 3 Partial Points = 1 Zero Points = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application Attachment: Agreement(s)

Category	Question	Possible Points	Scoring Considerations	CoC Criteria Score	Reviewer s Score	Reviewer s Comments	Source Documentation/ Attachment
Financial Performance & Monitoring	What percentage of project funds remained unexpended at the end of the FY24 operating year for the grant awarded under Competition Year 2023? If the project had 5% or more of unexpended funds, explain why funds were not expended. If the project did not have a full operating year, explain the projected spend-down date and whether the project is on track for full expenditure of funds. *(Limit 750 characters)*	5	0-1% = 5 2-4% = 3 5% or more = 0 Project did not have a full operating year = N/A Detailed and relevant explanation = 2 Limited explanation = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score & ND CoC Competition Application
Financial Performance & Monitoring	Did the project complete required drawdowns at least quarterly?	2	Yes = 2 No = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score & Intent to Apply
Financial Performance & Monitoring	Is the agency free of HUD monitoring findings for CoC- or ESG-funded projects? If the agency has HUD monitoring findings, explain the findings, if they are resolved, or the plan, including the timeline, to resolve the findings. *(Limit 750 characters)*	2	Yes = 2 No = 0 Findings resolved or corrective action completed with documentation/timeline provided = 2 Findings not fully resolved, but agency demonstrates an active corrective action plan and timeline = 1 Missing, insufficient, or unrelated response = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score & ND CoC Competition Application Attachment: HUD monitoring, if needed
Financial Performance & Monitoring	Did the project fully participate in the ND CoC monitoring process, including promptly responding to requests for information and subsequent correspondence?	2	Yes = 2 No = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score Attachment: ND CoC monitoring, if needed
Financial Performance & Monitoring	Is the agency free of NDHFA monitoring findings for any CoC-, ESG-, or NDHG-funded projects? If the agency has NDHFA monitoring findings, explain the findings, if they are resolved, or the plan, including the timeline, to resolve the findings. *(Limit 750 characters)*	2	Yes = 2 No = 0 Findings resolved or corrective action completed with documentation/timeline provided = 2 Findings not fully resolved, but agency demonstrates an active corrective action plan and timeline = 1 Missing, insufficient, or unrelated response = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score

Category	Question	Possible Points	Scoring Considerations	CoC Criteria Score	Reviewer s Score	Reviewer s Comments	Source Documentation/ Attachment
HMIS & Data Quality	Does the project enter at least 90% of HMIS project start records within 10 days of participant project start dates? If less than 90% of HMIS project start records were entered within 10 days, explain the barriers contributing to delayed data entry and describe the steps the agency will take to improve HMIS data entry timeliness. *(Limit 750 characters)*	2	90-100% of records entered within 10 days = 2 Less than 90% of records entered within 10 days = 0 Detailed explanation and improvement plan = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated response = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score Attachment: Annual Performance Report
HMIS & Data Quality	Does the project maintain a combined HMIS data quality error rate of less than 3%? If the project has 6% or higher, explain why and how the agency will improve data completeness. *(Limit 750 characters)*	2	Less than 3% = 2 3-5.99% = 1 6% or higher = 0 Detailed explanation and improvement plan = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated response = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score Attachment: Annual Performance Report
HMIS & Data Quality	What is the project's total destination error rate? If the project has a destination error rate of 10% or higher, explain the causes of the errors and how the agency will improve data accuracy. *(Limit 750 characters)*	3	0-9% = 3 10% or higher = 0 Detailed explanation and improvement plan = 2 Unacceptable/unrelated response = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score & ND CoC Competition Application Attachment: Annual Performance Report

Category	Question	Possible Points	Scoring Considerations	CoC Criteria Score	Reviewer s Score	Reviewer s Comments	Source Documentation/ Attachment
Coordinated Entry	Does the project participate in the ND CoC Coordinated Entry process by conducting coordinated entry assessments and placing eligible participants on the prioritization list, as applicable to the project design?	2	Yes = 2 No = 0				Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Coordinated Entry	If a participant is referred to housing, is the project working with the participant to find housing and provide documentation to the housing provider?	3	Yes = 3 No = 0				Source: ND CoC Competition Application

Application Information	
Applicant Name	
Funding Type (1A)	
Name of Project (1D)	
Amount Requested (1G)	
Consolidate/Expand (1K)	
Project Type (3A)	
100% Dedicated/ DedicatedPLUS (3C)	
Units/Beds (4B)	
Primary Contact	
Email Address	
Phone Number	
UIE Number	

Overview of Scoring		
Summary of Factors	**Possible Points**	**Reviewer s Score**
Agency Wide Scoring	**23**	**0**
ND CoC Participation	11	0
ND CoC Priority	5	0
Client Participation in Project Design	7	0
Project-Specific Scoring	**98**	**0**
Project Performance	30	0
Project Design	25	0
Financial Performance & Monitoring	13	0
HMIS & Data Quality	10	0
Coordinated Entry	20	0
Total Possible Points	**121**	**0**

AGENCY WIDE SCORING

Category	Question	Possible Points	Scoring Considerations	CoC Criteria Score	Reviewer s Score	Reviewer s Comments	Source Documentation/ Attachment
ND CoC Participation	Does the agency participate in the ND CoC and local efforts to address homelessness? (select one)	3	ND CoC member AND member of ND CoC committee AND active participation in local coalition/homeless response efforts = 3 ND CoC member AND member of ND CoC committee = 2 ND CoC Member = 1				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score
ND CoC Participation	Did agency staff participate in ND CoC meetings in the previous 12 months? *(select one)*	2	Staff participated in 100% of CoC quarterly meetings = 2 Staff participated in at least 50% of CoC quarterly meetings = 1 Staff participated in less than 50% of quarterly meetings = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score
ND CoC Participation	Did agency staff participate in ND CoC monthly trainings in the previous 12 months? *(select one)*	3	Staff participated in 100% of CoC monthly trainings = 3 Staff participated in at least 50% of CoC monthly trainings = 2 Staff participated in less than 50% of CoC monthly trainings = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score
ND CoC Participation	Did the agency participate in the unsheltered PIT Count for 2026? If no, explain why not.	2	Yes = 2 No = 0 Detailed explanation demonstrating reasonable circumstances or barriers to participation = 1				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score
ND CoC Participation	Did the agency submit PIT/HIC sheltered/housing information by the submission due date?	1	Yes = 1 No = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score
Category	**Question**	**Possible Points**	**Scoring Considerations**	**CoC Criteria Score**	**Reviewer s Score**	**Reviewer s Comments**	**Source Documentation/ Attachment**
ND CoC Priority	Does the project serve geographic areas of the state identified by the ND CoC as underserved or without existing CoC-funded housing/services projects? If yes, identify the region(s) the project will serve and describe how services and housing assistance will be provided within the identified area(s).	5	Serves area with no existing CoC-funded housing/services projects = 5 Expands services in historically underserved region with limited inventory = 3 Does not address identified geographic gap = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Category	**Question**	**Possible Points**	**Scoring Considerations**	**CoC Criteria Score**	**Reviewer s Score**	**Reviewer s Comments**	**Source Documentation/ Attachment**
Client Participation in Project Design	Does the agency engage individuals with lived experience in service design, project implementation, or organizational decision-making through any of the following strategies? (answer each statement; one point per strategy, up to 7 points)	7	7 strategies = 7 6 strategies = 6 5 strategies = 5 4 strategies = 4 3 strategies = 3 2 strategies = 2 1 strategy = 1 0 strategies = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application

PROJECT SPECIFIC SCORING - RENEWAL - SUPPORTIVE SERVICES ONLY-COORDINATED ENTRY

Projects will be scored based on HMIS/APR data and the submitted project application. Some criteria are pre-scored based on data and scoring scales. Panelists may adjust pre-scored criteria based on documented project context; however, adjustments may not exceed 20% of the maximum

Outcomes may be lower in projects serving higher-acuity populations with severe service needs and vulnerabilities, including persons experiencing chronic homelessness, serious mental illness, substance use disorders, and/or survivors of domestic violence; and
Project size may influence performance percentages, particularly in smaller projects where a limited number of participant outcomes may significantly affect overall percentages.

Category	Question	Possible Points	Scoring Considerations	CoC Criteria Score	Reviewer s Score	Reviewer s Comments	Source Documentation/ Attachment
Project Performance	What percentage of referrals generated through the Coordinated Entry process resulted in successful enrollment in a housing or service program? *(select one)* If the project is less than 70%, explain the barriers to successful referrals and how the project will improve this performance measure in the upcoming year. *(Limit 750 characters)*	10	90-100% = 10 80-89% = 8 70-79% = 6 60-69% = 4 50-59% = 2 Less than 50% = 0 Detailed and relevant explanation = 4 Limited explanation = 2 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0				Source: ND CoC Competition Application

Project Performance	What percentage of participants received a referral to housing or services within 60 days of completing a Coordinated Entry assessment or being placed on the prioritization list? If the project is less than 80%, explain the barriers to timely referrals and how the project will improve this performance measure in the upcoming year. *(Limit 750 characters)*	7	90-100% = 7 80-89% = 5 70-79% = 3 60-69% = 1 Less than 60% = 0 Detailed and relevant explanation = 3 Limited explanation = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0				Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Project Performance	Describe referral activity during the operating year, including trends, barriers, or successes in connecting participants to housing and services through the Coordinated Entry process. *(Limit 2,500 characters)*	3	Detailed and relevant explanation = 3 Limited explanation = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0				Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Project Performance	Describe the project's impact on Coordinated Entry system performance during the operating year, including measurable improvements to access, referral processes, prioritization, system coordination, or other measures of effectiveness and efficiency. *(Limit 2,500 characters)*	10	Detailed and relevant explanation = 10 Limited explanation = 5 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0				Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Category	**Question**	**Possible Points**	**Scoring Considerations**	**CoC Criteria Score**	**Reviewer s Score**	**Reviewer s Comments**	**Source Documentation/ Attachment**
In this section: **Full Points = Response is detailed, project-specific, and demonstrates a clear operational plan** **Partial Points = Response addresses the topic but lacks sufficient detail or implementation strategy** **Zero Points = Response is missing, unrelated or does not demonstrate an adequate plan**							
Project Design	Provide a description that addresses the project's scope, including the target population, geographic coverage area, and the project's role within the ND CoC Coordinated Entry system. *(Limit 2,500 characters)*	5	Full Points = 5 Partial Points = 3 Zero Points = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Project Design	Describe how the project supported Coordinated Entry assessments, prioritization, referrals, navigation, by-name list management, data quality, follow-up, and participant engagement during the operating year. Include any population-specific approaches, internal operational practices, system improvements implemented during the operating year, and other pertinent operational information related to the project. *(Limit 2,500 characters)*	10	Full Points = 10 Partial Points = 5 Zero Points = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Project Design	What percentage of participants assessed through or served by Coordinated Entry were enrolled from unsheltered locations, emergency shelter, or situations involving fleeing/attempting to flee domestic violence?	1	85% or higher of participants served were enrolled from unsheltered locations, emergency shelter, or situations involving fleeing/attempting to flee domestic violence = 1 Less than 85% of participants served were enrolled from unsheltered locations, emergency shelter, or situations involving fleeing/attempting to flee domestic violence = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Project Design	Does the project conduct follow-up activities to monitor referral outcomes and participant engagement following Coordinated Entry referrals, as applicable to the project design?	2	Yes = 2 No = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Project Design	Are Coordinated Entry assessments, prioritization activities, and referral documentation maintained in accordance with ND CoC policies and HMIS requirements?	2	Yes = 2 No = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Project Design	Describe the project's participant engagement practices and procedures, including how participants are informed of Coordinated Entry processes, participant choice, referral options, and applicable project expectations. *(Limit 1,500 characters)*	3	Full Points = 3 Partial Points = 1 Zero Points = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Project Design	Explain how the project addresses behavioral health and substance use service needs through referral partnerships, coordination strategies, and connection to community-based resources, including mental health and substance use treatment providers, as applicable to the project design. *(Limit 2,500 characters)*	2	Full Points = 2 Partial Points = 1 Zero Points = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Category	**Question**	**Possible Points**	**Scoring Considerations**	**CoC Criteria Score**	**Reviewer s Score**	**Reviewer s Comments**	**Source Documentation/ Attachment**
Financial Performance & Monitoring	What percentage of project funds remained unexpended at the end of the FY24 operating year for the grant awarded under Competition Year 2023? If the project had 5% or more of unexpended funds, explain why funds were not expended. If the project did not have a full operating year, explain the projected spend-down date and whether the project is on track for full expenditure of funds. *(Limit 750 characters)*	5	0-1% = 5 2-4% = 3 5% or more = 0 Project did not have a full operating year = N/A Detailed and relevant explanation = 2 Limited explanation = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score & ND CoC Competition Application
Financial Performance & Monitoring	Did the project complete required drawdowns at least quarterly?	2	Yes = 2 No = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score & Intent to Apply
Financial Performance & Monitoring	Is the agency free of HUD monitoring findings for CoC- or ESG-funded projects? If the agency has HUD monitoring findings, explain the findings, if they are resolved, or the plan, including the timeline, to resolve the findings. *(Limit 750 characters)*	2	Yes = 2 No = 0 Findings resolved or corrective action completed with documentation/timeline provided = 2 Findings not fully resolved, but agency demonstrates an active corrective action plan and timeline = 1 Missing, insufficient, or unrelated response = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score & ND CoC Competition Application Attachment: HUD

Financial Performance & Monitoring	Did the project fully participate in the ND CoC monitoring process, including promptly responding to requests for information and subsequent correspondence?	2	Yes = 2 No = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score Attachment: ND CoC monitoring, if needed
Financial Performance & Monitoring	Is the agency free of NDHFA monitoring findings for any CoC-, ESG-, or NDHG-funded projects? If the agency has NDHFA monitoring findings, explain the findings, if they are resolved, or the plan, including the timeline, to resolve the findings. *(Limit 750 characters)*	2	Yes = 2 No = 0 Findings resolved or corrective action completed with documentation/timeline provided = 2 Findings not fully resolved, but agency demonstrates an active corrective action plan and timeline = 1 Missing, insufficient, or unrelated response = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score
Category	**Question**	**Possible Points**	**Scoring Considerations**	**CoC Criteria Score**	**Reviewer s Score**	**Reviewer s Comments**	**Source Documentation/ Attachment**
HMIS & Data Quality	Are Coordinated Entry assessments, prioritization records, and referral data entered and maintained in HMIS or a comparable database in accordance with ND CoC policies and timelines?	2	Yes = 2 No = 0				Source: ND CoC Competition Application
HMIS & Data Quality	Does the project maintain a combined HMIS data quality error rate of less than 3%? If the project has 6% or higher, explain why and how the agency will improve data completeness. *(Limit 750 characters)*	2	Less than 3% = 2 3-5.99% = 1 6% or higher = 0 Detailed explanation and improvement plan = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated response = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score Attachment: Annual Performance Report
HMIS & Data Quality	Describe how the project ensures the accuracy, maintenance, and timely updating of Coordinated Entry prioritization lists, by-name lists, and referral records. *(Limit 1,500 characters)*	3	Detailed and relevant explanation = 3 Limited explanation = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0				Source: ND CoC Competition Application
HMIS & Data Quality	Explain the safeguards and procedures in place to protect participant information and ensure confidentiality within Coordinated Entry and HMIS processes. *(Limit 1,500 characters)*	3	Detailed and relevant explanation = 3 Limited explanation = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0				Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Category	**Question**	**Possible Points**	**Scoring Considerations**	**CoC Criteria Score**	**Reviewer s Score**	**Reviewer s Comments**	**Source Documentation/ Attachment**
Coordinated Entry	Describe how the project operated in accordance with ND CoC Coordinated Entry policies and procedures during the operating year, including assessment, prioritization, referrals, participant choice, and non-discrimination requirements. *(Limit 2,500 characters)*	5	Detailed and relevant explanation = 5 Limited explanation = 3 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0				Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Coordinated Entry	Explain how the project ensured equitable access, including strategies to identify and engage households experiencing the highest needs and vulnerabilities, to Coordinated Entry services for all eligible households, including persons in rural areas, survivors of domestic violence, youth, veterans, and persons with disabilities, and ensuring reasonable accommodations when needed. *(Limit 2,500 characters)*	5	Detailed and relevant explanation = 5 Limited explanation = 3 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0				Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Coordinated Entry	Describe how the project coordinated with housing providers, shelters, victim service providers, behavioral health providers, employment and workforce partners, mainstream benefit systems, and other community partners during the operating year. *(Limit 2,500 characters)*	5	Detailed and relevant explanation = 5 Limited explanation = 3 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0				Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Coordinated Entry	Describe how the project monitored Coordinated Entry performance and used data, including referral outcomes, to evaluate and improve system outcomes, referral processes, and quality improvement activities during the operating year. *(Limit 2,500 characters)*	5	Detailed and relevant explanation = 5 Limited explanation = 3 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0				Source: ND CoC Competition Application

Application Information	
Applicant Name	
Funding Type (1A)	
Name of Project (1D)	
Amount Requested (1G)	
Consolidate/Expand (1K)	
Project Type (3A)	
100% Dedicated/ DedicatedPLUS (3C)	
Units/Beds (4B)	
Primary Contact	
Email Address	
Phone Number	
UIE Number	

Overview of Scoring		
Summary of Factors	**Possible Points**	**Reviewer s Score**
Agency Wide Scoring	**23**	**0**
ND CoC Participation	11	0
ND CoC Priority	5	0
Client Participation in Project Design	7	0
Project-Specific Scoring	**70**	**0**
Project Performance	32	0
Project Design	10	0
Financial Performance & Monitoring	13	0
Provider Support & Training	10	0
Coordinated Entry	5	0
Total Possible Points	**93**	**0**

AGENCY WIDE SCORING

Category	Question	Possible Points	Scoring Considerations	CoC Criteria Score	Reviewer s Score	Reviewer s Comments	Source Documentation/ Attachment
ND CoC Participation	Does the agency participate in the ND CoC and local efforts to address homelessness? (select one)	3	ND CoC member AND member of ND CoC committee AND active participation in local coalition/homeless response efforts = 3 ND CoC member AND member of ND CoC committee = 2 ND CoC Member = 1				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score
ND CoC Participation	Did agency staff participate in ND CoC meetings in the previous 12 months? *(select one)*	2	Staff participated in 100% of CoC quarterly meetings = 2 Staff participated in at least 50% of CoC quarterly meetings = 1 Staff participated in less than 50% of quarterly meetings = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score
ND CoC Participation	Did agency staff participate in ND CoC monthly trainings in the previous 12 months? *(select one)*	3	Staff participated in 100% of CoC monthly trainings = 3 Staff participated in at least 50% of CoC monthly trainings = 2 Staff participated in less than 50% of CoC monthly trainings = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score
ND CoC Participation	Did the agency participate in the unsheltered PIT Count for 2026? If no, explain why not.	2	Yes = 2 No = 0 Detailed explanation demonstrating reasonable circumstances or barriers to participation = 1				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score
ND CoC Participation	Did the agency submit PIT/HIC sheltered/housing information by the submission due date?	1	Yes = 1 No = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score

Category	Question	Possible Points	Scoring Considerations	CoC Criteria Score	Reviewer s Score	Reviewer s Comments	Source Documentation/ Attachment
ND CoC Priority	Does the project serve geographic areas of the state identified by the ND CoC as underserved or without existing CoC-funded housing/services projects? If yes, identify the region(s) the project will serve and describe how services and housing assistance will be provided within the identified area(s).	5	Serves area with no existing CoC-funded housing/services projects = 5 Expands services in historically underserved region with limited inventory = 3 Does not address identified geographic gap = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application

Category	Question	Possible Points	Scoring Considerations	CoC Criteria Score	Reviewer s Score	Reviewer s Comments	Source Documentation/ Attachment
Client Participation in Project Design	Does the agency engage individuals with lived experience in service design, project implementation, or organizational decision-making through any of the following strategies? (answer each statement; one point per strategy, up to 7 points)	7	7 strategies = 7 6 strategies = 6 5 strategies = 5 4 strategies = 4 3 strategies = 3 2 strategies = 2 1 strategy = 1 0 strategies = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application

PROJECT SPECIFIC SCORING - RENEWAL - HOMELESS MANAGEMENT INFORMATION SYSTEM

Projects will be scored based on HMIS/APR data and the submitted project application. Some criteria are pre-scored based on data and scoring scales. Panelists may adjust pre-scored criteria based on documented project context; however, adjustments may not exceed 20% of the maximum available points for the applicable scoring factor. When using discretion, panelists should keep in mind:

Outcomes may be lower in projects serving higher-acuity populations with severe service needs and vulnerabilities, including persons experiencing chronic homelessness, serious mental illness, substance use disorders, and/or survivors of domestic violence; and

Project size may influence performance percentages, particularly in smaller projects where a limited number of participant outcomes may significantly affect overall percentages.

Category	Question	Possible Points	Scoring Considerations	CoC Criteria Score	Reviewer s Score	Reviewer s Comments	Source Documentation/ Attachment
Project Performance	Does HMIS staff provide timely response and technical assistance to provider requests within five business days?	5	Yes = 5 No = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application

Project Performance	Have there been any data breaches in the past three years? If yes, what safety measures has the organization put in place to ensure there are no data breaches in the future?	5	No breaches = 5 Breach occurred but promptly resolved with corrective action/demonstration = 3 Significant unresolved breach or insufficient response = 0 Detailed and relevant explanation = 2 Limited explanation = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Project Performance	Explain the performance measures the applicant uses to measure HMIS success.	5	Detailed and relevant explanation = 5 Limited explanation = 3 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Project Performance	Explain what data security protections are in place to protect client data (e.g., encryption, user authentication, monitoring, etc.)	5	Detailed and relevant explanation = 5 Limited explanation = 3 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Project Performance	Explain how the organization gathers and uses feedback from end users.	2	Detailed and relevant explanation = 2 Limited explanation = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Project Performance	Explain how the organization monitors and improves data quality across participating agencies.	5	Detailed and relevant explanation = 5 Limited explanation = 3 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Project Performance	Explain how HMIS improved coordination across the Continuum of Care and supported system performance measurement this year.	5	Detailed and relevant explanation = 5 Limited explanation = 3 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Category	**Question**	**Possible Points**	**Scoring Considerations**	**CoC Criteria Score**	**Reviewer s Score**	**Reviewer s Comments**	**Source Documentation/ Attachment**
In this section: **Full Points = Response is detailed, project-specific, and demonstrates a clear operational plan** **Partial Points = Response addresses the topic but lacks sufficient detail or implementation strategy** **Zero Points = Response is missing, unrelated or does not demonstrate an adequate plan**							
Project Design	Provide a description that addresses the entire scope of the proposed project. *(Limit 2,500 characters)*	5	Full Points = 5 Partial Points = 3 Zero Points = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Project Design	Explain how the funds will be expended in a way that furthers the CoC's HMIS implementation and supports the use of HMIS as a proactive case management, coordination, and system performance tool.	2	Full Points = 2 Partial Points = 1 Zero Points = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Project Design	Does the HMIS collect and maintain all required Universal Data Elements in accordance with current HUD HMIS Data Standards?	1	Yes = 1 No = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Project Design	Does HMIS have processes and functionality to identify and resolve duplicate client records?	1	Yes = 1 No = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Project Design	Does HMIS produce all HUD-required reports and provide data necessary for HUD reporting and reporting required by other federal partners?	1	Yes = 1 No = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Category	**Question**	**Possible Points**	**Scoring Considerations**	**CoC Criteria Score**	**Reviewer s Score**	**Reviewer s Comments**	**Source Documentation/ Attachment**
Financial Performance & Monitoring	What percentage of project funds remained unexpended at the end of the FY24 operating year for the grant awarded under Competition Year 2023? If the project had 5% or more of unexpended funds, explain why funds were not expended. If the project did not have a full operating year, explain the projected spend-down date and whether the project is on track for full expenditure of funds. *(Limit 750 characters)*	5	0-1% = 5 2-4% = 3 5% or more = 0 Project did not have a full operating year = N/A Detailed and relevant explanation = 2 Limited explanation = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score & ND CoC Competition Application
Financial Performance & Monitoring	Did the project complete required drawdowns at least quarterly?	2	Yes = 2 No = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score & Intent to Apply
Financial Performance & Monitoring	Is the agency free of HUD monitoring findings for CoC- or ESG-funded projects? If the agency has HUD monitoring findings, explain the findings, if they are resolved, or the plan, including the timeline, to resolve the findings. *(Limit 750 characters)*	2	Yes = 2 No = 0 Findings resolved or corrective action completed with documentation/timeline provided = 2 Findings not fully resolved, but agency demonstrates an active corrective action plan and timeline = 1 Missing, insufficient, or unrelated response = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score & ND CoC Competition Application Attachment: HUD monitoring, if needed
Financial Performance & Monitoring	Did the project fully participate in the ND CoC monitoring process, including promptly responding to requests for information and subsequent correspondence?	2	Yes = 2 No = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score Attachment: ND CoC monitoring, if needed
	Is the agency free of NDHFA monitoring findings for any CoC-, ESG-, or NDHG-funded projects?		Yes = 2 No = 0				

Financial Performance & Monitoring	If the agency has NDHFA monitoring findings, explain the findings, if they are resolved, or the plan, including the timeline, to resolve the findings. *(Limit 750 characters)*	2	Findings resolved or corrective action completed with documentation/timeline provided = 2 Findings not fully resolved, but agency demonstrates an active corrective action plan and timeline = 1 Missing, insufficient, or unrelated response = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score
Category	**Question**	**Possible Points**	**Scoring Considerations**	**CoC Criteria Score**	**Reviewer s Score**	**Reviewer s Comments**	**Source Documentation/ Attachment**
Provider Support & Training	Explain how the organization onboards new agencies and users.	2	Detailed and relevant explanation = 2 Limited explanation = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Provider Support & Training	Explain the organization's ongoing training opportunities, including frequency, format, and topics.	2	Detailed and relevant explanation = 2 Limited explanation = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Provider Support & Training	Explain how training is tailored to different project and program types (e.g., emergency shelter, street outreach, RRH, PSH, SSO, domestic violence, youth, or housing-focused projects).	2	Detailed and relevant explanation = 2 Limited explanation = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Provider Support & Training	Explain how the organization provides technical assistance and corrective support to agencies experiencing data quality, reporting, compliance, or HMIS participation challenges, including support provided to victim service providers using comparable databases, as applicable.	2	Detailed and relevant explanation = 2 Limited explanation = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Provider Support & Training	Explain the top three HMIS challenges among users and how the organization is addressing them.	2	Detailed and relevant explanation = 2 Limited explanation = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Category	**Question**	**Possible Points**	**Scoring Considerations**	**CoC Criteria Score**	**Reviewer s Score**	**Reviewer s Comments**	**Source Documentation/ Attachment**
Coordinated Entry	Explain how HMIS supports Coordinated Entry assessments, prioritization, referrals, and workflow management.	2	Detailed and relevant explanation = 2 Limited explanation = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Coordinated Entry	Explain changes implemented to improve Coordinated Entry workflow and functionality in response to user challenges or feedback.	3	Detailed and relevant explanation = 3 Limited explanation = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application

Application Information	
Applicant Name	
Funding Type (1A)	
Name of Project (1D)	
Amount Requested (1G)	
Consolidate/Expand (1K)	
Project Type (3A)	
100% Dedicated/ DedicatedPLUS (3C)	
Units/Beds (4B)	
Primary Contact	
Email Address	
Phone Number	
UIE Number	

Overview of Scoring		
Summary of Factors	**Possible Points**	**Reviewer s Score**
Agency Wide Scoring	**23**	**0**
ND CoC Participation	11	0
ND CoC Priority	5	0
Client Participation in Project Design	7	0
Project-Specific Scoring	**97**	**0**
Project Performance	34	0
Project Design	36	0
Financial Performance & Monitoring	13	0
HMIS & Data Quality	10	0
Coordinated Entry	4	0
Total Possible Points	**120**	**0**

AGENCY WIDE SCORING

Category	Question	Possible Points	Scoring Considerations	CoC Criteria Score	Reviewer s Score	Reviewer s Comments	Source Documentation/ Attachment
ND CoC Participation	Does the agency participate in the ND CoC and local efforts to address homelessness? (select one)	3	ND CoC member AND member of ND CoC committee AND active participation in local coalition/homeless response efforts = 3 ND CoC member AND member of ND CoC committee = 2 ND CoC Member = 1				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score
ND CoC Participation	Did agency staff participate in ND CoC meetings in the previous 12 months? *(select one)*	2	Staff participated in 100% of CoC quarterly meetings = 2 Staff participated in at least 50% of CoC quarterly meetings = 1 Staff participated in less than 50% of quarterly meetings = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score
ND CoC Participation	Did agency staff participate in ND CoC monthly trainings in the previous 12 months? *(select one)*	3	Staff participated in 100% of CoC monthly trainings = 3 Staff participated in at least 50% of CoC monthly trainings = 2 Staff participated in less than 50% of CoC monthly trainings = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score
ND CoC Participation	Did the agency participate in the unsheltered PIT Count for 2026? If no, explain why not.	2	Yes = 2 No = 0 Detailed explanation demonstrating reasonable circumstances or barriers to participation = 1				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score
ND CoC Participation	Did the agency submit PIT/HIC sheltered/housing information by the submission due date?	1	Yes = 1 No = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score

Category	Question	Possible Points	Scoring Considerations	CoC Criteria Score	Reviewer s Score	Reviewer s Comments	Source Documentation/ Attachment
ND CoC Priority	Does the project serve geographic areas of the state identified by the ND CoC as underserved or without existing CoC-funded housing/services projects? If yes, identify the region(s) the project will serve and describe how services and housing assistance will be provided within the identified area(s).	5	Serves area with no existing CoC-funded housing/services projects = 5 Expands services in historically underserved region with limited inventory = 3 Does not address identified geographic gap = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application

Category	Question	Possible Points	Scoring Considerations	CoC Criteria Score	Reviewer s Score	Reviewer s Comments	Source Documentation/ Attachment
Client Participation in Project Design	Does the agency engage individuals with lived experience in service design, project implementation, or organizational decision-making through any of the following strategies? (answer each statement; one point per strategy, up to 7 points)	7	7 strategies = 7 6 strategies = 6 5 strategies = 5 4 strategies = 4 3 strategies = 3 2 strategies = 2 1 strategy = 1 0 strategies = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application

PROJECT SPECIFIC SCORING - RENEWAL - JOINT TRANSITIONAL/RAPID RE-HOUSING

Projects will be scored based on HMIS/APR data and the submitted project application. Some criteria are pre-scored based on data and scoring scales. Panelists may adjust pre-scored criteria based on documented project context; however, adjustments may not exceed 20% of the maximum available points for the applicable scoring factor. When using discretion, panelists should keep in mind:

Outcomes may be lower in projects serving higher-acuity populations with severe service needs and vulnerabilities, including persons experiencing chronic homelessness, serious mental illness, substance use disorders, and/or survivors of domestic violence; and

Project size may influence performance percentages, particularly in smaller projects where a limited number of participant outcomes may significantly affect overall percentages.

Category	Question	Possible Points	Scoring Considerations	CoC Criteria Score	Reviewer s Score	Reviewer s Comments	Source Documentation/ Attachment
Project Performance	What was the project's average bed utilization rate during the operating year, based on APR bed utilization data?	5	85-100% = 5 70-84% = 3 55-69% = 2 Less than 55% = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score

	If the project utilization rate is less than 70%, explain the low utilization rate and how the project will improve this performance measure in the upcoming year. *(Limit 750 characters)*		Detailed and relevant explanation = 2 Limited explanation = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0				Attachment: Annual Performance Report
Project Performance	What percentage of program participants exited to positive or permanent housing destinations, excluding participants who passed away or exited to non-psychiatric hospitals, foster care, long-term care, or nursing homes? *(select one)* If the project is less than 85%, explain the low exits to positive or permanent housing destinations and how the project will improve this performance measure in the upcoming year. *(Limit 750 characters)*	10	95-100% = 10 90-94% = 8 85-89% = 6 80-84% = 4 75-79% = 2 Less than 75% = 0 Detailed and relevant explanation = 4 Limited explanation = 2 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score Attachment: Annual Performance Report
Project Performance	What is the project's median length of stay for participants who exited the project during the operating year? If the project median length of stay is less than 6 months, explain the factors contributing to shorter lengths of stay and how the project supports long-term housing stability and reduced returns to homelessness following project exit. *(Limit 750 characters)*	2	13-24 months = 2 6-12 months = 1 Less than 6 months = 0 Detailed and relevant explanation = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score & ND CoC Competition Application Attachment: Annual Performance Report
Project Performance	What percentage of participants exited to emergency shelter or places not meant for habitation? If the project is more than 10%, explain the high rate of returns to homelessness and how the project will improve this performance measure in the upcoming year. *(Limit 750 characters)*	7	0-5% = 7 6-10% = 5 11-15% = 3 16-20% = 1 More than 20% = 0 Detailed and relevant explanation = 3 Limited explanation = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score Attachment: Annual Performance Report
Project Performance	What percentage of adult stayers/leavers experienced an increase in cash income from project entry to the latest annual assessment or project exit, excluding stayers not yet required to complete an annual assessment? *(select one)* If the project is less than 40%, explain the low percentage of stayers/leavers with increased cash income and how the project will improve this performance measure in the upcoming year. *(Limit 750 characters)*	5	55% or higher = 5 40-54% = 4 30-39% = 3 20-29% = 2 15-19% = 1 Less than 15% = 0 Detailed and relevant explanation = 2 Limited explanation = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score Attachment: Annual Performance Report
Project Performance	What percentage of participants had at least one non-cash benefit at exit or follow-up assessment, excluding stayers not yet required to have an assessment? *(select one)* If the project is less than 40%, explain the low percentage of participants with at least one non-cash benefit and how the project will improve this performance measure in the upcoming year. *(Limit 750 characters)*	5	60% or higher = 5 40-59% = 3 Less than 40% = 0 Detailed and relevant explanation = 2 Limited explanation = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score Attachment: Annual Performance Report

Category	Question	Possible Points	Scoring Considerations	CoC Criteria Score	Reviewer s Score	Reviewer s Comments	Source Documentation/ Attachment
In this section: **Full Points = Response is detailed, project-specific, and demonstrates a clear operational plan** **Partial Points = Response addresses the topic but lacks sufficient detail or implementation strategy** **Zero Points = Response is missing, unrelated or does not demonstrate an adequate plan**							
Project Design	Provide a description that addresses the project's scope, including the target population and the plan for addressing the needs of the target population. *(Limit 2,500 characters)*	5	Full Points = 5 Partial Points = 3 Zero Points = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Project Design	Describe the project's supportive services and case management approach for participants. Include, as applicable to the project design: types of services provided (e.g., housing navigation, mainstream benefit assistance, SSI/SSDI assistance, behavioral health or substance use coordination, trauma-informed care, employment support, transportation assistance, etc.), frequency of participant engagement, population-specific services (e.g., youth, domestic violence, chronic homelessness), staff-to-participant ratio, coordination with community-based services, follow-up after project exit, and other pertinent operational information related to the project. (Limit 2,500 characters)	15	Full Points = 15 Partial Points = 8 Zero Points = 0				Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Project Design	Explain how the project will make both Transitional Housing and Rapid Re-Housing assistance available to participants, how participants may choose which assistance to use, and whether any TH or PH-RRH units are funded by non-CoC sources?	5	Full Points = 5 Partial Points = 3 Zero Points = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Project Design	What percentage of participants served by the project were enrolled from unsheltered locations, emergency shelter, or situations involving fleeing/attempting to flee domestic violence?	1	85% or higher of participants served were enrolled from unsheltered locations, emergency shelter, or situations involving fleeing/attempting to flee domestic violence = 1 Less than 85% of participants served were enrolled from unsheltered locations, emergency shelter, or situations involving fleeing/attempting to flee domestic violence = 0				Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Project Design	Does the project attempt to follow up with participants at least semi-annually after project exit to ensure participants maintain access to mainstream benefits and community resources for up to 2 years?	2	Yes = 2 No = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application

Project Design	Are annual assessments completed within required HMIS and program timelines for participants who remain enrolled in the project?	2	Yes = 2 No = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Project Design	Describe the project's supportive service participation policies and participant engagement expectations, including how the project communicates, documents, and implements any required supportive service participation in accordance with 24 CFR 578.75(h), as applicable to the project design. Include any supportive service agreements, participation expectations, or participant engagement strategies utilized by the project. *(Limit 1,500 characters)*	3	Full Points = Response clearly describes participant engagement expectations, supportive service participation requirements (if applicable), and how participation is documented and implemented in accordance with project policies and 24 CFR 578.75(h) = 3 Partial Points = Response addresses supportive service participation policies or participant engagement expectations but lacks sufficient operational detail = 1 Zero Points = Response is missing, unrelated, or does not adequately address supportive service participation policies or requirements = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Project Design	Explain how the project will address the behavioral health and substance use service needs of participants, including whether treatment requirements apply, if applicable. Include any applicable project policies, occupancy agreements, referral partnerships, or service coordination strategies. (Limit 2,500 characters) If treatment participation is required, attach applicable occupancy agreements or policies.	3	Full Points = 3 Partial Points = 1 Zero Points = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application Attachment: Agreement(s)

Category	Question	Possible Points	Scoring Considerations	CoC Criteria Score	Reviewer s Score	Reviewer s Comments	Source Documentation/ Attachment
Financial Performance & Monitoring	What percentage of project funds remained unexpended at the end of the FY24 operating year for the grant awarded under Competition Year 2023? If the project had 5% or more of unexpended funds, explain why funds were not expended. If the project did not have a full operating year, explain the projected spend-down date and whether the project is on track for full expenditure of funds. *(Limit 750 characters)*	5	0-1% = 5 2-4% = 3 5% or more = 0 Project did not have a full operating year = N/A Detailed and relevant explanation = 2 Limited explanation = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score & ND CoC Competition Application
Financial Performance & Monitoring	Did the project complete required drawdowns at least quarterly?	2	Yes = 2 No = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score & Intent to Apply
Financial Performance & Monitoring	Is the agency free of HUD monitoring findings for CoC- or ESG-funded projects? If the agency has HUD monitoring findings, explain the findings, if they are resolved, or the plan, including the timeline, to resolve the findings. *(Limit 750 characters)*	2	Yes = 2 No = 0 Findings resolved or corrective action completed with documentation/timeline provided = 2 Findings not fully resolved, but agency demonstrates an active corrective action plan and timeline = 1 Missing, insufficient, or unrelated response = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score & ND CoC Competition Application Attachment: HUD monitoring, if needed
Financial Performance & Monitoring	Did the project fully participate in the ND CoC monitoring process, including promptly responding to requests for information and subsequent correspondence?	2	Yes = 2 No = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score Attachment: ND CoC monitoring, if needed
Financial Performance & Monitoring	Is the agency free of NDHFA monitoring findings for any CoC-, ESG-, or NDHG-funded projects? If the agency has NDHFA monitoring findings, explain the findings, if they are resolved, or the plan, including the timeline, to resolve the findings. *(Limit 750 characters)*	2	Yes = 2 No = 0 Findings resolved or corrective action completed with documentation/timeline provided = 2 Findings not fully resolved, but agency demonstrates an active corrective action plan and timeline = 1 Missing, insufficient, or unrelated response = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score

Category	Question	Possible Points	Scoring Considerations	CoC Criteria Score	Reviewer s Score	Reviewer s Comments	Source Documentation/ Attachment
HMIS & Data Quality	Does the project enter at least 90% of HMIS project start records within 10 days of participant project start dates? If less than 90% of HMIS project start records were entered within 10 days, explain the barriers contributing to delayed data entry and describe the steps the agency will take to improve HMIS data entry timeliness. *(Limit 750 characters)*	2	90-100% of records entered within 10 days = 2 Less than 90% of records entered within 10 days = 0 Detailed explanation and improvement plan = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated response = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score Attachment: Annual Performance Report
HMIS & Data Quality	Does the project maintain a combined HMIS data quality error rate of less than 3%? If the project has 6% or higher, explain why and how the agency will improve data completeness. *(Limit 750 characters)*	2	Less than 3% = 2 3-5.99% = 1 6% or higher = 0 Detailed explanation and improvement plan = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated response = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score Attachment: Annual Performance Report
HMIS & Data Quality	What is the average number of days between the project start date and the housing move-in date? If the project has 22 days or more, explain the delay between project start and housing move-in and how the agency will improve housing placement timeliness. *(Limit 750 characters)*	3	7 days or less = 3 8-14 days = 2 15-21 days = 1 22 days or more = 0 Detailed explanation and improvement plan = 2 Unacceptable/unrelated response = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score & ND CoC Competition Application Attachment: Annual Performance Report

HMIS & Data Quality	What is the project's total destination error rate? If the project has a destination error rate of 10% or higher, explain the causes of the errors and how the agency will improve data accuracy. *(Limit 750 characters)*	3	0-9% = 3 10% or higher = 0 Detailed explanation and improvement plan = 2 Unacceptable/unrelated response = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score & ND CoC Competition Application Attachment: Annual Performance Report
Category	**Question**	**Possible Points**	**Scoring Considerations**	**CoC Criteria Score**	**Reviewer s Score**	**Reviewer s Comments**	**Source Documentation/ Attachment**
Coordinated Entry	Does the project fill all available units through the Coordinated Entry Prioritization List in accordance with ND CoC Coordinated Entry policies and HUD requirements?	2	Yes = 2 No = 0				Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Coordinated Entry	What percentage of Coordinated Entry referrals were rejected by the project? If the project rejection rate is 20% or higher, explain the reasons for the rejected referrals and how the agency will improve referral acceptance and placement outcomes. *(Limit 750 characters)*	2	Less than 15% = 2 15-19% = 1 20% or higher = 0 Detailed explanation and improvement plan = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated response = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score & ND CoC Competition Application Attachment: HMIS CE Referral Report