Before Starting the CoC Application

You must submit all three of the following parts in order for us to consider your Consolidated Application complete:

1. the CoC Application,
2. the CoC Priority Listing, and
3. all the CoC's project applications that were either approved and ranked, or rejected.

As the Collaborative Applicant, you are responsible for reviewing the following:

1. The FY 2026 CoC Program Competition Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for specific application and program requirements.
2. The FY 2026 CoC Application Detailed Instructions which provide additional information and guidance for completing the application.
3. All information provided to ensure it is correct and current.
4. Responses provided by project applicants in their Project Applications.
5. The application to ensure all documentation, including attachment are provided.

Your CoC Must Approve the Consolidated Application before You Submit It
- 24 CFR 578.9 requires you to compile and submit the CoC Consolidated Application for the FY 2026 CoC Program Competition on behalf of your CoC.
- 24 CFR 578.9(b) requires you to obtain approval from your CoC before you submit the Consolidated Application into e-snaps.

1A. Continuum of Care (CoC) Identification

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

1A-1. CoC Name and Number: ND-500 - North Dakota Statewide CoC

1A-2. Collaborative Applicant Name: North Dakota Housing Finance Agency

1A-3. CoC Designation: CA

1A-4. HMIS Lead: Institute for Community Alliances

1B. Coordination and Engagement–Accountable Structure and Participation

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

1B-1.	Accountable Structure and Participation.	

	In the chart below for the period from June 1, 2025 to May 31, 2026:
1.	select 'Yes' or 'No' in the chart below if the entity listed participated in CoC meetings or select 'Nonexistent' if the entity does not exist in your CoC's geographic area, and
2.	enter the number of persons on the board for each category where you answered 'Yes' in the Participated in CoC Meetings column.

You must click Save at the bottom of the form before entering the number of persons for each category where you answered 'Yes'.

	Entity	Participated in CoC Meetings	Number of Board Members
1.	Affordable Housing Developer(s)	Yes	0
2.	CDBG/HOME/ESG Entitlement Jurisdiction(s)	Yes	0
3.	Certified Community Behavioral Health Clinic (CCBHC)	No	0
4.	Community Mental Health Center (CMHC)	No	
5.	Disability Advocate(s)	Yes	1
6.	Disability Service Organization(s)	Yes	0
7.	Emergency Medical Services (EMS)/Crisis Response Team(s)	Yes	0
8.	Employment Assistance Program(s) (e.g., Local Workforce Development, American Job Center)	No	
9.	Faith-based Organzation(s)	Yes	0
10.	Homeless or Formerly Homeless Person(s)	Yes	1
11.	Hospital(s)	No	
12.	Other Primary Healthcare Provider(s) (e.g., Federally Qualified Health Center, Healthcare for the Homeless)	Yes	0
13.	Indian Tribes and Tribally Designated Housing Entities (TDHEs) (Tribal Organizations)	Yes	1
14.	Law Enforcement	No	
15.	Local Court Systems, Including Specialty Courts (e.g., Mental Health Court, Drug Court, Care Court)	No	
16.	Local Government Staff/Official(s)	Yes	0

17.	State Government Staff/Official(s)	Yes	1
18.	State or Local Elected Public Official(s)	No	
19.	Local Jail(s)	No	
20.	Mental Health Service Organization(s)	Yes	1
21.	Mental Illness Advocate(s)	Yes	0
22.	Organization(s) led by and serving people with disabilities	No	
23.	Other homeless subpopulation advocate(s)	Yes	0
24.	Other nonprofit homeless assistance provider(s)	Yes	1
25.	Other social service provider(s)	Yes	0
26.	Public Housing Agencies	Yes	1
27.	Recovery Housing or Sober Living Provider(s)	No	
28.	School Administrators/Homeless Liaison(s)	Yes	0
29.	School District(s)	Yes	0
30.	Street Outreach Team(s)	Yes	2
31.	Substance Abuse Advocate(s)	Yes	0
32.	Substance Abuse Service Organization(s)	Yes	1
33.	Universities	No	
34.	Veteran Serving Organization(s)	Yes	2
35.	Agencies Serving Survivors of Human Trafficking	Yes	3
36.	Victim Service Provider(s)	Yes	2
37.	Domestic Violence Advocate(s)	Yes	2
38.	Other Victim Service Organization(s)	Yes	0
39.	State Domestic Violence Coalition	No	
40.	State Sexual Assault Coalition	No	
41.	Youth Advocate(s)	Yes	1
42.	Youth Homeless Organization(s)	Yes	2
43.	Youth Service Provider(s)	Yes	2
44.	Other Homeless Assistance Provider(s)	Yes	0
45.	Local Business or Chamber of Commerce or a Member of a Business Improvement District	No	
	Other: (limit 50 characters)		
46.			
47.			

1B-2.	Open Invitation for New Members.	

Describe your CoC's transparent process (e.g., communicated to the public on the CoC's website) to invite new members to join the CoC at least annually.

(limit 2,500 characters)

The North Dakota Continuum of Care (ND CoC) maintains an open membership process and invites new members to participate at least annually. Membership opportunities are communicated publicly through the ND CoC website and are also shared through the CoC's email distribution list, statewide and regional partner networks, and announcements at CoC and regional coalition meetings.

The ND CoC Coordinator conducts ongoing outreach throughout the year to organizations and individuals involved in addressing homelessness and housing instability across the state. This includes outreach during statewide and regional meetings, presentations, community meetings, and direct communication with organizations and potential partners that are not currently participating in the CoC.

Information about becoming an ND CoC member, including the membership agreement, is publicly available on the ND CoC website throughout the year. New members may join at any time by completing the membership agreement and providing contact and organizational information to the CoC Collaborative Applicant. Membership is not limited to organizations receiving CoC Program funding.

The ND CoC serves the entire geographic area of North Dakota and seeks participation from the range of organizations and partners involved in the homeless response system, including homeless housing and service providers, state and local government agencies, law enforcement, healthcare organizations, behavioral health providers, education partners, public housing agencies, victim service providers, faith-based and community organizations, Tribal partners, and people with lived experience of homelessness.

The ND CoC also works to make participation accessible throughout its statewide geographic area. Meetings include virtual participation options when appropriate, and accommodations are available upon request.

1B-3.	CoC's Strategy to Solicit and Consider Opinions on Preventing and Ending Homelessness.	

Describe your CoC's transparent process (e.g., communicated to the public on the CoC's website) to solicit and consider opinions regarding the CoC's general performance, strategies, and priority setting process from a broad array of individuals and organizations that have knowledge of homelessness or an interest in preventing or ending homelessness in the CoC's geographic area.

(limit 2,500 characters)

The ND CoC uses multiple opportunities to solicit and consider input regarding its performance, strategies, policies, and priorities. Input is gathered through CoC Board and membership meetings, committees, the Youth Action Board (YAB), regional homeless coalition meetings, surveys and requests for feedback, public meetings, and direct communication with CoC staff. Meeting and participation information is shared through the ND CoC website, email distribution lists, and partner networks.

ND CoC staff regularly participate in regional coalition and partner meetings across the state, providing opportunities to receive feedback from CoC members and non-members, including homeless housing and service providers, state and local government, housing authorities, healthcare and behavioral health providers, education partners, victim service providers, faith-based and community organizations, Tribal partners, and people with lived experience of homelessness. The YAB provides additional input regarding the experiences and needs of youth and young adults experiencing homelessness.

The ND CoC also participates in the North Dakota Interagency Council on Homelessness (NDIACH), which brings together state agencies and other partners to identify statewide needs, gaps, and strategies related to homelessness. NDIACH provides another forum for information and stakeholder perspectives to inform statewide planning and recently developed recommendations presented to the Human Services Committee.

Stakeholder input is considered through CoC committees, staff, the Board of Directors, and full membership, as appropriate. For example, during the development of the updated Coordinated Assessment, Referral, Evaluation, and Stabilization (CARES) framework, the ND CoC solicited partner feedback through listening sessions and other review opportunities before final approval. The ND CoC considers feedback in revisions to the CARES Memorandum of Understanding and related policies, procedures, and tools before presenting them for approval.

1B-4.	Public Notification for Proposals from Organizations Not Previously Awarded CoC Program Funding.	

Describe your CoC's transparent process to accept and consider proposals from organizations that have not previously received CoC Program funding including faith-based organizations.

(limit 2,500 characters)

The ND CoC conducts an open local competition that allows eligible organizations, including organizations that have not previously received CoC Program funding and faith-based organizations, to submit proposals for available funding. Prior receipt of CoC Program funding is not required to participate.

The local competition is announced through the ND CoC website, email distribution lists, regional homeless coalition meetings, and other partner networks. For the FY2026 competition, North Dakota Housing Finance Agency (NDHFA), the CoC Collaborative Applicant, also issued a press release announcing the funding opportunity, expanding notification beyond existing CoC members and partners. The Local Competition Packet is publicly available on the ND CoC website and identifies available funding, eligible project types, deadlines, threshold requirements, scoring criteria, ranking procedures, and applicable CoC policies.

Organizations interested in submitting a new project must submit an Intent to Apply/Threshold Requirements form by the established deadline. The form is distributed to the full CoC membership, including organizations that do not currently receive CoC Program funding, and is provided to other interested eligible organizations. Applicants meeting threshold requirements receive the local competition application, required documentation, submission instructions, and HUD resources. The ND CoC also conducts separate application labs for new and renewal projects, providing new applicants an opportunity to learn about the CoC Program and local application requirements and ask questions.

New project proposals are reviewed and scored according to the published criteria established in the ND CoC Rating and Ranking Policy. The Rating and Ranking Committee reviews eligible applications and develops funding and ranking recommendations through the CoC's established governance process. Organizations are evaluated using the applicable published requirements and criteria regardless of whether they have previously received CoC Program funding.

1B-5	Plan for Comprehensive Strategies for Reducing Homelessness.

Describe how your CoC will incorporate comprehensive strategies for reducing homelessness, including interventions in 42 U.S.C. 11386b(d) and other targeted projects for specific subpopulations, by providing a plan to address a gap in the CoC's current homelessness system.

(limit 2,500 characters)

The ND CoC has identified insufficient housing and service capacity as a significant gap in its statewide homelessness response system. The 2026 PIT identified 864 people experiencing homelessness, including 204 who were unsheltered and 202 experiencing chronic homelessness. FY2025 System Performance Measures (SPMs) show that 28.1% of exits from ES/SH/TH/RRH were to permanent housing. Stakeholder feedback also identifies shortages in affordable housing, supportive services, behavioral health and substance use treatment, treatment/recovery beds, and other resources, particularly in areas with limited provider capacity.

The ND CoC will address this gap through a range of interventions based on household needs, including PSH for persons experiencing chronic homelessness and other eligible households with significant service needs; RRH and short-term flexible assistance to overcome barriers to obtaining permanent housing; TH when an intermediate housing and service intervention is appropriate; and supportive services focused on increasing income and connecting participants to treatment, healthcare, employment, benefits, and other mainstream resources.

The CoC will target at least 30% of ES/SH/TH/RRH exits to permanent housing and maintain at least an 80% permanent housing retention/permanent exit rate. SPM results will be reviewed annually to measure progress. If awarded by HUD, three new FY2026 projects totaling $642,467 in RRH and supportive services will complete grant start-up and begin implementation following execution of their HUD grant agreements and within HUD-established grant timelines.

Planned activities will be supported through CoC Program funding, ESG, the ND Homeless Grant, and leveraged state, local, healthcare, behavioral health, housing, and other mainstream resources. The ND CoC Board of Directors, supported by the Collaborative Applicant and Data, Performance & HMIS Committee, will oversee implementation, review annual performance, identify remaining gaps, and adjust strategies and priorities as needed.

1B-5a	Confirmation of Plan Coverage.

Select 'Yes' or 'No' in the chart below to indicate whether your narrative in question 1B-5 addresses the following aspects of your plan to address a gap in the CoC's current homelessness system.	
1. Identifies the gap, using data or stakeholder feedback	Yes
2. The strategy to address the needs of all relevant subpopulations	Yes
3. Sets quantifiable performance measures	Yes
4. Identifies timelines for the completion of specific tasks	Yes
5. Identifies specific funding sources for planned activities	Yes
6. An individual or body responsible for overseeing implementation of specific strategies	Yes

1C. Coordination and Engagement

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

1C-1.	**Treatment and Recovery Services—On-site Substance Use Treatment Availability.**	
	You must upload the List of TH/RRH/PSH Projects and Treatment Providers with On-Site Substance Use Treatment attachment to the 4A. Attachments Screen.	
	What percent of your TH/RRH/PSH projects have substance use treatment available on site?	0%

If you are a rural CoC, describe what substance use treatment is available in your CoC and how people you serve access those services.

(limit 2,500 characters)

The ND CoC covers a statewide, predominantly rural geographic area where access to substance use disorder (SUD) treatment varies significantly by community. North Dakota has licensed SUD treatment providers offering a range of services, including outpatient and intensive outpatient treatment, partial hospitalization/day treatment, residential treatment, withdrawal management/social detoxification, medication for opioid use disorder, and recovery and peer support services.

Youthworks, an ND CoC member and YHDP-funded provider, will provide integrated mental health and substance use treatment on site at its Fargo location through its YHDP Joint TH/RRH project. Services will include ASAM Level 1.5 Low-Intensity Outpatient (LIOP) and Level 1.0 Outpatient treatment, with LIOP offered as a two-hour group once per week. Because this is a Joint TH/RRH project, it was not included in the TH/RRH/PSH percentage calculated above.

Other CoC-funded providers connect participants to appropriate SUD treatment through referrals, care coordination, and connections with behavioral health and treatment providers. North Dakota HHS maintains statewide SUD and Behavioral Health Program directories and a Behavioral Health Navigator to assist individuals in locating appropriate services. Available state resources also include recovery support programs and medication treatment resources.

Because treatment providers and higher levels of care are concentrated in certain communities, individuals in rural areas may need to travel to another community or use virtual services when available. CoC providers assist participants in identifying available treatment options and coordinating connections to appropriate services. Limited treatment capacity, distance, and transportation can create barriers to timely access.

1C-1a. Treatment and Recovery Services—Outpatient Treatment for Mental Health and Substance Use Disorders.

Describe how your CoC partners with, or has projects that provide, outpatient treatment for mental health and substance use disorders with a range of appropriate levels of care, psychosocial interventions, medication management, suicide prevention, and recovery supports.

(limit 2,500 characters)

The ND CoC's funded projects provide or connect participants to a continuum of outpatient mental health and substance use disorder treatment through direct services and formal and informal relationships with behavioral health, healthcare, and treatment providers. CoC-funded recipients use referrals and warm handoffs to connect participants to services based on individual needs and appropriate levels of care.

Outpatient mental health services are accessed through providers including West Central Behavioral Health Clinic, Badlands Behavioral Health Clinic, Northeast Behavioral Health Clinic, Altru, Dacotah Foundation, and individual counseling providers. Substance use disorder treatment is accessed through providers including Heartview Foundation, Good Road Recovery Center, Ideal Option, The Centre, New Freedom, and other local treatment providers. When a higher level of behavioral health or SUD care is needed, recipients connect participants to appropriate providers, including Prairie Recovery Center and other regional treatment resources.

Participants also have access to psychosocial interventions, including individual and group counseling and skills-based interventions; medication management through behavioral health and healthcare providers; suicide prevention and intervention through behavioral health providers, private counseling providers, and hospitals; and recovery supports through SUD treatment and recovery providers.

Relationships vary by recipient and community and include both formal and informal arrangements. Some services are provided directly by recipient staff, while others are accessed through outside providers. CoC-funded providers facilitate access through referrals, warm handoffs, care coordination, and ongoing case management to help participants connect with the level and type of behavioral health services appropriate to their needs.

1C-1b. Treatment and Recovery Services—Peer Support and Recovery Navigation.

Describe how your CoC partners with, or has projects that provide access to, peer recovery specialists or other forms of peer support and recovery navigation.

(limit 2,500 characters)

The ND CoC partners with organizations that provide peer support and recovery navigation and has multiple CoC-funded recipients that connect participants to these services. Recipients provide access to peer recovery and support services through programs including Community Connect and Free Through Recovery, which utilize certified peer support/recovery specialists. Providers connect participants to these services through referrals and warm handoffs based on individual needs.

Peer support is generally accessed through outside community partners using both formal and informal relationships. Ministry on the Margins also provides peer support directly within its organization. These resources provide participants with lived-experience-based support and recovery navigation and help connect individuals to behavioral health, substance use disorder, and other recovery resources.

1C-1c. Treatment and Recovery Services—Connecting Individuals Experiencing Serious Mental Illness with the Services Necessary to Promote Stability.

Describe how your CoC identifies individuals experiencing Serious Mental Illness and connects them with the services necessary to promote stability, such as Assertive Community Treatment teams and other models that combine intensive care coordination and assertive outreach with comprehensive treatment.

(limit 2,500 characters)

CoC-funded providers identify individuals who have or may have Serious Mental Illness (SMI) through intake and assessment processes, participant-reported information and existing diagnoses, screening tools, ongoing case management, and observation of behavioral health needs. Because needs may emerge over time, providers continue assessing participants throughout their involvement in housing and services, including when repeated crises or returns indicate a need for more intensive intervention.

When SMI or a need for a higher level of behavioral health care is identified, providers connect participants to community-based behavioral health services, including Assertive Community Treatment (ACT), psychiatric services and medication management, crisis services, intensive behavioral health services, and, where available, intensive case management and assertive outreach. Connections are primarily made to regional behavioral health providers and hospitals through referrals and warm handoffs.

CoC-funded providers coordinate with behavioral health providers, as appropriate and with participant authorization, including sharing relevant information through releases of information to support continuity of care. Relationships with behavioral health providers are generally informal, allowing housing and service providers to connect participants to the level of treatment and support necessary to promote housing and behavioral health stability.

1C-1d. Treatment and Recovery Services—Coordination with Providers in Connection with Drug or Other Specialty Courts.

Identify at least one partnership the CoC has with a provider or entity providing services in connection with Drug Courts and other specialty courts serving individuals with mental and substance use disorders, Assisted Outpatient Treatment (AOT) programs, and inpatient civil commitment.

(limit 500 characters)

GFHA's PHMH project, transitioning from PSH to SSO, coordinates with Spectra Health, an AOT provider and active ND CoC and CARES member. GFHA staff attend and present at Spectra treatment groups approximately every 8–10 weeks, where the majority of participants attend pursuant to a court order.

Describe how your CoC coordinates with the provider or entity identified above to support housing stability and movement towards independence, including by leveraging court orders.

(limit 2,500 characters)

GFHA's PHMH project currently coordinates with Spectra Health, an AOT provider in Grand Forks and an active ND CoC member and CARES/Coordinated Entry partner. This coordination will continue as the project transitions from PSH to SSO. GFHA grant staff attend and present at two Spectra Health treatment group meetings approximately every 8–10 weeks. The majority of treatment group participants attend pursuant to a court order. GFHA staff provide information about housing navigation and supportive services available to eligible participants.

GFHA also refers participants to Spectra Health for services based on individual needs, including medication-assisted treatment, intensive outpatient treatment, and individual therapy with Licensed Addiction Counselors. Through this coordination, individuals participating in court-ordered treatment can be connected to housing navigation and supportive services, while GFHA participants can be connected to behavioral health treatment that supports housing stability and movement toward independence.

Spectra Health's participation in the ND CoC and CARES further supports coordination between behavioral health treatment, Coordinated Entry, housing resources, and supportive services.

1C-1e. Treatment and Recovery Services—Partnership with Local Crisis System of Care.

Identify at least one partnership the CoC has with the local crisis system of care including 988 and crisis contact centers, mobile crisis and outreach services, and crisis stabilization services.

(limit 500 characters)

The ND CoC partners with ND HHS Behavioral Health Division and regional Behavioral Health Clinics, which are part of the statewide crisis system providing connections to 988, mobile crisis response, and crisis stabilization services. Regional Behavioral Health Clinics participate in CARES Coordinated Entry by conducting assessments and participating in weekly regional CARES meetings.

1C-1f.	Treatment and Recovery Services—Availability of Units for Persons Reporting Chronic Substance Use.	
	You must upload the List of CoC Program Projects and the Number of Units that require Substance Abuse Treatment attachment to the 4A. Attachments Screen.	

Identify the number of CoC Program-funded units that require program participant engagement in substance abuse treatment services as a condition of continued participation in the program in accordance with 24 CFR 578.75.	0

1C-1g.	**Treatment and Recovery Services—24/7 Access to Detox, Residential, or Inpatient Treatment.**	

Is there 24/7 access to detox, residential, and inpatient behavioral health treatment within the geographic area of your CoC?	Yes

1C-1h. Treatment and Recovery Services—Formal Partnership with Behavioral and Mental Health Facilities.

Identify at least one formal partnership the CoC has with a Certified Community Behavioral Health Clinic (CCBHC), Community Mental Health Center (CMHC), SAMHSA Projects for Assistance in Transition from Homelessness (PATH) provider, Grants for the Benefit of Homeless Individuals (GBHI) provider, or a similar facility if none of the above are located in the geographic area.

(limit 500 characters)

The ND CoC has formal CARES Partnership Agreements with ND HHS regional Behavioral Health Clinics, including Southeast, Northeast, South Central, and West Central. Through CARES, these behavioral health providers participate in the CoC's coordinated entry system, conduct assessments, make service connections and referrals, and coordinate with homeless service providers to connect households to behavioral health and housing resources.

1C-1i. Treatment and Recovery Services—Sober Housing Availability.

Identify at least one new or existing CoC Program-funded project that operates sober housing in accordance with 24 CFR 578.93(b)(5).

(limit 500 characters)

The ND CoC does not currently have a new or existing CoC Program-funded project that operates sober housing in accordance with 24 CFR 578.93(b)(5).

1C-1j. Treatment and Recovery Services—Warm Hand-Offs to Stable Housing Providers.

Describe how your CoC coordinates with the programs named in questions 1C-1a through 1C-1i to facilitate warm hand-offs to stable housing providers and prevent entry into homelessness.

(limit 2,500 characters)

The ND CoC coordinates behavioral health, substance use treatment, recovery, and other community-based services with the homelessness response system through Coordinated Entry, CoC-funded providers, and the CoC's Discharge Plan. CoC-funded providers use referrals, warm handoffs, case management, and care coordination to connect individuals to appropriate services and housing resources. With participant consent, providers coordinate and share relevant information with behavioral health and other service providers to support continuity of care.

The CoC's Discharge Plan establishes that individuals should not be discharged from publicly funded institutions or systems of care without assistance to identify housing or shelter and that every effort should be made through discharge planning to identify adequate permanent housing. The CoC shares regional housing resources with systems of care, conducts outreach and education regarding available housing resources, and invites publicly funded institutions and systems of care to participate in CoC and regional housing collaboration.

Through these practices, individuals receiving behavioral health, substance use treatment, recovery, crisis, and other services can be connected through warm handoffs to Coordinated Entry, housing providers, and community supports. For individuals already housed, coordination helps address behavioral health and recovery needs that could jeopardize housing stability; for individuals transitioning from treatment or institutional settings, discharge planning and housing connections are used to prevent entry into homelessness whenever possible.

1C-2.	Supportive Services Investment.	

	Number
1. Enter your CoC's FY 2026 Annual Renewal Demand (ARD).	$3,362,731
2. Of projects your CoC proposed to be funded in the FY 2026 CoC Program Competition, enter the total amount of funding for the supportive services budget line items.	$2,129,496
3. Percent of your CoC's FY 2026 ARD (from element 1 above) that is supportive services funding (from element 2 above).	63%
4. Enter the amount of funding, leverage, match, and funding commitment from other formal partnerships in supportive services for the projects included in your CoC's FY 2026 ARD.	$505,137
5. Percent of your CoC's FY 2026 ARD (from element 1 above) that is the supportive services funding in proposed projects (from element 2 above) plus the amount of funding, leverage, match, and funding commitment from other formal partnerships in supportive services (from element 4 above).	78%

1C-3.	Participation Requirements for Supportive Services.
	You must upload the Language from Project Supportive Service Agreements attachment to the 4A. Attachments Screen.

What percent of your housing projects (TH, PH-PSH, PH-RRH, and Joint projects) require program participants to take part in supportive services (e.g. case management, employment training, substance use disorder treatment) in line with 24 CFR 578.75(h)?	0.00%

1C-4. Consulting with ESG Recipients.

Describe how your CoC has and will continue to consult with ESG recipients in the planning and allocation of ESG funds.

(limit 2,500 characters)

ND Housing Finance Agency (NDHFA) serves as both the Collaborative Applicant for the ND CoC and the State of North Dakota's ESG recipient. CoC and ESG staff work within the same department at NDHFA, allowing for ongoing coordination regarding homelessness system needs, funding priorities, program requirements, and the use of available resources.

The consultation process includes cross-program review of funding applications. The ND CoC Coordinator reviews ESG applications and provides input based on knowledge of statewide and regional homelessness needs and the broader homelessness response system. The ESG Program Manager reviews CoC Program applications, and the staff member responsible for compliance and monitoring for both programs also reviews ESG and CoC applications. This cross-review helps coordinate funding decisions, identify potential gaps or duplication, and consider how ESG and CoC resources can complement one another.

NDHFA also administers the state-funded North Dakota Homeless Grant and HOME-ARP, providing additional opportunities to coordinate housing and homelessness resources across funding sources. The CoC and ESG program coordinate on policies affecting both programs, including the ND CoC/ESG Written Standards, and consider system data and stakeholder feedback gathered through the CoC, CARES Coordinated Entry, regional coalitions, and other planning efforts.

The ND CoC will continue to consult with the ESG program through cross-program application review, ongoing staff coordination, joint review of policies and standards, and consideration of system needs and stakeholder feedback in planning and funding decisions.

1C-4a. Coordination with Emergency Shelter Providers.

Describe how your CoC coordinates with shelter providers to connect individuals and families with CoC assistance.

(limit 2,500 characters)

The ND CoC coordinates with emergency shelter providers through CARES, the CoC's Coordinated Entry system. Because emergency shelter resources are limited and not available in every region of the statewide CoC, CARES provides a common process for connecting individuals and families experiencing homelessness to housing assistance regardless of whether they access the system through a shelter.

Most emergency shelters participate directly in CARES by completing the Housing Prioritization Tool with individuals and families experiencing homelessness. The assessment places eligible households on the CARES Priority List, where they can be considered for CoC-funded and other available housing resources based on eligibility, prioritization, and available openings. Shelters that do not conduct the Housing Prioritization Tool can connect households to another CARES participating agency for assessment.

Shelter providers and other CARES partners also participate in regional coordination, including regular CARES meetings where housing needs, available resources, and connections to housing and services are coordinated. When housing opportunities become available, CARES facilitates connections between prioritized households and appropriate housing providers.

The ND CoC maintains ongoing communication with shelter providers through CARES, CoC membership, regional homeless coalitions, training, technical assistance, and statewide and regional planning. These relationships provide opportunities for shelters to receive information about CoC assistance, communicate gaps and barriers, and coordinate connections to housing and supportive services.

1C-5. Data Sharing to Inform the Homelessness Response System.

Describe how your CoC has or will share PIT, HIC, HMIS, and System Performance data with state and local government as permitted by law in order to inform the homelessness response system.

(limit 2,500 characters)

The ND CoC shares PIT, HIC, HMIS, and System Performance Measures data with state and local government partners, as permitted by applicable privacy and data-sharing requirements, to inform planning, policy, funding, and responses to homelessness. Aggregate data are shared through CoC Board and membership meetings, regional homeless coalitions, presentations, reports, and state and local planning efforts.

ND Housing Finance Agency (NDHFA), the CoC Collaborative Applicant, is a state agency and uses HMIS and other homelessness system data across programs administered within the department, including ESG and the North Dakota Homeless Grant. These data help identify trends and gaps, inform funding and program planning, and support coordination of housing and homelessness resources.

The ND CoC also shares homelessness data with the North Dakota Interagency Council on Homelessness and state policymakers. In 2026, the CoC Coordinator presented HMIS data to the Legislative Interim Human Services Committee, providing legislators with information on homelessness and system needs. NDIACH also uses homelessness data and stakeholder input to inform recommendations presented to the Committee.

At the local level, aggregate PIT, HIC, HMIS, and system performance data are shared with regional partners and local governments to identify trends, service and housing gaps, and regional needs. The CoC will continue to share and use these data in collaborative planning, funding decisions, policy development, and evaluation of the homelessness response system while protecting participant-level information in accordance with applicable requirements.

1C-5a. Using Other Data Sources Alongside HMIS to Improve Care.

Describe how your CoC utilizes other data sources alongside HMIS to improve care (e.g., health care utilization and claims data, electronic health care record data; admission, discharge, and transfer data; and law enforcement and corrections system data).

(limit 2,500 characters)

The ND CoC uses data from sources outside HMIS alongside HMIS data to identify needs, improve system planning, and support access to housing and services. The CoC annually reviews and shares statewide data on students experiencing homelessness with the CoC Board and membership, providing information about children and families who may not be fully represented in HMIS.

The CoC also collects aggregate data, when needed, from victim service providers that do not participate in HMIS. For Coordinated Entry, the CoC uses DVIMS, an HMIS-comparable database managed by the HMIS Lead Agency. Two victim service providers use DVIMS directly. Other victim service providers submit completed Housing Prioritization Tools to the Coordinated Entry Specialist, who enters the information into DVIMS. This allows households served outside systems managed by the HMIS Lead Agency to be included on the CARES Priority List and considered for housing while maintaining required confidentiality protections.

The ND CoC also coordinates data across CoC boundaries through its partnership with the West Central Minnesota CoC. Coordinated Entry Specialists from the two CoCs share appropriate information to coordinate housing and services for individuals and families in the Fargo, ND-Moorhead, MN border communities, where households may interact with providers and resources on both sides of the state line.

Together, HMIS, DVIMS, education data, information from victim service providers, and cross-CoC data sharing provide a more complete understanding of homelessness and help the CoC coordinate housing resources, identify system gaps, and improve connections to appropriate services.

1C-6. Employment and Workforce Development Partnership.

Identify at least one partnership the CoC has with employment and workforce development programs and organizations such as the Local Workforce Development Board, State Workforce Agency, American Job Center (also known as One-Stop Career Centers), registered apprenticeship program, community and technical college, union training program, adult education provider, or State Vocational Rehabilitation agency.

(limit 2,500 characters)

The ND CoC does not currently have an established partnership with an employment or workforce development program or organization. Individual CoC members and funded projects connect participants to employment and workforce resources based on participant needs, including Job Service North Dakota and Vocational Rehabilitation, but these relationships are established at the provider/project level rather than as CoC-level partnerships.

1C-7. Street Outreach–Cooperation with First Responders and Law Enforcement.

Describe in the field below how your CoC's street outreach projects (including co-response) cooperate with first responders and law enforcement to increase positive interactions in order to increase housing and service engagement and promote use of CoC services.

(limit 2,500 characters)

The ND CoC's street outreach providers coordinate with law enforcement to increase engagement with individuals experiencing unsheltered homelessness. Ministry on the Margins coordinates with the Bismarck Police Department through training and weekly communication between outreach staff and officers. Youthworks coordinates with the Fargo Police Department by communicating where street outreach activities will occur.

Outreach staff do not routinely conduct joint outreach with law enforcement; rather, coordination supports communication and connection while allowing outreach providers to engage individuals directly. Both Ministry on the Margins and Youthworks participate in CARES, the CoC's Coordinated Entry system, allowing individuals engaged through street outreach to be connected to housing, shelter, and other appropriate services through the broader homelessness response system.

1C-8. Family or Support Network Reunification.

Describe how your CoC, or your recipients, assist with family or support-network reunification efforts for individuals experiencing homelessness or living in CoC Program-funded housing (e.g., case management, travel costs, arrangement of assistance in another geographic area).

(limit 2,500 characters)

The ND CoC has recipients that assist individuals experiencing homelessness with family or support-network reunification when appropriate and desired by the participant. Youthworks uses case management and Brief Strategic Family Therapy to help participants identify and reconnect with family members and other natural supports. Assistance may include contacting and coordinating with family or support networks, transportation or travel assistance, and coordinating housing and services with providers in another community or geographic area to support a successful transition.

Pathfinder Services of ND also assists youth with family reunification. When a youth wishes to reconnect with family, staff can provide a safe location for meetings, be present during reunification meetings, and help facilitate communication and a safe transition back into the home. Case management, transportation assistance, and coordination with family, supports, and providers are used as appropriate to help participants safely reconnect with their support networks.

1C-9	**Partnering with Public Housing Agencies—Agreement to Enable Transition to HUD Assisted Housing.**		
	Does your CoC have an agreement with at least one public housing agency to enable participants to transition from Transitional Housing, Rapid Re-Housing, and Permanent Supportive Housing to HUD assisted housing?	No	

1C-10. Protecting Public Safety—Cooperation with Law Enforcement.

You must upload the Evidence of Coordination and Non-Interference with Local Efforts to Advance Protecting Public Safety attachment to the 4A. Attachments Screen.

Describe how your CoC cooperates and does not interfere with or impede local efforts to advance the objectives below, and assists first responders in providing services to homeless individuals.

(limit 2,500 characters)

The ND CoC cooperates with local governments, law enforcement, first responders, behavioral health providers, and other community partners to advance public safety while connecting individuals experiencing homelessness to appropriate housing and services. The CoC does not interfere with or impede lawful local efforts related to encampments, public illicit drug use, individuals who may present a danger to themselves or others, or applicable sex offender registration and notification requirements.

CoC-funded providers assist first responders through communication, referrals, outreach coordination, training, and connections to services. Examples include regular communication between Ministry on the Margins and the Bismarck Police Department, coordination between Youthworks and the Fargo Police Department regarding street outreach, law enforcement referrals and transportation to shelter in Minot, and referrals from law enforcement to homeless service providers in Grand Forks.

Providers participating in CARES, North Dakota's Coordinated Entry system, help connect individuals encountered by first responders to shelter, housing, behavioral health and substance use treatment, crisis services, recovery supports, and other appropriate resources. The CoC works within applicable state and local laws while coordinating with community partners to address barriers that may make individuals more difficult to engage or house.

1C-10a. Protecting Public Safety—Plan to Quickly Clear Tents and Encampments.

Identify local laws, policies, or other practices that help or hinder the CoC's ability to quickly clear tents and encampments on public property and connect individuals who are camping in public with appropriate services and provide a plan explaining how the CoC will leverage beneficial policies while overcoming the harmful effects of restrictive ones. If you cannot provide any mitigating steps, please explain why.

(limit 2,500 characters)

Local laws and practices affecting encampments vary across the ND CoC's statewide geography. Bismarck and Fargo have ordinances restricting camping on public property, and recipients report similar restrictions or enforcement practices affecting unsheltered individuals in Minot. Recipients in Grand Forks and Dickinson did not identify comparable local restrictions. In Fargo, current encampments are generally small and isolated, typically involving one person and occasionally two tents; outreach reports fewer camps in the downtown area, although individuals continue to sleep outdoors and in other public or semi-public locations.

While these policies allow communities to address encampments on public property, recipients report that enforcement can create barriers to housing and service engagement. When individuals are repeatedly moved, they become more mobile and may relocate to less visible locations, making it difficult for outreach providers to maintain contact and continue engagement. Providers also report that enforcement can create trauma and trust barriers between individuals experiencing unsheltered homelessness and service providers.

The CoC mitigates these effects through outreach, Coordinated Entry, and coordination with local government and law enforcement. Ministry on the Margins maintains active communication with Bismarck officials regarding the impact of local policies and works with individuals affected by enforcement; law enforcement also connects individuals to Ministry on the Margins. Bismarck is exploring additional low-barrier shelter and triage capacity. In Minot, providers coordinate with law enforcement to connect individuals and families to available shelter. Ministry on the Margins, Youthworks, and Pathfinder Services of ND participate in CARES and connect individuals encountered through outreach to Coordinated Entry, housing, shelter, behavioral health, treatment, and other appropriate services.

The CoC will continue leveraging practices that allow law enforcement and community partners to connect individuals directly to homeless-service providers while working with local officials to reduce barriers created when enforcement disperses individuals before housing and service connections can be established. In Grand Forks, for example, law enforcement refers families experiencing homelessness to United Way of Grand Forks, East Grand Forks & Area or Homeless Helpers to assist them in accessing shelter and services.

1C-10b. Protecting Public Safety—Current Status of Tents and Encampments.

Describe the current status of tents and encampments in the CoC’s geographic area.

(limit 2,500 characters)

Tents and encampments are currently present in communities throughout the ND CoC's statewide geographic area, including Bismarck, Fargo, Minot, Dickinson, and Grand Forks. Recipients report that encampments are generally small and isolated rather than large or concentrated. Their duration varies by community. In Bismarck, encampments are recurring and seasonal due in part to North Dakota's winter conditions; Dickinson reports temporary and recurring encampments, while Minot and Grand Forks report primarily temporary encampments. In Fargo, current encampments are generally small and isolated, typically involving one person and occasionally two tents. Outreach reports fewer camps in the downtown area, although individuals continue to sleep outdoors and in other public or semi-public locations. Other recipients did not identify significant recent changes in the number, size, or location of encampments.

Communities face different barriers to resolving encampments and connecting individuals to housing. Grand Forks has limited family shelter capacity and recipients report low rental vacancy and high housing costs. Dickinson has no general emergency shelter outside of domestic violence shelter resources. Bismarck lacks daytime shelter capacity, and recipients report that enforcement of local camping restrictions can make individuals more difficult to locate and can create additional financial barriers to housing. Minot reports limited affordable and permanent supportive housing, as well as challenges locating individuals after they move and establishing trust necessary for engagement.

Across communities, limited shelter and affordable housing capacity, difficulty maintaining contact with individuals who frequently relocate, and trust barriers can delay connections to shelter, permanent housing, and supportive services.

1C-10c. Protecting Public Safety—Plan to Decrease the Public Use of Illicit Drugs.

Identify local laws, policies, or other practices that help or hinder the CoC's ability to decrease the public use of illicit drugs and quickly connect individuals who are using illicit drug in public with appropriate services and/or law enforcement and provide a plan explaining how the CoC will leverage beneficial policies while overcoming the harmful effects of restrictive ones. If you cannot provide any mitigating steps, please explain why.

(limit 2,500 characters)

The communities within the ND CoC operate under state and local laws prohibiting possession or use of illicit controlled substances and related drug paraphernalia. However, CoC-funded recipients in Fargo, Bismarck, Minot, Grand Forks, and Dickinson did not identify local laws, policies, or practices that specifically help or hinder their ability to decrease public illicit drug use or quickly connect individuals using illicit drugs in public to services. Therefore, the CoC has not identified restrictive local policies requiring specific mitigation strategies.

When substance use needs are identified, CoC-funded providers connect individuals to appropriate substance use disorder treatment, behavioral health, crisis, and recovery services through referrals, warm handoffs, and care coordination. Recipients coordinate with local and regional providers offering outpatient and higher levels of SUD care, medication treatment, recovery supports, and behavioral health services. Multiple recipients also connect individuals to certified peer support and recovery navigation through programs such as Community Connect and Free Through Recovery.

Street outreach and other CoC-funded providers coordinate with law enforcement and first responders as appropriate and participate in CARES, North Dakota's Coordinated Entry system, allowing individuals experiencing homelessness to be connected to housing and supportive services. The CoC will continue leveraging these treatment, recovery, outreach, law enforcement, and Coordinated Entry relationships while monitoring for local policies or practices that create barriers to engagement.

1C-10d. Protecting Public Safety—Current Status of Overdoses and Illicit Drug Use in Public Spaces.

Describe the current status of overdoses and illicit drug use in public spaces in the CoC’s geographic area.

(limit 2,500 characters)

Statewide data indicate that overdose deaths occur most frequently in private residential settings rather than public spaces. North Dakota reported 104 overdose deaths in 2024 and 110 in 2025, with houses/apartments representing the largest known injury-location category. State nonfatal overdose data do not include sufficiently detailed location information to determine the statewide prevalence of overdoses in public spaces.

Local Fargo data provide additional context. Fargo Police Department reported 169 overdoses in 2025, including 29 fatal and 140 nonfatal overdoses. Of these, 59% occurred in residences, including shelters, rehabilitation/transitional housing, and supportive housing; 14% occurred in vehicles; 10% occurred outdoors, including sidewalks, parks, and streets; 9% occurred in hotels; and 8% occurred in other public locations such as businesses, gas stations, bars, and the Engagement Center.

CoC-funded providers serving other areas of the statewide CoC reported that they do not commonly encounter illicit drug use or overdoses in public spaces and indicated that overdoses are more commonly encountered in private settings. Because public-space overdose and illicit drug-use data are not collected consistently statewide, the CoC cannot quantify the prevalence of these incidents across its entire geographic area.

1C-10e. Protecting Public Safety—Standards to Address Danger to Self or Others.

Identify local laws, policies, or other practices that help or hinder the CoC's ability to utilize standards that address homeless individuals who are a danger to themselves or others (e.g., involuntary commitment) and provide a plan explaining how the CoC will leverage beneficial policies while overcoming the harmful effects of restrictive ones. If you cannot provide any mitigating steps, please explain why.

(limit 2,500 characters)

North Dakota has statewide standards and procedures for responding when an individual presents a serious risk of harm to themselves or others. State law provides procedures for emergency evaluation, detention, and involuntary treatment when statutory criteria are met. North Dakota's statewide behavioral health crisis system also provides access to 988, mobile crisis response, regional behavioral health clinics, crisis stabilization, and other treatment resources.

CoC-funded recipients in Fargo, Bismarck, Minot, Grand Forks, and Dickinson did not identify local laws, policies, or practices that specifically help or hinder their ability to use these standards or connect individuals experiencing homelessness to appropriate crisis or behavioral health intervention. Accordingly, the CoC has not identified restrictive local policies requiring specific mitigation.

The CoC will leverage the existing statewide framework by continuing to coordinate with behavioral health providers, 988/mobile crisis services, hospitals, EMS, and law enforcement as appropriate when an individual presents a danger to themselves or others. CoC-funded providers can then continue housing and service coordination following crisis intervention to help individuals access behavioral health treatment, housing, and other supports necessary for stability. The CoC will continue to monitor for local practices or service-access barriers that interfere with timely crisis response and address those barriers through coordination with community partners when identified.

1C-10f. Protecting Public Safety—Share Information in Accordance with SORNA.

Identify local laws, policies, or other practices that help or hinder the CoC's ability to comprehensively share information, including location information, in accordance with the Sex Offender Registry and Notification Act (SORNA) and provide a plan explaining how the CoC will leverage beneficial policies while overcoming the harmful effects of restrictive ones. If you cannot provide any mitigating steps, please explain why.

(limit 2,500 characters)

North Dakota law establishes registration and notification requirements for individuals required to register as sexual offenders, including specific requirements for individuals experiencing homelessness. Individuals without a permanent residence who are subject to registration must maintain required registration with the appropriate law enforcement agency, providing a mechanism for law enforcement to maintain location information when a permanent address is unavailable.

The ND CoC does not operate the sex offender registry or serve as the mechanism for reporting registrant location information to law enforcement. CoC recipients maintain participant information in accordance with applicable HMIS, Coordinated Entry, victim service provider, and other privacy and confidentiality requirements and provide information to law enforcement when disclosure is authorized or required by law.

The CoC does not interfere with state or local implementation of applicable registration and notification requirements. When registration requirements or related housing restrictions create barriers to housing, CoC and CARES partners work within applicable requirements to identify available housing and services and assist participants in maintaining housing stability.

1C-11. Outreach Strategy.

Describe your CoC's strategy to outreach to all individuals and families experiencing homelessness across your geographic area.

(limit 2,500 characters)

The ND CoC serves the entire geographic area of North Dakota and uses multiple access points and partnerships to identify and connect individuals and families experiencing homelessness with housing and services. Because North Dakota is largely rural and shelter and other homeless services are not available in every community, the CoC's strategy combines statewide and regional outreach, CARES Coordinated Entry, and partnerships with homeless service providers and other community organizations.

NDHFA also administers the North Dakota Homeless Grant (NDHG), which supports street outreach, rapid rehousing, homelessness prevention, emergency shelter, and HMIS through organizations statewide. One NDHG award to Community Action Partnership of North Dakota supports the eight Community Action Agencies serving North Dakota with street outreach, rapid rehousing, and prevention activities, strengthening the ability to identify and assist households throughout the CoC's geographic area.

CARES provides the statewide process for connecting individuals and families identified through outreach, shelters, and other community partners to housing resources. Most emergency shelters conduct the Housing Prioritization Tool, and other CARES partners also conduct assessments, allowing households to access the CARES Priority List through multiple points across the state. Regional CARES meetings provide ongoing coordination among providers and help connect households to available housing and services.

The CoC also provides access for households served outside HMIS. Victim service providers using DVIMS, an HMIS-comparable database, can connect households to the CARES Priority List. Other victim service providers submit completed Housing Prioritization Tools to the Coordinated Entry Specialist for entry into DVIMS, allowing those households to participate in housing prioritization while maintaining required confidentiality.

In the Fargo-Moorhead border area, Coordinated Entry Specialists from the ND CoC and West Central Minnesota CoC coordinate to connect households accessing services on either side of the state line. Together, street outreach, shelters, Community Action Agencies, victim service providers, CARES partners, regional coalitions, and other community partners provide multiple pathways to identify and connect people experiencing homelessness throughout North Dakota.

1C-12.	**Collaboration Related to Children and Youth–Written Agreements with Early Childhood Services Providers.**	

Select 'Yes' or 'No' in the chart below to indicate whether your CoC or HUD-funded projects in the CoC have written agreements with the listed providers of educational supports and services for children ages 0-5:

		Written Agreement
1.	**Child Care (including Child Care and Development Fund)**	No
2.	**Early Childhood Providers**	No
3.	**Early Head Start**	Yes

4.	Home Visiting Program (including Maternal, Infant and Early Childhood Home and Visiting or MIECHV)	No
5.	Head Start	Yes
6.	Healthy Start	No
7.	Public Pre-K	No
8.	Tribal Home Visiting Program	No
	Other (limit 150 characters)	
9.		

1C-12a.	Collaboration Related to Children and Youth–Formal Partnerships with Schools and Youth Education Providers.	

Select 'Yes' or 'No' in the chart below to indicate the youth education providers your CoC has a formal partnership with:

1.	McKinney-Vento Liaison(s) (including State Education Agency (SEA) or Local Education Agency (LEA))	Yes
2.	School District(s)	No
3.	General Education Development (GED) Program(s)	No
4.	Community College(s)	No
5.	Technical College(s)	No
6.	Other Post-Secondary Education Provider(s)	No

1C-12b.Collaboration Related to Children and Youth—Informing Individuals and Families who Become Homeless about Eligibility for Educational Services.

Describe your CoC's written policies and procedures to inform individuals and families who become homeless of their eligibility for educational services.

(limit 2,500 characters)

The ND CoC Written Standards require CoC-, ESG-, and NDHG-funded projects serving households with children to ensure timely enrollment in school or early childhood programs and coordinate with educational liaisons and services, as applicable. The standards apply statewide and funded recipients and subrecipients are required to develop and implement internal policies and procedures consistent with the Written Standards.

The ND CoC supports implementation through coordination with the North Dakota Department of Public Instruction (NDDPI), school homeless liaisons, and youth-serving partners. CoC staff meet with school liaisons to share information regarding the CoC, CARES Coordinated Entry, and resources available to students and families experiencing homelessness. YHDP-funded providers also coordinate with school liaisons and educational partners across the state to connect youth and families with appropriate educational and homelessness resources.

1C-12c. Collaboration Related to Children and Youth—Coordination with Foster Care or Child Welfare Services.

Describe how your CoC coordinates with foster care or child welfare services to assist youth transitioning from public systems of care.

(limit 2,500 characters)

The ND CoC coordinates with foster care and child welfare partners to identify and assist youth before they transition out of foster care and are at risk of homelessness. Come Along Side Others (CASO) works with youth aging out of foster care and partners with Pathfinder Services of ND (PSND) to connect youth to YHDP services. PSND also uses established relationships with foster families to identify youth who may need housing and supportive services. Youthworks coordinates with North Dakota Human Service Zones to identify and receive referrals for youth transitioning from foster care.

Youthworks and PSND work to engage youth before they exit foster care so housing and other resources can be identified prior to discharge. Through case management, youth are connected to CARES/Coordinated Entry, housing, benefits, education, employment, behavioral health services, and other community resources based on individual needs.

These activities align with the ND CoC's Discharge Plan, which recognizes required transition planning for youth aging out of foster care and states that all efforts must be made to ensure foster youth are not discharged into homelessness.

1C-12d. Collaboration Related to Children and Youth—Coordination with Runaway and Homeless Youth (RHY)-funded Providers.

Describe how your CoC coordinates with RHY-funded providers including Street Outreach Programs, Basic Center Programs, Transitional Living Programs, and Maternity Group Homes where such providers exist.

(limit 2,500 characters)

The ND CoC coordinates with Runaway and Homeless Youth (RHY) programs through Youthworks, the only RHY-funded provider in North Dakota. Youthworks is an active ND CoC member and CARES/Coordinated Entry partner and operates one CoC Program-funded project and three YHDP-funded projects. Youthworks also operates RHY-funded Basic Center, Transitional Living, and Maternity Group Home programs; it does not operate an RHY-funded Street Outreach Program.

Because Youthworks participates in both the RHY and CoC/YHDP systems, youth experiencing or at risk of homelessness can be coordinated across programs based on their housing situation, eligibility, and individual needs. Youthworks connects youth to RHY-funded shelter, transitional living, maternity group home, and supportive services, as well as CARES/Coordinated Entry and CoC/YHDP housing and services. This integration supports coordinated referrals and continuity of housing and services across North Dakota's RHY and CoC homelessness response systems.

1C-13. Collaboration Related to Families with Children—TH or RRH Project Dedicated to Serving Families with Children.

Identify at least one Rapid Rehousing (RRH) or Transitional Housing (TH) project in the CoC that primarily or exclusively serves families with children experiencing homelessness in accordance with 24 CFR 578.93(b).

(limit 500 characters)

United Way of Grand Forks, East Grand Forks & Area currently operates Families First, an ESG-funded rapid rehousing project serving families with children experiencing homelessness. UWGF is proposing a new Families First Rapid Rehousing project in the FY2026 CoC Program Competition that, if funded by HUD, will exclusively serve families with children experiencing homelessness.

1C-13a. Collaboration Related to Families with Children—Supportive Services to Improve Income to Pay Rent.

Describe how the project(s) identified in 1C-13 provides supportive services focused on improving incomes to pay rent, such as workforce development, job training, or registered apprenticeship programs.

(limit 2,500 characters)

United Way of Grand Forks, East Grand Forks & Area currently provides employment- and income-focused supportive services through Families First that will be incorporated into the proposed CoC-funded RRH project if funded by HUD. Program coordinators assist families with employment searches and connect participants to Job Service North Dakota, Vocational Rehabilitation, Love in Action, Express Employment, and TRIO at the University of North Dakota for workforce development, job readiness, occupational skills training, employment, and education resources.

Families First also addresses barriers to obtaining and maintaining employment by assisting families with transportation through bus passes and Lyft assistance and connecting families to childcare resources, including Mayville State Head Start, Grand Forks Head Start, and other childcare providers. Staff assist participants with applications for benefits, including Child Care Assistance, that can help reduce employment barriers and support increased household income and long-term housing stability.

1C-13b. Collaboration Related to Families with Children—Leveraging Funding from Mainstream Family Service Systems.

Describe how the project(s) identified in 1C-13 leverages funding from mainstream family service systems, such as Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF).

(limit 2,500 characters)

Families First currently leverages mainstream family service systems to supplement housing assistance and address household needs beyond those supported through the housing program. Staff assist families in accessing TANF, Child Care Assistance, SNAP and other food assistance, Medicaid, LIHEAP and other utility assistance, workforce and employment programs, child welfare and family-support resources, and other federal, state, local, and community programs.

Staff assist participants with applications and follow through with families as they access these resources. Families First provides case management for 12 to 18 months after families are housed, including assistance with benefit recertifications. These mainstream resources help address food, healthcare, childcare, utility, employment, and other household needs that contribute to long-term housing stability. These existing practices will be incorporated into the proposed CoC-funded RRH project if funded by HUD.

1C-13c. Collaboration Related to Families with Children—Combining Housing Assistance with Parental Support.

Describe how the project(s) identified in 1C-13 combines housing assistance with childcare, parenting support, pregnancy-related and child healthcare, and education.

(limit 2,500 characters)

Families First currently combines housing assistance with childcare, parenting support, pregnancy-related and child healthcare, and educational supports. Staff assist families with Child Care Assistance and connections to Head Start and other childcare providers. Families receive monthly in-home visits that provide ongoing parenting and family support, and staff connect parents to additional services when behavioral or other family needs are identified.

Families First connects pregnant participants and parents to prenatal and obstetric care, mainstream healthcare benefits, WIC, and other maternal and child health resources. Following the birth of a child, staff assist families in identifying and obtaining needed resources for the parent and child.

Families First also partners with Grand Forks Public Schools to support children's educational needs. Staff maintain monthly communication with the school district's homeless liaison, make and receive referrals, and assist families with McKinney-Vento documentation and transportation when appropriate. These existing childcare, parenting, healthcare, and educational supports will be incorporated into the proposed CoC-funded RRH project if funded by HUD.

1C-14. Collaboration with Veteran Serving Organizations—Partnership with the U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs or Veteran Serving Organization.

Identify at least one partnership the CoC has with the Department of Veterans Affairs or other Veteran Serving Organizations.

(limit 500 characters)

The Fargo VA Health Care System Homeless Program is an ND CoC member and has an MOU with the ND CoC–CARES Partnership. The VA participates in CARES, case conferencing, the Veteran By-Name List, referrals, and coordination to address barriers to housing. Community Action Agencies administering SSVF statewide are also CoC and CARES partners, connecting Veterans to prevention, rapid rehousing, housing, and supportive services.

1C-14a	Collaboration with Veteran Serving Organizations.	
	Select 'Yes' or 'No' in the chart below to indicate whether the partnership(s) identified in 1C-14 does the following:	

1.	Refers veterans identified by the CoC to VA or other Veteran Serving Organizations for assistance?	Yes
2.	Coordinates the provision of emergency shelter, supportive services, and housing for veterans?	Yes
3.	Ensures access to a full spectrum of employment and career pathway opportunities?	Yes
4.	Shares data with the Department of Veterans Affairs as appropriate?	Yes
5.	Identifies service gaps for veterans?	Yes
6.	Fills service gaps for veterans?	Yes

1C-15. Collaboration with Organizations who Address the Needs of Survivors of Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking.

Identify at least one partnership your CoC has with victim service providers, state domestic violence coalitions, state sexual assault coalitions, anti-trafficking service providers or other organizations who help provide shelter, housing, and services to individuals and families of persons experiencing trauma or a lack of safety related to fleeing or attempting to flee domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, and stalking.

(limit 500 characters)

The ND CoC partners with victim service providers (VSPs) statewide to connect survivors fleeing domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, stalking, and other threats to safety with shelter, housing, and services. VSPs participate in the CoC and CARES, and the CoC funds seven VSP projects. Through DVIMS, the HMIS-comparable database, or Housing Prioritization Tools submitted to CARES, VSPs connect survivors to housing while protecting safety, choice, and confidentiality.

1C-16. Collaboration with Justice System Re-entry Partners.

Identify at least one partnership your CoC has to prevent homelessness among people transitioning from prisons, jails, or court-ordered programs.

(limit 500 characters)

The ND CoC coordinates with Free Through Recovery, correctional facilities, and probation/parole to prevent homelessness among people transitioning from justice-system settings. CoC-funded providers coordinate with these partners to identify housing needs, connect individuals to CARES/Coordinated Entry and housing resources, and provide care coordination, peer/recovery support, and other services. When feasible, housing planning begins before release.

1C-17. Collaboration with Partners to Address High Utilizers of Healthcare Systems.

Identify at least one partnership or project your CoC has to provide specialized supportive services for homeless individuals with a high level of medical needs.

(limit 500 characters)

YWCA Cass Clay, an ND CoC member and CoC-funded housing provider, provides specialized healthcare supports for clients experiencing homelessness with significant medical needs. Services include a full-time on-site Sanford Health RN Care Manager, an on-site medical resident clinic, and medical respite capacity for eligible individuals who are too ill or frail to recover independently but do not require hospitalization or skilled nursing care.

1C-18. Collaboration with Partners to Address the Needs of Aging and Elderly Individuals Experiencing Homelessness.

Identify at least one partnership or project your CoC has to serve aging and elderly individuals experiencing homelessness, such as with a provider of residential care, assisted living, or medical respite services.

(limit 500 characters)

The ND CoC coordinates with North Dakota HHS and its Adult and Aging Services system to connect older adults experiencing homelessness to aging and disability resources. CoC and ESG providers assist eligible participants in accessing Home and Community-Based Services and other Medicaid-approved services for seniors and people with disabilities, including supports that help individuals with age-related, functional, or medical needs obtain and maintain appropriate housing.

1C-19. Permanent Supportive Housing for Chronically Homeless Individuals and Families—Project that Prioritizes Individuals Experiencing Chronic Homelessness.

Identify at least one Rapid Rehousing (RRH) or Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH) project that prioritizes individuals experiencing chronic homelessness.

(limit 500 characters)

YWCA Cass Clay's SHP Consolidated PSH project, Fargo Housing and Redevelopment Authority's PSH project, and Abused Adult Resource Center's PSH project prioritize individuals experiencing chronic homelessness. Vacancies are filled through CARES, North Dakota's Coordinated Entry system, using the CoC's established prioritization standards to identify and refer eligible households experiencing chronic homelessness.

1C-19a. Permanent Supportive Housing for Chronically Homeless Individuals and Families—On-site Behavioral Healthcare.

Describe how the project(s) identified in 1C-19 provides on-site behavioral healthcare.

(limit 2,500 characters)

YWCA Cass Clay's Permanent Supportive Housing project provides participants access to behavioral healthcare on site at the YWCA facility where the project operates. YWCA has an on-site behavioral health clinic and also provides integrated health supports through a full-time Sanford Health RN Care Manager and an on-site medical resident clinic. The PSH project provides ongoing case management and coordinates mental health and substance use disorder treatment based on participant needs, including warm handoffs and coordination with behavioral health providers.

The ND CoC's other CoC-funded PSH projects, operated by Fargo Housing and Redevelopment Authority and Abused Adult Resource Center, do not provide behavioral healthcare on site. These projects connect participants to community-based behavioral health services, including mental health and substance use disorder treatment, based on individual participant needs.

1C-19b. Permanent Supportive Housing for Chronically Homeless Individuals and Families—Assesses Need for Higher Level of Care.

Describe how the project(s) identified in 1C-19 has a policy for assessing program participant need for higher level of care (i.e., assisted living, residential treatment).

(limit 2,500 characters)

ND CoC-funded PSH projects do not currently have a formal policy or established procedure specifically for assessing when a program participant requires a higher level of care, such as assisted living, residential treatment, or nursing care. Through ongoing case management, providers monitor participant needs and connect participants to community-based healthcare, behavioral health, and other services as needs are identified; however, the CoC has not established a standardized higher-level-of-care assessment policy across its PSH projects.

1C-19c. Permanent Supportive Housing for Chronically Homeless Individuals and Families—Assesses Readiness for Moving On.

Describe how the project(s) identified in 1C-19 has a policy for assessing program participant readiness for moving on to unsubsidized or other permanent housing.

(limit 2,500 characters)

Fargo Housing and Redevelopment Authority (FHRA) has an established process for assessing when PSH participants are ready to move on to unsubsidized or other permanent housing when PSH is no longer needed. Readiness is assessed at approximately the two-year point or earlier if a participant expresses interest in moving on.

The participant and case worker review established criteria to determine whether the participant is likely to maintain housing stability following transition from PSH. Factors considered include income, housing stability, ongoing service needs, ability to maintain housing, and the availability of another housing subsidy or permanent housing option. FHRA staff, service providers, and other individuals the participant chooses to involve may also participate in the assessment.

When a participant is determined to be ready to move on, FHRA works with the participant and their support team to identify an appropriate permanent housing option and coordinate the transition, including ensuring that income and other supports are sufficient to promote continued housing stability.

1D. Project Capacity, Review, and Ranking–Local Competition

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- Frequently Asked Questions

1D-1.	Project Review and Ranking Process Your CoC Used in Its Local Competition. We use the response to this question along with the required attachment as a factor when determining your CoC's eligibility for bonus funds and for other NOFO criteria below.	

You must upload the Local Competition Scoring Tool attachment to the 4A. Attachments Screen.
Select 'Yes' or 'No' in the chart below to indicate how your CoC reviewed, rated, and ranked project applications during your local competition:

1.	Established total points available for each project application type.	Yes
2.	At least 50 percent of the total available points were based on objective criteria to review, rate, and rank project applications.	Yes
3.	At least 25 percent of the total available points were based on system performance measures to review, select and rate project applications.	Yes
4.	Considered returns to homelessness in reviewing, rating, and ranking housing projects (TH, PH-PSH, PH-RRH).	Yes
5.	Considered employment income in reviewing, rating, and ranking housing projects (TH, PH-PSH, PH-RRH).	Yes
6.	Considered supportive service participation requirements in reviewing, rating, and ranking housing projects (TH, PH-PSH, PH-RRH).	Yes

1D-2.	New Proposals.	
	Does your CoC invite new proposals from entities that have not previously received funding, if such eligible entities exist?	Yes

1D-2a.	Web Posting of CoC-Approved Consolidated Application Before the CoC Program Competition Application Submission Deadline.	

	Enter the date your CoC posted all parts of the CoC-approved Consolidated Application on the CoC's website or partner's website–which included: 1. the CoC Application, and 2. Project Priority Listings.	09/30/2026

1D-2b.	Notification to Community Members and Key Stakeholders by Email that the CoC-Approved Consolidated Application is Posted on the Website.	

	Enter the date your CoC notified community members and key stakeholders that the CoC-approved Consolidated Application was posted on your CoC's website or partner's website.	09/30/2026

1D-2c.	Local Competition Selection Results for All Projects.	
	You must upload the Local Competition Selection Results attachment to the 4A. Attachments Screen.	

	Does your attachment include: 1. Project Names, 2. Project Scores, 3. Project Rank, 4. Project Status–Accepted, Rejected, Reduced Reallocated, Fully Reallocated, 5. Amount Requested from HUD, and 6. Reallocated Funds +/-.	Yes

1D-2d.	Projects Rejected/Reduced–Notification Outside of e-snaps.	

1.	Did your CoC reject or reduce any project application(s) submitted for funding during its local competition?	Yes
2.	If you selected 'Yes' for element 1 of this question, enter the date your CoC notified applicants that their project applications were being rejected or reduced, in writing, outside of e-snaps.	09/23/2026
3.	Did your CoC inform applicants why your CoC rejected or reduced their project application(s) submitted for funding during its local competition?	Yes

1D-2e.	Projects Accepted–Notification Outside of e-snaps.	

	Enter the date your CoC notified project applicants that their project applications were accepted and ranked on the New and Renewal Priority Listings in writing, outside of e-snaps.	09/23/2026

1D-3.	Reallocation–Reviewing Performance of Existing Projects.	

	Describe:
1.	your CoC's reallocation process to reallocate from lower performing projects to create new high performing projects, including how your CoC determined which projects are candidates for reallocation because they are low performing or less needed,
2.	whether your CoC identified any low performing or less needed projects through the process described in element 1 of this question during your CoC's local competition this year,
3.	whether your CoC reallocated any low performing or less needed projects during its local competition this year; and
4.	why your CoC did not reallocate low performing or less needed projects during its local competition this year, if applicable.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. The ND CoC's Reallocation Policy establishes the process for identifying projects that may be candidates for reallocation. The Rating and Ranking Committee reviews project applications using established scoring criteria, including project performance, financial performance, monitoring and compliance, CoC participation, project design, and other applicable criteria. Projects may be considered for reallocation based on low performance, unspent or returned funds, monitoring or compliance concerns, project need, and whether funds could be better used for higher-performing or higher-priority projects. The Committee considers project scores and other relevant information when determining whether funding should be reallocated and developing funding recommendations through the CoC's local competition process.

2. Yes. During the FY2026 local competition, the ND CoC identified three renewal projects for reallocation. Two existing PSH projects were approved to transition to SSO projects, resulting in reallocation of the existing PSH projects to create the new SSO projects. The CoC also identified one renewal project for full reallocation after receiving new information regarding unresolved HUD monitoring findings that had remained open for approximately three years and included a required repayment to HUD. Because this information was not available during the initial review, the Rating and Ranking Committee reconvened and determined that the project's compliance and financial management concerns warranted reallocation.

3. Yes. The ND CoC reallocated the three identified renewal projects during the FY2026 competition. Funding from the two PSH projects was reallocated to create their corresponding SSO projects. The full renewal amount of the third project, $138,057, was reallocated and combined with available CoC Bonus funding to support three new projects selected through the FY2026 local competition.

4. The ND CoC reallocated funding during the FY2026 local competition; therefore, this element is not applicable.

1D-3a.	**Reallocation Between FY 2021 and FY 2026.**	

	Did your CoC cumulatively reallocate at least 20 percent of its ARD between FY 2021 and FY 2026?	Yes

2A. Homeless Management Information System (HMIS) and System Performance

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

2A-1.	HMIS Vendor.	
	Not Scored–For Information Only	

	Enter the name of the HMIS Vendor your CoC is currently using.(limit 75 characters)	Institute for Community Alliances

2A-2.	HMIS Implementation Coverage Area.	
	Not Scored–For Information Only	

	Select from dropdown menu your CoC's HMIS coverage area.	Statewide

2A-3.	PIT Count–Methodology Change.	

	Describe:
1.	any changes your CoC made to your unsheltered PIT count implementation, including methodology or data quality changes between 2025 and 2026, if applicable, and
2.	how the changes affected your CoC's PIT count results.

(limit 2,500 characters)

Not applicable. The ND CoC did not make any changes to its unsheltered PIT count implementation, including methodology or data quality, between the 2025 and 2026 PIT counts.

2A-4. Reduce Encampments—Actions to Reduce Encampments.

Describe in the field below the actions your CoC took to reduce the number of encampments or the number of people residing in encampments during your evaluation period.

(limit 2,500 characters)

During the evaluation period, local partners throughout the ND CoC's geographic area worked to reduce the number of individuals residing in encampments through street outreach, connections to emergency shelter and other services, and assistance connecting individuals to housing resources. The ND CoC supported these efforts through CARES, North Dakota's Coordinated Entry system, and coordination among homeless service providers, local governments, law enforcement, first responders, and other community partners.

Encampment response varies considerably across the statewide CoC. When street outreach or local encampment response identifies individuals experiencing homelessness, CoC and CARES partners work to connect them to available shelter, housing, Coordinated Entry, and supportive services. Local providers also coordinate with community partners to maintain engagement and address barriers to shelter and permanent housing.

The ND CoC does not operate street outreach programs or direct local encampment-clearing activities. Because the CoC covers the entire state and encampment response is managed locally, the ND CoC has not historically maintained a statewide inventory or count of encampments.

2A-4a.	Reduce Encampments—Number of Encampments.	

1. Enter the estimated number of encampments in the beginning of your evaluation period.	0
2. Enter the reduction in the number of encampments during your evaluation period.	0

2A-4b.	Reduce Encampments—Number of People in Encampments.	

1. Enter the estimated number of people in encampments in the beginning of your evaluation period.	0
2. Enter the reduction in the number of people in encampments during your evaluation period.	0

2A-4c.	Reduce Encampments—Plans to Reduce Encampments.

If there has been no reduction in encampments or persons in encampments in your CoC, describe the plans your CoC has to participate in efforts to reduce these numbers.

(limit 2,500 characters)

The ND CoC does not currently have sufficient statewide data to determine whether the number of encampments or persons residing in encampments decreased during the evaluation period. Encampment response occurs locally across the CoC's statewide geographic area, and the CoC has not historically maintained a statewide inventory of encampments or persons residing specifically in encampments. Therefore, the CoC cannot establish a reliable beginning-of-period estimate or quantify a statewide reduction.

Additionally, the CoC has not identified a standardized HUD definition or counting methodology for determining what constitutes a single encampment for purposes of this measure. Without a consistent definition and methodology, estimates compiled across communities could measure encampments differently and would not provide a reliable statewide baseline or comparison.

The ND CoC will continue to participate in efforts to reduce the number of people residing in encampments by supporting local street outreach and encampment-response partners through CARES, North Dakota's Coordinated Entry system. The CoC will facilitate coordination among outreach providers, emergency shelters, housing providers, behavioral health and other service providers, local governments, law enforcement, first responders, and other community partners to connect individuals encountered in encampments to shelter, housing, and supportive services.

The CoC will also work with local partners to improve its understanding of encampment activity across the statewide geographic area and identify feasible approaches for obtaining more consistent information about encampments and persons residing in them, including applying any additional definitions or methodology provided by HUD for this measure.

2A-5. Reducing the Number of First Time Homeless–CoC's Plan.

Describe your CoC's plan to decrease the number of individuals and families becoming homeless for the first time.

(limit 2,500 characters)

The number of individuals and families experiencing homelessness for the first time in the ND CoC decreased, and the CoC will continue focusing on early intervention, homelessness prevention, and diversion to further reduce first-time homelessness. System Performance Measure 5.2 decreased from 1,826 individuals in the prior reporting period to 1,504 in FY2025, a 17.6 percent reduction.

The ND CoC's Written Standards require providers to attempt diversion and problem-solving prior to emergency shelter enrollment, including identifying alternative housing options, available support networks, community resources, and connections to homelessness prevention assistance.

The CoC will continue strengthening coordination among prevention providers, Community Action Agencies, emergency shelters, CARES partners, and other community organizations so households experiencing housing instability can be identified and connected to assistance before they enter homelessness. The CoC will continue monitoring SPM 5.2 through HMIS to identify trends and opportunities to strengthen prevention and diversion efforts and build on the reduction achieved in FY2025.

2A-6. Reducing Length of Time Homeless–CoC's Plan.

Describe your CoC's plan to reduce the length of time individuals and families remain homeless.

(limit 2,500 characters)

The ND CoC's plan to reduce the length of time individuals and families remain homeless focuses on strengthening housing-focused services, reducing barriers to housing, and improving the timeliness of connections to available permanent housing resources through CARES, North Dakota's Coordinated Entry system.

The ND CoC has strengthened the referral process through increased involvement of the statewide Coordinated Entry Specialist. The Specialist now meets weekly with each region to review households on the CARES priority list and make referrals to available housing resources. Prior to the current Specialist filling this position, regional referral meetings occurred on a much more limited basis. The Specialist also reviews and updates the priority list more frequently, helping ensure that households who are no longer in need of housing assistance are removed and that current information is available when housing opportunities become available. These changes improve the timeliness of referrals and are intended to reduce delays between assessment, referral, and connection to permanent housing.

The ND CoC's Written Standards establish a housing-focused and low-barrier approach across CoC-, ESG-, and NDHG-funded programs. Emergency shelter providers are required to provide ongoing case management focused on rapid exit to permanent housing, regularly reassess participants' housing and service needs, and actively assist participants in obtaining permanent housing as quickly as possible.

The CoC will continue working with CARES partners, shelters, street outreach providers, and housing providers to identify and address barriers that delay housing placement. HMIS and System Performance Measure 1 data will be used to monitor length of time homeless and identify opportunities to improve assessment, referral, enrollment, and housing-placement processes across the statewide system.

2A-7.	Successful Permanent Housing Placement–Exits to Unsubsidized Housing.	

Based on HMIS data, what percent of program participants exited from TH/RRH/PSH collectively to unsubsidized housing?	34.70%

Describe how you calculated the data for this response.

(limit 2,500 characters)

Using HMIS data for November 1, 2024 through October 31, 2025, the ND CoC identified 596 program participants who exited TH, RRH, and PSH projects. Of those, 207 exited to an unsubsidized permanent housing destination: 28 to family with permanent tenure, 7 to friends with permanent tenure, 165 to rental housing with no ongoing housing subsidy, and 7 to housing owned by the participant with no ongoing housing subsidy. The percentage was calculated as 207 ÷ 596 × 100 = 34.70%.

2A-8.	Housing Inventory Count (HIC) and Point-in-Time (PIT) Count Date.	

	Enter the date your CoC conducted its 2026 Housing Inventory Count (HIC) and Point-in-Time (PIT) count.	01/28/2026

2A-8a.	HIC and PIT Count Data–HDX 2.0 Submission Date.	

	Did your CoC submit its 2026 Housing Inventory Count (HIC) and Point-in-Time (PIT) count data in HDX 2.0 by April 30, 2026, 8:00 p.m. EDT?	Yes

2A-8b.	Longitudinal System Analysis (LSA) Data–HDX 2.0 Submission Date.	
	You must upload your CoC's FY 2026 HDX Competition Report to the 4A. Attachments Screen.	

	Did your CoC submit at least two usable LSA data files to HUD in HDX 2.0 by January 16, 2026, 11:59 p.m. EST?	Yes

2A-8c.	System Performance Measures (SPM) Data–HDX 2.0 Submission Date.	

	Did your CoC submit its FY 2025 System Performance Measures data in HDX 2.0 by March 4, 2026, 8:00 p.m. EDT?	Yes

2A-9.	HMIS and Comparable Database Participation—Bed Coverage Rate.	

Using the FY 2026 HDX Competition Report we issued your CoC, enter data in the chart below by project type:

Project Type	Adjusted Total Year-Round, Current Non-VSP Beds	Adjusted Total Year-Round, Current VSP Beds	Total Year-Round, Current, HMIS Beds and VSP Beds in an HMIS Comparable Database	HMIS and Comparable Database Coverage Rate
1. Emergency Shelter (ES) beds	367	257	613	98.24%
2. Safe Haven (SH) beds	0	0	0	0.00%
3. Transitional Housing (TH) beds	0	158	158	100.00%
4. Rapid Re-Housing (RRH) beds	96	77	173	100.00%
5. Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH) beds	515	28	267	49.17%
6. Other Permanent Housing (OPH) beds	23	0	23	100.00%

2A-9a.	Partial Credit for Bed Coverage Rates of 84.99 Percent or Lower for Any Project Type in Question 2A-9.	

	For each project type with a bed coverage rate that is 84.99 percent or lower in question 2A-9, describe:
1.	steps your CoC will take over the next 12 months to increase the bed coverage rate to at least 85 percent for that project type, and
2.	how your CoC will implement the steps described to increase bed coverage to at least 85 percent.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. PSH is the only project type with a bed coverage rate below 85 percent. The coverage rate is driven entirely by HUD-VASH beds that do not participate in HMIS. All non-VASH PSH beds included in the HIC participate in HMIS or, for victim service providers, an HMIS comparable database. Over the next 12 months, the ND CoC and HMIS Lead Agency will continue outreach to the public housing authorities administering HUD-VASH to encourage HMIS participation and identify any barriers that prevent or limit participation.

2. The ND CoC Coordinator and HMIS Lead Agency will engage the housing authorities administering HUD-VASH to discuss HMIS participation, the importance of VASH data to statewide homelessness-system planning and performance measurement, and any technical or administrative barriers to participation. The HMIS Lead Agency will offer training and technical assistance to housing authorities that are able to participate and will continue monitoring PSH bed coverage through the HIC and HMIS. Because the current PSH coverage gap consists entirely of HUD-VASH beds, achieving PSH bed coverage of at least 85 percent will require increased HMIS participation by HUD-VASH providers.

3A. Policy Initiatives

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- Frequently Asked Questions

3A-1.	**Supporting Activities within an Opportunity Zone.**	
	You must upload the HUD-2996 Certification for Opportunity Zone Preference Points attachment to the 4A. Attachments Screen.	

1.	**Will the proposed activities/projects occur within Opportunity Zone Census Tracts?**	No
2.	**Do you expect to use at least 50% of the proposed activities/projects in Opportunity Zones Census Tracts?**	No

3A-2	**Serving Persons Experiencing Homelessness as Defined by Other Federal Statutes.**

Is your CoC requesting to designate one or more of its SSO, TH, or Joint TH and PH-RRH component projects to serve families with children or youth experiencing homelessness as defined by other Federal statutes?	No

4A. Attachments Screen For All Application Questions

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

We have provided the following guidance to help you successfully upload attachments and get maximum points:

1.	You must include a Document Description for each attachment you upload; if you do not, the Submission Summary screen will display a red X indicating the submission is incomplete.
2.	You must upload an attachment for each document listed where 'Required?' is 'Yes'.
3.	We prefer that you use PDF files, though other file types are supported–please only use zip files if necessary. Converting electronic files to PDF, rather than printing documents and scanning them, often produces higher quality images. Many systems allow you to create PDF files as a Print option. If you are unfamiliar with this process, you should consult your IT Support or search for information on Google or YouTube.
4.	Attachments must match the questions they are associated with.
5.	Only upload documents responsive to the questions posed–including other material slows down the review process, which ultimately slows down the funding process.
6.	If you cannot read the attachment, it is likely we cannot read it either.
	. We must be able to read the date and time on attachments requiring system-generated dates and times, (e.g., a screenshot displaying the time and date of the public posting using your desktop calendar; screenshot of a webpage that indicates date and time).
	. We must be able to read everything you want us to consider in any attachment.
7.	After you upload each attachment, use the Download feature to access and check the attachment to ensure it matches the required Document Type and to ensure it contains all pages you intend to include.
8.	Only use the 'Other' attachment option to meet an attachment requirement that is not otherwise listed in these detailed instructions.

Document Type	Required?	Document Description	Date Attached
01. 1C-1. List of TH/RRH/PSH Projects and Treatment Providers with On-Site Substance Use Treatment	Yes	List of TH/RRH/PS...	09/30/2026
02. 1C-1f. List of CoC Program Projects and Number of Units that require Substance Abuse Treatment	Yes	List of CoC Progr...	09/30/2026
03. 1C-3. Language from Project Supportive Service Agreements	Yes	Language from Pro...	09/30/2026
04. 1C-10. Evidence of Coordination and Non-Interference with Local Efforts to Advance Public Safety	Yes	Evidence of Coord...	09/30/2026
05. 1D-1. Local Competition Scoring Tool	Yes	Local Competition...	09/30/2026

06. 1D-2c. Local Competition Selection Results	Yes	Local Competition...	09/30/2026
07. 2A-8b. FY 2026 HDX Competition Report	Yes	FY 2026 HDX Compe...	09/30/2026
08. 3A-1. HUD-2996 Certification for Opportunity Zone Preference Points	No		
09. 3A-2a. Project List for Other Federal Statutes	No		
10. 3A-DP. Your CoC's Policy or Statement to Advance Recovery	No		
11. Other	No		

Attachment Details

Document Description: List of TH/RRH/PSH Projects and Treatment Providers with On-Site Substance Use Treatment

Attachment Details

Document Description: List of CoC Program Projects and Number of Units that Require Substance Abuse Treatment

Attachment Details

Document Description: Language from Project Supportive Service Agreements

Attachment Details

Document Description: Evidence of Coordination and Non-Interference with Local Efforts to Advance Public Safety

Attachment Details

Document Description: Local Competition Scoring Tool

Attachment Details

Document Description: Local Competition Selection Results

Attachment Details

Document Description: FY 2026 HDX Competition Report

Attachment Details

Document Description:

Attachment Details

Document Description:

Attachment Details

Document Description:

Attachment Details

Document Description:

Submission Summary

Ensure that the Project Priority List is complete prior to submitting.

Page	Last Updated
1A. CoC Identification	09/25/2026
1B. Coordination and Engagement–Accountable Structure and Participation	09/30/2026
1C. Coordination and Engagement	09/30/2026
1D. Project Review/Ranking	09/30/2026
2A. HMIS Implementation	09/30/2026
3A. Policy Initiatives	09/30/2026
4A. Attachments Screen	09/30/2026
Submission Summary	No Input Required

Applicant Name	Project Name	Project Component	On Site Substance Use Treatment
YWCA Cass Clay	YWCA Cass Clay Transitional Housing	TH	No
YWCA Cass Clay	YWCA Cass Clay SHP Consolidated	PH-PSH	No
Domestic Violence & Rape Crisis Center	SW ND Housing Project	TH	No
Abused Adult Resource Center	Rapid Rehousing (Hope Stability/Bismarck)	PH-RRH	No
Fargo Housing and Redevelopment Authority	RASHP Renewal FY26	PH-PSH	No
United Way of Grand Forks, East Grand Forks, & Area	Families First - RRH	PH-RRH	No
Abused Adult Resource Center	DZ Hope House	TH	No
Abused Adult Resource Center	Bonnie's Apartments	PH-PSH	No

Applicant Name	Project Name	Number of Units
Institute for Community Alliances	HMIS	0
Institute for Community Alliances	ND Renewal YHDP Project Application	0
Mountain Plains Youth Services Coalition	YHDP TH/RRH Minot	0
YWCA Cass Clay	YWCA Cass Clay DV	0
Institute for Community Alliances	ND CE SSO Renewal Project	0
Mountain Plains Youth Services Coalition	YHDP SSO	0
YWCA Cass Clay	YWCA Cass Clay Transitional Housing	0
Pathfinder Services of ND	YHDP Pathways to Partnership	0
Grand Forks Housing Authority	FY26 Region IV SSO	0
Mountain Plains Youth Services Coalition	YHDP TH/RRH Fargo	0
Grand Forks Housing Authority	FY26 Grand Forks SSO	0
Grand Forks Housing Authority	FY26 Grand Forks SSO	0
YWCA Cass Clay	YWCA Cass Clay SHP Consolidated	0
Domestic Violence & Rape Crisis Center	SW ND Housing Project	0
Grand Forks Housing Authority	FY26 YHDP Reg. IV System Navigation	0
Abused Adult Resource Center	Rapid Rehousing (Hope Stability/Bismarck)	0
Fargo Housing and Redevelopment Authority	RASHP Renewal FY26	0
Mountain Plains Youth Services Coalition	CoC Joint TH/RRH Bismarck	0
United Way of Grand Forks, East Grand Forks, & Area	Families First - RRH	0
United Way of Grand Forks, East Grand Forks, & Area	Families First - SSO	0
Ministry on the Margins	Coordinated Care Across the Margins	0
Abused Adult Resource Center	DZ Hope House	0
Abused Adult Resource Center	Bonnie's Apartments	0

ND Continuum of Care (ND-500)
1C-3. List of CoC Program Projects that Require Participation in Supportive Services
FY2026 CoC Program Competition

The North Dakota Continuum of Care reviewed its applicable Transitional Housing (TH), Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH), Rapid Rehousing (RRH), and Joint Transitional Housing/Rapid Rehousing (Joint TH/RRH) projects.

None of the applicable projects require program participants to participate in supportive services as a condition of continued participation in the project pursuant to 24 CFR 578.75(h).

Accordingly, the percentage of applicable CoC Program-funded housing projects with a supportive services participation requirement reported in Question 1C-3 is **0 percent**.

ND Continuum of Care (ND-500)
1C-10. Evidence of Coordination and Non-Interference with Local Efforts to Advance Protecting Public Safety
FY2026 CoC Program Competition

As part of the FY2026 CoC Program Competition application process, the North Dakota Continuum of Care consulted with CoC Program recipients regarding coordination with law enforcement, first responders, behavioral health providers, and other community partners in responding to individuals experiencing homelessness.

CoC recipients reported ongoing coordination with local law enforcement and first responders. Ministry on the Margins coordinates with the Bismarck Police Department through training and regular communication between outreach staff and officers. Youthworks coordinates with the Fargo Police Department regarding street outreach activities. In Minot, homeless service providers coordinate with law enforcement through referrals and transportation to shelter, and in Grand Forks, law enforcement refers families experiencing homelessness to local homeless service providers when shelter or other assistance is needed.

CoC-funded providers participating in CARES, North Dakota's Coordinated Entry system, assist individuals encountered through these local efforts by connecting them to appropriate shelter, housing, behavioral health and substance use treatment, crisis services, and other community resources.

The ND CoC and its recipients cooperate with local governments, law enforcement, first responders, and other community partners in carrying out their respective responsibilities and do not interfere with or impede lawful local public-safety efforts. The CoC's role is to support coordination and facilitate connections to housing and services for individuals experiencing homelessness.

Application Information	
Applicant Name	
Funding Type (1A)	
Name of Project (1D)	
Amount Requested (1G)	
Consolidate/Expand (1K)	
Project Type (3A)	
100% Dedicated/ DedicatedPLUS (3C)	
Units/Beds (4B)	
Primary Contact	
Email Address	
Phone Number	
UIE Number	

Overview of Scoring		
Summary of Factors	**Possible Points**	**Reviewer s Score**
Agency Wide Scoring	**23**	**0**
ND CoC Participation	11	0
ND CoC Priority	5	0
Client Participation in Project Design	7	0
Project-Specific Scoring	**88**	**0**
HUD Scoring	5	0
Project Design	41	0
Financial Capacity & Monitoring	25	0
HMIS & Data Quality	12	0
Coordinated Entry	5	0
Total Possible Points	**111**	**0**

AGENCY WIDE SCORING

Category	Question	Possible Points	Scoring Considerations	CoC Criteria Score	Reviewer s Score	Reviewer s Comments	Source Documentation/ Attachment
ND CoC Participation	Does the agency participate in the ND CoC and local efforts to address homelessness? (select one)	3	ND CoC member AND member of ND CoC committee AND active participation in local coalition/homeless response efforts = 3 ND CoC member AND member of ND CoC committee = 2 ND CoC Member = 1				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score
ND CoC Participation	Did agency staff participate in ND CoC meetings in the previous 12 months? *(select one)*	2	Staff participated in 100% of CoC quarterly meetings = 2 Staff participated in at least 50% of CoC quarterly meetings = 1 Staff participated in less than 50% of quarterly meetings = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score
ND CoC Participation	Did agency staff participate in ND CoC monthly trainings in the previous 12 months? *(select one)*	3	Staff participated in 100% of CoC monthly trainings = 3 Staff participated in at least 50% of CoC monthly trainings = 2 Staff participated in less than 50% of CoC monthly trainings = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score
ND CoC Participation	Did the agency participate in the unsheltered PIT Count for 2026? If no, explain why not.	2	Yes = 2 No = 0 Detailed explanation demonstrating reasonable circumstances or barriers to participation = 1				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score
ND CoC Participation	Did the agency submit PIT/HIC sheltered/housing information by the submission due date?	1	Yes = 1 No = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score
Category	**Question**	**Possible Points**	**Scoring Considerations**	**CoC Criteria Score**	**Reviewer s Score**	**Reviewer s Comments**	**Source Documentation/ Attachment**
ND CoC Priority	Does the project serve geographic areas of the state identified by the ND CoC as underserved or without existing CoC-funded housing/services projects? If yes, identify the region(s) the project will serve and describe how services and housing assistance will be provided within the identified area(s).	5	Serves area with no existing CoC-funded housing/services projects = 5 Expands services in historically underserved region with limited inventory = 3 Does not address identified geographic gap = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Category	**Question**	**Possible Points**	**Scoring Considerations**	**CoC Criteria Score**	**Reviewer s Score**	**Reviewer s Comments**	**Source Documentation/ Attachment**
Client Participation in Project Design	Does the agency engage individuals with lived experience in service design, project implementation, or organizational decision-making through any of the following strategies? (answer each statement; one point per strategy, up to 7 points)	7	7 strategies = 7 6 strategies = 6 5 strategies = 5 4 strategies = 4 3 strategies = 3 2 strategies = 2 1 strategy = 1 0 strategies = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application

PROJECT SPECIFIC SCORING - NEW - PERMANENT SUPPORTIVE HOUSING

Category	Question	Possible Points	Scoring Considerations (Per the NOFO, applicants must score 3 out of 5 in this category to be considered for funding.)	CoC Criteria Score	Reviewer s Score	Reviewer s Comments	Source Documentation/ Attachment
HUD Scoring	Explain how the type of housing proposed, including the number and configuration of units, will fit the needs of the program participants	1	Detailed description of proposed project = 1 Limited explanation = 0.5 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
HUD Scoring	Explain how the type of supportive services and assistance that will be offered to program participants will ensure that the participant is able to successfully obtain and retain permanent housing in a manner that fits their needs (e.g., transportation, safety planning, enhanced case management). If this is an expansion grant request, the applicant must also demonstrate how they are expanding supportive services to program participants, including where appropriate, on-site supportive services.	1	Detailed description of proposed project = 1 Limited explanation = 0.5 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
HUD Scoring	Will the project serve homeless individuals or families with a disability in accordance with 24 CFR 578.37(a)(1)(i)?	1	Yes = 1 No = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application

HUD Scoring	Are the services provided cost-effective and consistent with 2 CFR 200.404?	1	Yes = 1 No = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
HUD Scoring	Will the project be supplemented with resources from other public or private sources, that may include mainstream health, social, and employment programs such as Medicare, Medicaid, SSI, and SNAP?	1	Yes = 1 No = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Category	**Question**	**Possible Points**	**Scoring Considerations**	**CoC Criteria Score**	**Reviewer s Score**	**Reviewer s Comments**	**Source Documentation/ Attachment**
In this section: **Full Points = Response is detailed, project-specific, and demonstrates a clear operational plan** **Partial Points = Response addresses the topic but lacks sufficient detail or implementation strategy** **Zero Points = Response is missing, unrelated or does not demonstrate an adequate plan**							
Project Design	Provide a description that addresses the proposed project's scope, including the target population and the plan for addressing the needs of the target population. *(Limit 2,500 characters)*	5	Full Points = 5 Partial Points = 3 Zero Points = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Project Design	Describe the project's strategy to address the following: Promote access to housing while supporting participant engagement, accountability, and self-sufficiency Identify, recruit, retain, and support landlords to promote long-term housing stability Administer housing assistance, rental assistance, or move-in assistance, as applicable Provide supportive services to participants to assist in locating housing Emphasize client choice *(Limit 2,500 characters)*	10	Full Points = 10 Partial Points = 5 Zero Points = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Project Design	Explain how the project's services will help participants stabilize in permanent housing. This may include: Assisting participants in obtaining mainstream benefits and employment programs Financial planning/budgeting support, life skills support, or classes Transportation assistance Use peer support specialists or staff with lived experience Planning for exits to and stabilization in permanent housing Improve safety for survivors of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, stalking, and/or trafficking. *(Limit 2,500 characters)*	10	Full Points = 10 Partial Points = 5 Zero Points = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Project Design	Explain how the project will be staffed, including training for staff to meet the needs of the population to be served (e.g., motivational interviewing, trauma-informed care, etc.), and if the agency will focus on hiring people with lived experience. *(Limit 2,500 characters)*	5	Full Points = 5 Partial Points = 2 Zero Points = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Project Design	Describe how the project will provide services to assist participants in the following: Housing retention Follow up after placement or program exit Avoiding involuntary project exits and the steps that will be taken to ensure a client-centered housing-focused approach designed to avoid involuntary exits *(Limit 2,500 characters)*	5	Full Points = 5 Partial Points = 2 Zero Points = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Project Design	Explain how the project will be available and accessible to persons experiencing homelessness and how the agency will take proactive steps to promote fair access to housing, taking into consideration the following: Serving all eligible households Ensuring participants receive reasonable accommodations whenever they are needed Ensuring participants know their housing rights and are protected from discrimination, including through reasonable accommodations and applicable fair housing protections. *(Limit 2,500 characters)*	3	Full Points = 3 Partial Points = 1 Zero Points = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Project Design	Explain how the project will address the behavioral health and substance use service needs of participants, including whether treatment requirements apply, if applicable. Include any applicable project policies, occupancy agreements, referral partnerships, or service coordination strategies. (Limit 2,500 characters) If treatment participation is required, attach applicable occupancy agreements or policies.	3	Full Points = 3 Partial Points = 1 Zero Points = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application Attachment: Agreement(s)
Category	**Question**	**Possible Points**	**Scoring Considerations**	**CoC Criteria Score**	**Reviewer s Score**	**Reviewer s Comments**	**Source Documentation/ Attachment**
Financial Capacity & Monitoring	Does the agency have experience administering CoC, ESG, or NDHG funding, either currently or previously? *(2 points per funding source)*	6	CoC funding = 2 ESG funding = 2 NDHG funding = 2 No experience = 0				Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Financial Capacity & Monitoring	Does the agency have experience managing other federal, state, or local housing, homeless assistance, behavioral health, youth, victim services, or human service grants? Experience must demonstrate administration, oversight, fiscal management, or operational responsibility for grant-funded programs. Examples may include RHY, PATH, HUD housing programs, VA-funded programs, TANF-funded services, domestic violence funding, SAMHSA grants, or other comparable grant programs.	3	Extensive relevant grant management experience = 3 Moderate relevant grant management experience = 2 Limited relevant grant management experience = 1 No relevant experience = 0				

Financial Capacity & Monitoring	What total percentage of funds were unexpended for CoC-, ESG-, or NDHG-funded projects in FY24? *(select one)* If the agency had 5% or more of unexpended funds in CoC-, ESG-, or NDHG-funded grants, explain why funds were not expended. If this is a new HUD or ND state-funded grant, explain your projected spend-down date and if you are on track for the total expenditure of funds. *(Limit 750 characters)*	5	0-1% = 5 2-4% = 3 5% or more = 0 Detailed and relevant explanation = 2 Limited explanation = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score & ND CoC Competition Application
Financial Capacity & Monitoring	Did the agency make the required drawdowns for CoC-, ESG-, and/or NDHG-funded projects?	2	Yes = 2 No = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score
Financial Capacity & Monitoring	Describe the agency's financial management systems and internal controls used to administer public funding, including financial oversight, accounting systems, segregation of duties, grant monitoring, and fiscal staffing structure. (Limit 1,500 characters)	3	Detailed description demonstrating strong financial management systems & oversight capacity = 3 Limited explanation = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0				
Financial Capacity & Monitoring **Financial Capacity & Monitoring**	Is the agency free of any HUD monitoring findings for CoC- or ESG-funded projects? If the agency has HUD monitoring findings, explain the findings, if they are resolved, or the plan, including the timeline, to resolve the findings. *(Limit 750 characters)*	2	Yes = 2 No = 0 Findings resolved or corrective action completed with documentation/timeline provided = 2 Findings not fully resolved, but agency demonstrates an active corrective action plan and timeline = 1 Missing, insufficient, or unrelated response = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score
Financial Capacity & Monitoring **Financial Capacity & Monitoring**	Is the agency free of NDHFA monitoring findings for any CoC-, ESG-, or NDHG-funded projects? If the agency has NDHFA monitoring findings, explain the findings, if they are resolved, or the plan, including the timeline, to resolve the findings. *(Limit 750 characters)*	2	Yes = 2 No = 0 Findings resolved or corrective action completed with documentation/timeline provided = 2 Findings not fully resolved, but agency demonstrates an active corrective action plan and timeline = 1 Missing, insufficient, or unrelated response = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score
Financial Capacity & Monitoring **Financial Capacity & Monitoring**	Is the agency free of findings in its most recent financial audit? If the agency has findings in their most recent financial audit, explain whether they are resolved, or the plan, including the timeline, to resolve the findings. *(Limit 750 characters)*	2	Yes = 2 No = 0 Findings resolved or corrective action completed with documentation/timeline provided = 2 Findings not fully resolved, but agency demonstrates an active corrective action plan and timeline = 1 Missing, insufficient, or unrelated response = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application

Category	Question	Possible Points	Scoring Considerations	CoC Criteria Score	Reviewer s Score	Reviewer s Comments	Source Documentation/ Attachment
HMIS & Data Quality	Does the agency use HMIS or a comparable database?	2	Yes = 2 No = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
HMIS & Data Quality	Does the agency enter at least 90% of HMIS project start records within 10 days of participant project start dates? If less than 90% of HMIS project start records were entered within 10 days, explain the barriers contributing to delayed data entry and describe the steps the agency will take to improve HMIS data entry timeliness. *(Limit 750 characters)*	2	90-100% of records entered within 10 days = 2 Less than 90% of records entered within 10 days = 0 Detailed explanation and improvement plan = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated response = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score Attachment: Annual Performance Report
HMIS & Data Quality	Does the agency maintain a combined HMIS data quality error rate of less than 3%? If the agency has 6% or higher, explain why and how the agency will improve data completeness. *(Limit 750 characters)*	2	Less than 3% = 2 3-5.99% = 1 6% or higher = 0 Detailed explanation and improvement plan = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated response = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score Attachment: Annual Performance Report
HMIS & Data Quality	What percentage of participants exited to positive or permanent housing destinations in HMIS-participating housing projects? Positive and permanent housing destinations shall be defined consistent with HUD APR Q23c calculations. If less than 60% of participants exited to positive or permanent housing destinations, explain the barriers and how the agency will improve housing outcomes. *(Limit 750 characters)*	3	80-100% = 3 60-79% = 2 40-59% = 1 Less than 40% = 0 Detailed explanation and improvement plan = 2 Unacceptable/unrelated response = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score Attachment: Annual Performance Report
HMIS & Data Quality	What is the agency's total destination error rate? If the agency has an error rate of 10% or higher, please explain how the agency will improve errors. *(Limit 750 characters)*	3	Less than 10% = 3 10% or higher = 0 Detailed explanation and improvement plan = 2 Unacceptable/unrelated response = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score Attachment: Annual Performance Report

Category	Question	Possible Points	Scoring Considerations	CoC Criteria Score	Reviewer s Score	Reviewer s Comments	Source Documentation/ Attachment
Coordinated Entry	Will the project accept and prioritize 100% of participant referrals through the ND CoC CE process and Prioritization List?	5	Yes = 5 No = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application

Application Information	
Applicant Name	
Funding Type (1A)	
Name of Project (1D)	
Amount Requested (1G)	
Consolidate/Expand (1K)	
Project Type (3A)	
100% Dedicated/ DedicatedPLUS (3C)	
Units/Beds (4B)	
Primary Contact	
Email Address	
Phone Number	
UIE Number	

Overview of Scoring		
Summary of Factors	**Possible Points**	**Reviewer s Score**
Agency Wide Scoring	**23**	**0**
ND CoC Participation	11	0
ND CoC Priority	5	0
Client Participation in Project Design	7	0
Project-Specific Scoring	**89**	**0**
HUD Scoring	6	0
Project Design	41	0
Financial Capacity & Monitoring	25	0
HMIS & Data Quality	12	0
Coordinated Entry	5	0
Total Possible Points	**112**	**0**

AGENCY WIDE SCORING

Category	Question	Possible Points	Scoring Considerations	CoC Criteria Score	Reviewer s Score	Reviewer s Comments	Source Documentation/ Attachment
ND CoC Participation	Does the agency participate in the ND CoC and local efforts to address homelessness? (select one)	3	ND CoC member AND member of ND CoC committee AND active participation in local coalition/homeless response efforts = 3 ND CoC member AND member of ND CoC committee = 2 ND CoC Member = 1				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score
ND CoC Participation	Did agency staff participate in ND CoC meetings in the previous 12 months? *(select one)*	2	Staff participated in 100% of CoC quarterly meetings = 2 Staff participated in at least 50% of CoC quarterly meetings = 1 Staff participated in less than 50% of quarterly meetings = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score
ND CoC Participation	Did agency staff participate in ND CoC monthly trainings in the previous 12 months? *(select one)*	3	Staff participated in 100% of CoC monthly trainings = 3 Staff participated in at least 50% of CoC monthly trainings = 2 Staff participated in less than 50% of CoC monthly trainings = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score
ND CoC Participation	Did the agency participate in the unsheltered PIT Count for 2026? If no, explain why not.	2	Yes = 2 No = 0 Detailed explanation demonstrating reasonable circumstances or barriers to participation = 1				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score
ND CoC Participation	Did the agency submit PIT/HIC sheltered/housing information by the submission due date?	1	Yes = 1 No = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score
Category	**Question**	**Possible Points**	**Scoring Considerations**	**CoC Criteria Score**	**Reviewer s Score**	**Reviewer s Comments**	**Source Documentation/ Attachment**
ND CoC Priority	Does the project serve geographic areas of the state identified by the ND CoC as underserved or without existing CoC-funded housing/services projects? If yes, identify the region(s) the project will serve and describe how services and housing assistance will be provided within the identified area(s).	5	Serves area with no existing CoC-funded housing/services projects = 5 Expands services in historically underserved region with limited inventory = 3 Does not address identified geographic gap = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Category	**Question**	**Possible Points**	**Scoring Considerations**	**CoC Criteria Score**	**Reviewer s Score**	**Reviewer s Comments**	**Source Documentation/ Attachment**
Client Participation in Project Design	Does the agency engage individuals with lived experience in service design, project implementation, or organizational decision-making through any of the following strategies? (answer each statement; one point per strategy, up to 7 points)	7	7 strategies = 7 6 strategies = 6 5 strategies = 5 4 strategies = 4 3 strategies = 3 2 strategies = 2 1 strategy = 1 0 strategies = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application

PROJECT SPECIFIC SCORING - NEW - RAPID RE-HOUSING

Category	Question	Possible Points	Scoring Considerations (Per the NOFO, applicants must score 4 out of 6 in this category to be considered for funding.)	CoC Criteria Score	Reviewer s Score	Reviewer s Comments	Source Documentation/ Attachment
HUD Scoring	Will the provision of tenant-based rental assistance help individuals and families achieve self-sufficiency within 24 months?	1	Yes = 1 No = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
HUD Scoring	Will the types of supportive services and assistance offered to program participants (e.g., case management, substance use treatment, mental health treatment, and employment assistance) ensure that the participant is able to successfully obtain self-sufficiency and exit homelessness?	2	Yes = 2 No = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
HUD Scoring	Does the applicant have prior or current experience operating a homelessness project where, or has a plan in place to have, at least 50 percent of participants exit to permanent housing within 24 months and at least 50 percent of participants exit with employment income as reflected in HMIS or another data system used by the applicant, or has a plan in place to ensure this?	1	Yes = 1 No = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application

HUD Scoring	Are the services provided cost-effective and consistent with 2 CFR 200.404?	1	Yes = 1 No = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
HUD Scoring	Will the project be supplemented with resources from other public or private sources, that may include mainstream health, social, and employment programs such as Medicare, Medicaid, SSI, and SNAP?	1	Yes = 1 No = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Category	**Question**	**Possible Points**	**Scoring Considerations**	**CoC Criteria Score**	**Reviewer s Score**	**Reviewer s Comments**	**Source Documentation/ Attachment**
In this section:							
Project Design	Provide a description that addresses the proposed project's scope, including the target population and the plan for addressing the needs of the target population. *(Limit 2,500 characters)*	5	Full Points = 5 Partial Points = 3 Zero Points = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Project Design	Describe the project's strategy to address the following: Promote access to housing while supporting participant engagement, accountability, and self-sufficiency Identify, recruit, retain, and support landlords to promote long-term housing stability Administer housing assistance, rental assistance, or move-in assistance, as applicable Provide supportive services to participants to assist in locating housing Emphasize client choice *(Limit 2,500 characters)*	10	Full Points = 10 Partial Points = 5 Zero Points = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Project Design	Explain how the project's services will help participants stabilize in permanent housing. This may include: Assisting participants in obtaining mainstream benefits and employment programs Financial planning/budgeting support, life skills support, or classes Transportation assistance Use peer support specialists or staff with lived experience Planning for exits to and stabilization in permanent housing Improve safety for survivors of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, stalking, and/or trafficking. *(Limit 2,500 characters)*	10	Full Points = 10 Partial Points = 5 Zero Points = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Project Design	Explain how the project will be staffed, including training for staff to meet the needs of the population to be served (e.g., motivational interviewing, trauma-informed care, etc.), and if the agency will focus on hiring people with lived experience. *(Limit 2,500 characters)*	5	Full Points = 5 Partial Points = 2 Zero Points = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Project Design	Describe how the project will provide services to assist participants in the following: Housing retention Follow up after placement or program exit Avoiding involuntary project exits and the steps that will be taken to ensure a client-centered housing-focused approach designed to avoid involuntary exits *(Limit 2,500 characters)*	5	Full Points = 5 Partial Points = 2 Zero Points = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Project Design	Explain how the project will be available and accessible to persons experiencing homelessness and how the agency will take proactive steps to promote fair access to housing, taking into consideration the following: Serving all eligible households Ensuring participants receive reasonable accommodations whenever they are needed Ensuring participants know their housing rights and are protected from discrimination, including through reasonable accommodations and applicable fair housing protections. *(Limit 2,500 characters)*	3	Full Points = 3 Partial Points = 1 Zero Points = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Project Design	Explain how the project will address the behavioral health and substance use service needs of participants, including whether treatment requirements apply, if applicable. Include any applicable project policies, occupancy agreements, referral partnerships, or service coordination strategies. (Limit 2,500 characters) If treatment participation is required, attach applicable occupancy agreements or policies.	3	Full Points = 3 Partial Points = 1 Zero Points = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application Attachment: Agreement(s)
Category	**Question**	**Possible Points**	**Scoring Considerations**	**CoC Criteria Score**	**Reviewer s Score**	**Reviewer s Comments**	**Source Documentation/ Attachment**
Financial Capacity & Monitoring	Does the agency have experience administering CoC, ESG, or NDHG funding, either currently or previously? *(2 points per funding source)*	6	CoC funding = 2 ESG funding = 2 NDHG funding = 2 No experience = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Financial Capacity & Monitoring	Does the agency have experience managing other federal, state, or local housing, homeless assistance, behavioral health, youth, victim services, or human service grants? Experience must demonstrate administration, oversight, fiscal management, or operational responsibility for grant-funded programs. Examples may include RHY, PATH, HUD housing programs, VA-funded programs, TANF-funded services, domestic violence funding, SAMHSA grants, or other comparable grant programs.	3	Extensive relevant grant management experience = 3 Moderate relevant grant management experience = 2 Limited relevant grant management experience = 1 No relevant experience = 0				
Financial Capacity &	What total percentage of funds were unexpended for CoC-, ESG-, or NDHG-funded projects in FY24? *(select one)*		0-1% = 5 2-4% = 3 5% or more = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score & ND

Financial Capacity & Monitoring	If the agency had 5% or more of unexpended funds in CoC-, ESG-, or NDHG-funded grants, explain why funds were not expended. If this is a new HUD or ND state-funded grant, explain your projected spend-down date and if you are on track for the total expenditure of funds. *(Limit 750 characters)*	5	Detailed and relevant explanation = 2 Limited explanation = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0				Criteria Score & ND CoC Competition Application
Financial Capacity & Monitoring	Did the agency make the required drawdowns for CoC-, ESG-, and/or NDHG-funded projects?	2	Yes = 2 No = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score
Financial Capacity & Monitoring	Describe the agency's financial management systems and internal controls used to administer public funding, including financial oversight, accounting systems, segregation of duties, grant monitoring, and fiscal staffing structure. (Limit 1,500 characters)	3	Detailed description demonstrating strong financial management systems & oversight capacity = 3 Limited explanation = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0				
Financial Capacity & Monitoring	Is the agency free of any HUD monitoring findings for CoC- or ESG-funded projects?	2	Yes = 2 No = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score
Financial Capacity & Monitoring	If the agency has HUD monitoring findings, explain the findings, if they are resolved, or the plan, including the timeline, to resolve the findings. *(Limit 750 characters)*		Findings resolved or corrective action completed with documentation/timeline provided = 2 Findings not fully resolved, but agency demonstrates an active corrective action plan and timeline = 1 Missing, insufficient, or unrelated response = 0				
Financial Capacity & Monitoring	Is the agency free of NDHFA monitoring findings for any CoC-, ESG-, or NDHG-funded projects?	2	Yes = 2 No = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score
Financial Capacity & Monitoring	If the agency has NDHFA monitoring findings, explain the findings, if they are resolved, or the plan, including the timeline, to resolve the findings. *(Limit 750 characters)*		Findings resolved or corrective action completed with documentation/timeline provided = 2 Findings not fully resolved, but agency demonstrates an active corrective action plan and timeline = 1 Missing, insufficient, or unrelated response = 0				
Financial Capacity & Monitoring	Is the agency free of findings in its most recent financial audit?	2	Yes = 2 No = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Financial Capacity & Monitoring	If the agency has findings in their most recent financial audit, explain whether they are resolved, or the plan, including the timeline, to resolve the findings. *(Limit 750 characters)*		Findings resolved or corrective action completed with documentation/timeline provided = 2 Findings not fully resolved, but agency demonstrates an active corrective action plan and timeline = 1 Missing, insufficient, or unrelated response = 0				

Category	Question	Possible Points	Scoring Considerations	CoC Criteria Score	Reviewer s Score	Reviewer s Comments	Source Documentation/ Attachment
HMIS & Data Quality	Does the agency use HMIS or a comparable database?	2	Yes = 2 No = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
HMIS & Data Quality	Does the agency enter at least 90% of HMIS project start records within 10 days of participant project start dates?	2	90-100% of records entered within 10 days = 2 Less than 90% of records entered within 10 days = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score
	If less than 90% of HMIS project start records were entered within 10 days, explain the barriers contributing to delayed data entry and describe the steps the agency will take to improve HMIS data entry timeliness. *(Limit 750 characters)*		Detailed explanation and improvement plan = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated response = 0				Attachment: Annual Performance Report
HMIS & Data Quality	Does the agency maintain a combined HMIS data quality error rate of less than 3%?	2	Less than 3% = 2 3-5.99% = 1 6% or higher = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score
	If the agency has 6% or higher, explain why and how the agency will improve data completeness. *(Limit 750 characters)*		Detailed explanation and improvement plan = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated response = 0				Attachment: Annual Performance Report
HMIS & Data Quality	What percentage of participants exited to positive or permanent housing destinations in HMIS-participating housing projects? Positive and permanent housing destinations shall be defined consistent with HUD APR Q23c calculations.	3	80-100% = 3 60-79% = 2 40-59% = 1 Less than 40% = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score
	If less than 60% of participants exited to positive or permanent housing destinations, explain the barriers and how the agency will improve housing outcomes. *(Limit 750 characters)*		Detailed explanation and improvement plan = 2 Unacceptable/unrelated response = 0				Attachment: Annual Performance Report
HMIS & Data Quality	What is the agency's total destination error rate?	3	Less than 10% = 3 10% or higher = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score
	If the agency has an error rate of 10% or higher, please explain how the agency will improve errors. *(Limit 750 characters)*		Detailed explanation and improvement plan = 2 Unacceptable/unrelated response = 0				Attachment: Annual

Category	Question	Possible Points	Scoring Considerations	CoC Criteria Score	Reviewer s Score	Reviewer s Comments	Source Documentation/ Attachment
Coordinated Entry	Will the project accept and prioritize 100% of participant referrals through the ND CoC CE process and Prioritization List?	5	Yes = 5 No = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application

Application Information	
Applicant Name	
Funding Type (1A)	
Name of Project (1D)	
Amount Requested (1G)	
Consolidate/Expand (1K)	
Project Type (3A)	
100% Dedicated/ DedicatedPLUS (3C)	
Units/Beds (4B)	
Primary Contact	
Email Address	
Phone Number	
UIE Number	

Overview of Scoring		
Summary of Factors	**Possible Points**	**Reviewer s Score**
Agency Wide Scoring	**23**	**0**
ND CoC Participation	11	0
ND CoC Priority	5	0
Client Participation in Project Design	7	0
Project-Specific Scoring	**91**	**0**
HUD Scoring	8	0
Project Design	41	0
Financial Capacity & Monitoring	25	0
HMIS & Data Quality	12	0
Coordinated Entry	5	0
Total Possible Points	**114**	**0**

AGENCY WIDE SCORING

Category	Question	Possible Points	Scoring Considerations	CoC Criteria Score	Reviewer s Score	Reviewer s Comments	Source Documentation/ Attachment
ND CoC Participation	Does the agency participate in the ND CoC and local efforts to address homelessness? (select one)	3	ND CoC member AND member of ND CoC committee AND active participation in local coalition/homeless response efforts = 3 ND CoC member AND member of ND CoC committee = 2 ND CoC Member = 1				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score
ND CoC Participation	Did agency staff participate in ND CoC meetings in the previous 12 months? *(select one)*	2	Staff participated in 100% of CoC quarterly meetings = 2 Staff participated in at least 50% of CoC quarterly meetings = 1 Staff participated in less than 50% of quarterly meetings = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score
ND CoC Participation	Did agency staff participate in ND CoC monthly trainings in the previous 12 months? *(select one)*	3	Staff participated in 100% of CoC monthly trainings = 3 Staff participated in at least 50% of CoC monthly trainings = 2 Staff participated in less than 50% of CoC monthly trainings = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score
ND CoC Participation	Did the agency participate in the unsheltered PIT Count for 2026? If no, explain why not.	2	Yes = 2 No = 0 Detailed explanation demonstrating reasonable circumstances or barriers to participation = 1				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score
ND CoC Participation	Did the agency submit PIT/HIC sheltered/housing information by the submission due date?	1	Yes = 1 No = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score

Category	Question	Possible Points	Scoring Considerations	CoC Criteria Score	Reviewer s Score	Reviewer s Comments	Source Documentation/ Attachment
ND CoC Priority	Does the project serve geographic areas of the state identified by the ND CoC as underserved or without existing CoC-funded housing/services projects? If yes, identify the region(s) the project will serve and describe how services and housing assistance will be provided within the identified area(s).	5	Serves area with no existing CoC-funded housing/services projects = 5 Expands services in historically underserved region with limited inventory = 3 Does not address identified geographic gap = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application

Category	Question	Possible Points	Scoring Considerations	CoC Criteria Score	Reviewer s Score	Reviewer s Comments	Source Documentation/ Attachment
Client Participation in Project Design	Does the agency engage individuals with lived experience in service design, project implementation, or organizational decision-making through any of the following strategies? (answer each statement; one point per strategy, up to 7 points)	7	7 strategies = 7 6 strategies = 6 5 strategies = 5 4 strategies = 4 3 strategies = 3 2 strategies = 2 1 strategy = 1 0 strategies = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application

PROJECT SPECIFIC SCORING - NEW - TRANSITIONAL HOUSING

Category	Question	Possible Points	Scoring Considerations (Per the NOFO, applicants must score 6 out of 8 in this category to be considered for funding.)	CoC Criteria Score	Reviewer s Score	Reviewer s Comments	Source Documentation/ Attachment
HUD Scoring	Explain how the project will provide and/or partner with other organizations to provide eligible supportive services that are necessary to assist program participants to obtain and maintain housing (i.e., case management, behavioral healthcare, employment training, etc.)	2	Detailed description of proposed project = 2 Limited explanation = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
HUD Scoring	Does the applicant have prior experience operating transitional housing or other projects that have successfully helped homeless individuals and families exit homelessness within 24 months or has a plan in place to ensure homeless individuals and families will exit homelessness within 24 months?	1	Yes = 1 No = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application

HUD Scoring	Has the applicant previously operated or currently operates transitional housing or another homelessness project, or has a plan in place to ensure that at least 50 percent of participants exit to a positive destination within 24 months and at least 50 percent of participants exit with employment income as reflected in HMIS or another data system used by the applicant?	1	Yes = 1 No = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
HUD Scoring	Will the project be supplemented with resources from other public or private sources, that may include mainstream health, social, and employment programs such as Medicare, Medicaid, SSI, and SNAP?	1	Yes = 1 No = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
HUD Scoring	Describe how the proposed project will: assess the service needs of program participants, provide individualized services for program participants during their time in Transitional Housing that will result in at least 20 hours per week of engagement in services, activities or employment for all program participants, except for a program participant over age 62 or who is an individual with handicaps as defined in 24 CFR 8.3 or a with a developmental disability as defined under 24 CFR 578.3 (examples of services or activities include case management, counseling, treatment, volunteering, work therapy, education, job training, community building activities, etc.) Employment may contribute to the 20 hours per week of engagement. The project description provided here does not constitute a reporting or documentation requirement. Indicate that the proposed project will create service plans for each program participant that include: the services to be provided, when and how often services will be provided, by whom all services will be provided; program participant goals, strategies for achieving those goals, and target dates for achievement to focus on improved health and wellness, housing stability, and increased employment income leading to financial stability and self-sufficiency.	2	Detailed description of proposed project = 2 Limited explanation = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0				Source: ND CoC Competition Application
HUD Scoring	Are the services provided cost-effective and consistent with 2 CFR 200.404?	1	Yes = 1 No = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Category	**Question**	**Possible Points**	**Scoring Considerations**	**CoC Criteria Score**	**Reviewer s Score**	**Reviewer s Comments**	**Source Documentation/ Attachment**
In this section: **Full Points = Response is detailed, project-specific, and demonstrates a clear operational plan** **Partial Points = Response addresses the topic but lacks sufficient detail or implementation strategy** **Zero Points = Response is missing, unrelated or does not demonstrate an adequate plan**							
Project Design	Provide a description that addresses the proposed project's scope, including the target population and the plan for addressing the needs of the target population. *(Limit 2,500 characters)*	5	Full Points = 5 Partial Points = 3 Zero Points = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Project Design	Describe the project's strategy to address the following: Promote access to housing while supporting participant engagement, accountability, and self-sufficiency Identify, recruit, retain, and support landlords to promote long-term housing stability Administer housing assistance, rental assistance, or move-in assistance, as applicable Provide supportive services to participants to assist in locating housing Emphasize client choice *(Limit 2,500 characters)*	10	Full Points = 10 Partial Points = 5 Zero Points = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Project Design	Explain how the project's services will help participants stabilize in permanent housing. This may include: Assisting participants in obtaining mainstream benefits and employment programs Financial planning/budgeting support, life skills support, or classes Transportation assistance Use peer support specialists or staff with lived experience Planning for exits to and stabilization in permanent housing Improve safety for survivors of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, stalking, and/or trafficking. *(Limit 2,500 characters)*	10	Full Points = 10 Partial Points = 5 Zero Points = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Project Design	Explain how the project will be staffed, including training for staff to meet the needs of the population to be served (e.g., motivational interviewing, trauma-informed care, etc.), and if the agency will focus on hiring people with lived experience. *(Limit 2,500 characters)*	5	Full Points = 5 Partial Points = 2 Zero Points = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Project Design	Describe how the project will provide services to assist participants in the following: Housing retention Follow up after placement or program exit Avoiding involuntary project exits and the steps that will be taken to ensure a client-centered housing-focused approach designed to avoid involuntary exits *(Limit 2,500 characters)*	5	Full Points = 5 Partial Points = 2 Zero Points = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Project Design	Explain how the project will be available and accessible to persons experiencing homelessness and how the agency will take proactive steps to promote fair access to housing, taking into consideration the following: Serving all eligible households Ensuring participants receive reasonable accommodations whenever they are needed	3	Full Points = 3 Partial Points = 1 Zero Points = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application

	Ensuring participants know their housing rights and are protected from discrimination, including through reasonable accommodations and applicable fair housing protections. *(Limit 2,500 characters)*						
Project Design	Explain how the project will address the behavioral health and substance use service needs of participants, including whether treatment requirements apply, if applicable. Include any applicable project policies, occupancy agreements, referral partnerships, or service coordination strategies. (Limit 2,500 characters) If treatment participation is required, attach applicable occupancy agreements or policies.	3	Full Points = 3 Partial Points = 1 Zero Points = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application Attachment: Agreement(s)

Category	Question	Possible Points	Scoring Considerations	CoC Criteria Score	Reviewer s Score	Reviewer s Comments	Source Documentation/ Attachment
Financial Capacity & Monitoring	Does the agency have experience administering CoC, ESG, or NDHG funding, either currently or previously? *(2 points per funding source)*	6	CoC funding = 2 ESG funding = 2 NDHG funding = 2 No experience = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Financial Capacity & Monitoring	Does the agency have experience managing other federal, state, or local housing, homeless assistance, behavioral health, youth, victim services, or human service grants? Experience must demonstrate administration, oversight, fiscal management, or operational responsibility for grant-funded programs. Examples may include RHY, PATH, HUD housing programs, VA-funded programs, TANF-funded services, domestic violence funding, SAMHSA grants, or other comparable grant programs.	3	Extensive relevant grant management experience = 3 Moderate relevant grant management experience = 2 Limited relevant grant management experience = 1 No relevant experience = 0				
Financial Capacity & Monitoring	What total percentage of funds were unexpended for CoC-, ESG-, or NDHG-funded projects in FY24? *(select one)* If the agency had 5% or more of unexpended funds in CoC-, ESG-, or NDHG-funded grants, explain why funds were not expended. If this is a new HUD or ND state-funded grant, explain your projected spend-down date and if you are on track for the total expenditure of funds. *(Limit 750 characters)*	5	0-1% = 5 2-4% = 3 5% or more = 0 Detailed and relevant explanation = 2 Limited explanation = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score & ND CoC Competition Application
Financial Capacity & Monitoring	Did the agency make the required drawdowns for CoC-, ESG-, and/or NDHG-funded projects?	2	Yes = 2 No = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score
Financial Capacity & Monitoring	Describe the agency's financial management systems and internal controls used to administer public funding, including financial oversight, accounting systems, segregation of duties, grant monitoring, and fiscal staffing structure. (Limit 1,500 characters)	3	Detailed description demonstrating strong financial management systems & oversight capacity = 3 Limited explanation = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0				
Financial Capacity & Monitoring	Is the agency free of any HUD monitoring findings for CoC- or ESG-funded projects? If the agency has HUD monitoring findings, explain the findings, if they are resolved, or the plan, including the timeline, to resolve the findings. *(Limit 750 characters)*	2	Yes = 2 No = 0 Findings resolved or corrective action completed with documentation/timeline provided = 2 Findings not fully resolved, but agency demonstrates an active corrective action plan and timeline = 1 Missing, insufficient, or unrelated response = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score
Financial Capacity & Monitoring	Is the agency free of NDHFA monitoring findings for any CoC-, ESG-, or NDHG-funded projects? If the agency has NDHFA monitoring findings, explain the findings, if they are resolved, or the plan, including the timeline, to resolve the findings. *(Limit 750 characters)*	2	Yes = 2 No = 0 Findings resolved or corrective action completed with documentation/timeline provided = 2 Findings not fully resolved, but agency demonstrates an active corrective action plan and timeline = 1 Missing, insufficient, or unrelated response = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score
Financial Capacity & Monitoring	Is the agency free of findings in its most recent financial audit? If the agency has findings in their most recent financial audit, explain whether they are resolved, or the plan, including the timeline, to resolve the findings. *(Limit 750 characters)*	2	Yes = 2 No = 0 Findings resolved or corrective action completed with documentation/timeline provided = 2 Findings not fully resolved, but agency demonstrates an active corrective action plan and timeline = 1 Missing, insufficient, or unrelated response = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application

Category	Question	Possible Points	Scoring Considerations	CoC Criteria Score	Reviewer s Score	Reviewer s Comments	Source Documentation/ Attachment
HMIS & Data Quality	Does the agency use HMIS or a comparable database?	2	Yes = 2 No = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
HMIS & Data Quality	Does the agency enter at least 90% of HMIS project start records within 10 days of participant project start dates? If less than 90% of HMIS project start records were entered within 10 days, explain the barriers contributing to delayed data entry and describe the steps the agency will take to improve HMIS data entry timeliness. *(Limit 750 characters)*	2	90-100% of records entered within 10 days = 2 Less than 90% of records entered within 10 days = 0 Detailed explanation and improvement plan = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated response = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score Attachment: Annual Performance Report
HMIS & Data Quality	Does the agency maintain a combined HMIS data quality error rate of less than 3%? If the agency has 6% or higher, explain why and how the agency will improve data completeness. *(Limit 750 characters)*	2	Less than 3% = 2 3-5.99% = 1 6% or higher = 0 Detailed explanation and improvement plan = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated response = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score Attachment: Annual Performance Report

HMIS & Data Quality	What percentage of participants exited to positive or permanent housing destinations in HMIS-participating housing projects? Positive and permanent housing destinations shall be defined consistent with HUD APR Q23c calculations. If less than 60% of participants exited to positive or permanent housing destinations, explain the barriers and how the agency will improve housing outcomes. *(Limit 750 characters)*	3	80-100% = 3 60-79% = 2 40-59% = 1 Less than 40% = 0 Detailed explanation and improvement plan = 2 Unacceptable/unrelated response = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score Attachment: Annual Performance Report
HMIS & Data Quality	What is the agency's total destination error rate? If the agency has an error rate of 10% or higher, please explain how the agency will improve errors. *(Limit 750 characters)*	3	Less than 10% = 3 10% or higher = 0 Detailed explanation and improvement plan = 2 Unacceptable/unrelated response = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score Attachment: Annual Performance Report
Category	**Question**	**Possible Points**	**Scoring Considerations**	**CoC Criteria Score**	**Reviewer s Score**	**Reviewer s Comments**	**Source Documentation/ Attachment**
Coordinated Entry	Will the project accept and prioritize 100% of participant referrals through the ND CoC CE process and Prioritization List?	5	Yes = 5 No = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application

Application Information	
Applicant Name	
Funding Type (1A)	
Name of Project (1D)	
Amount Requested (1G)	
Consolidate/Expand (1K)	
Project Type (3A)	
100% Dedicated/ DedicatedPLUS (3C)	
Units/Beds (4B)	
Primary Contact	
Email Address	
Phone Number	
UIE Number	

Overview of Scoring		
Summary of Factors	**Possible Points**	**Reviewer s Score**
Agency Wide Scoring	**23**	**0**
ND CoC Participation	11	0
ND CoC Priority	5	0
Client Participation in Project Design	7	0
Project-Specific Scoring	**83**	**0**
HUD Scoring	5	0
Project Design	36	0
Financial Capacity & Monitoring	25	0
HMIS & Data Quality	12	0
Coordinated Entry	5	0
Total Possible Points	**106**	**0**

AGENCY WIDE SCORING

Category	Question	Possible Points	Scoring Considerations	CoC Criteria Score	Reviewer s Score	Reviewer s Comments	Source Documentation/ Attachment
ND CoC Participation	Does the agency participate in the ND CoC and local efforts to address homelessness? (select one)	3	ND CoC member AND member of ND CoC committee AND active participation in local coalition/homeless response efforts = 3 ND CoC member AND member of ND CoC committee = 2 ND CoC Member = 1				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score
ND CoC Participation	Did agency staff participate in ND CoC meetings in the previous 12 months? *(select one)*	2	Staff participated in 100% of CoC quarterly meetings = 2 Staff participated in at least 50% of CoC quarterly meetings = 1 Staff participated in less than 50% of quarterly meetings = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score
ND CoC Participation	Did agency staff participate in ND CoC monthly trainings in the previous 12 months? *(select one)*	3	Staff participated in 100% of CoC monthly trainings = 3 Staff participated in at least 50% of CoC monthly trainings = 2 Staff participated in less than 50% of CoC monthly trainings = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score
ND CoC Participation	Did the agency participate in the unsheltered PIT Count for 2026? If no, explain why not.	2	Yes = 2 No = 0 Detailed explanation demonstrating reasonable circumstances or barriers to participation = 1				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score
ND CoC Participation	Did the agency submit PIT/HIC sheltered/housing information by the submission due date?	1	Yes = 1 No = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score

Category	Question	Possible Points	Scoring Considerations	CoC Criteria Score	Reviewer s Score	Reviewer s Comments	Source Documentation/ Attachment
ND CoC Priority	Does the project serve geographic areas of the state identified by the ND CoC as underserved or without existing CoC-funded housing/services projects? If yes, identify the region(s) the project will serve and describe how services and housing assistance will be provided within the identified area(s).	5	Serves area with no existing CoC-funded housing/services projects = 5 Expands services in historically underserved region with limited inventory = 3 Does not address identified geographic gap = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application

Category	Question	Possible Points	Scoring Considerations	CoC Criteria Score	Reviewer s Score	Reviewer s Comments	Source Documentation/ Attachment
Client Participation in Project Design	Does the agency engage individuals with lived experience in service design, project implementation, or organizational decision-making through any of the following strategies? (answer each statement; one point per strategy, up to 7 points)	7	7 strategies = 7 6 strategies = 6 5 strategies = 5 4 strategies = 4 3 strategies = 3 2 strategies = 2 1 strategy = 1 0 strategies = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application

PROJECT SPECIFIC SCORING - NEW - SUPPORTIVE SERVICES ONLY

Category	Question	Possible Points	Scoring Considerations (Per the NOFO, applicants must score 4 out of 5 in this category to be considered for funding.)	CoC Criteria Score	Reviewer s Score	Reviewer s Comments	Source Documentation/ Attachment
HUD Scoring	Explain how the project is necessary to assist people in exiting homelessness, addressing barriers to stable housing (e.g., substance use disorder, unemployment, childcare, etc.) and increasing self-sufficiency and how the Recipient will conduct an annual assessment of the service needs of the program participants.	1	Detailed description of proposed project = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
HUD Scoring	Does the proposed project have a strategy for providing supportive services to eligible program participants including those with histories of unsheltered homelessness and those who do not traditionally engage with supportive services?	2	Yes = 2 No = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application

HUD Scoring	Will the project be supplemented with resources from other public or private sources, that may include mainstream health, social, and employment programs such as Medicare, Medicaid, SSI, and SNAP?	1	Yes = 1 No = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
HUD Scoring	Are the services provided cost-effective and consistent with 2 CFR 200.404?	1	Yes = 1 No = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Category	**Question**	**Possible Points**	**Scoring Considerations**	**CoC Criteria Score**	**Reviewer s Score**	**Reviewer s Comments**	**Source Documentation/ Attachment**
In this section: **Full Points = Response is detailed, project-specific, and demonstrates a clear operational plan** **Partial Points = Response addresses the topic but lacks sufficient detail or implementation strategy** **Zero Points = Response is missing, unrelated or does not demonstrate an adequate plan**							
Project Design	Provide a description that addresses the proposed project's scope, including the target population and the plan for addressing the needs of the target population. *(Limit 2,500 characters)*	5	Full Points = 5 Partial Points = 3 Zero Points = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Project Design	Describe the project's supportive services and case management approach for participants. Include, as applicable to the project design: types of services provided (e.g., housing navigation, mainstream benefit assistance, SSI/SSDI assistance, behavioral health or substance use coordination, trauma-informed care, employment support, transportation assistance, etc.), frequency of participant engagement, population-specific services (e.g., youth, domestic violence, chronic homelessness), staff-to-participant ratio, coordination with community-based services, follow-up after project exit, and other pertinent operational information related to the project. *(Limit 2,500 characters)*	15	Full Points = 15 Partial Points = 8 Zero Points = 0	N/A		Changed the language.	Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Project Design	Does the project primarily serve participants who are unsheltered, residing in emergency shelter, or fleeing/attempting to flee domestic violence?	5	85% or more of participants meet these criteria = 5 60-84% = 3 59% or less of participants meet these criteria = 0	N/A		Changed the language.	Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Project Design	Does the project prioritize serving participants who are experiencing chronic homelessness, as applicable to the project design and target population?	1	Yes = 1 No = 0	N/A		Changed the language.	Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Project Design	Describe how the project will assist participants in accessing and maintaining safe and stable housing, including housing navigation, landlord engagement, referral coordination, or connection to permanent housing resources, as applicable to the project design. *(Limit 1,500 characters)*	5	Full Points = 5 Partial Points = 2 Zero Points = 0	N/A		Changed the language.	Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Project Design	Does the project have a plan to conduct follow-up with participants at least semi-annually for up to 2 years after project exit to support continued access to mainstream benefits and community resources?	2	Yes = 2 No = 0	N/A		Changed the language.	Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Project Design	Explain how the project will address the behavioral health and substance use service needs of participants, including whether treatment requirements apply, if applicable. Include any applicable project policies, occupancy agreements, referral partnerships, or service coordination strategies. (Limit 2,500 characters) If treatment participation is required, attach applicable occupancy agreements or policies.	3	Full Points = 3 Partial Points = 1 Zero Points = 0	N/A		Changed wording in this question.	Source: ND CoC Competition Application Attachment: Agreement(s)
Category	**Question**	**Possible Points**	**Scoring Considerations**	**CoC Criteria Score**	**Reviewer s Score**	**Reviewer s Comments**	**Source Documentation/ Attachment**
Financial Capacity & Monitoring	Does the agency have experience administering Continuum of Care (CoC), Emergency Solutions Grant (ESG), or North Dakota Homeless Grant funding (NDHG), either currently or previously? *(2 points per funding source)*	6	CoC funding = 2 ESG funding = 2 NDHG funding = 2 No experience = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Financial Capacity & Monitoring	Does the agency have experience managing other federal, state, or local housing, homeless assistance, behavioral health, youth, victim services, or human service grants? Experience must demonstrate administration, oversight, fiscal management, or operational responsibility for grant-funded programs. Examples may include Runaway and Homeless Youth (RHY), PATH, HUD housing programs, VA-funded programs, TANF-funded services, domestic violence funding, SAMHSA grants, or other comparable grant programs.	3	Extensive relevant grant management experience = 3 Moderate relevant grant management experience = 2 Limited relevant grant management experience = 1 No relevant experience = 0				
Financial Capacity & Monitoring	What percentage of funds were unexpended for CoC-, ESG-, or NDHG-funded projects in FY24? *(select one)*	5	0-1% = 5 2-4% = 3 5% or more = 0 Agency does not have funding = N/A				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score & ND CoC Competition Application
Financial Capacity & Monitoring	If the agency had 5% or more of unexpended funds in CoC-, ESG-, or NDHG-funded grants or projects, explain why funds were not expended. If this is a new HUD or ND state-funded grant, explain your projected spend-down date and if you are on track for the total expenditure of funds. *(Limit 750 characters)*		Detailed and relevant explanation = 2 Limited explanation = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0				
Financial Capacity & Monitoring	Did the agency make the required drawdowns for CoC-, ESG-, and/or NDHG-funded projects?	2	Yes = 2 No = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score
Financial Capacity & Monitoring	Describe the agency's financial management systems and internal controls used to administer public funding, including financial oversight, accounting systems, segregation of duties, grant monitoring, and fiscal staffing structure. (Limit 1,500 characters)	3	Detailed description demonstrating strong financial management systems & oversight capacity = 3 Limited explanation = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0				

Financial Capacity & Monitoring **Financial Capacity & Monitoring**	Is the agency free of any HUD monitoring findings for CoC- or ESG-funded projects? If the agency has HUD monitoring findings, explain the findings, if they are resolved, or the plan, including the timeline, to resolve the findings. *(Limit 750 characters)*	2	Yes = 2 No = 0 Findings resolved or corrective action completed with documentation/timeline provided = 2 Findings not fully resolved, but agency demonstrates an active corrective action plan and timeline = 1 Missing, insufficient, or unrelated response = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score
Financial Capacity & Monitoring **Financial Capacity & Monitoring**	Is the agency free of NDHFA monitoring findings for any CoC-, ESG-, or NDHG-funded projects? If the agency has NDHFA monitoring findings, explain the findings, if they are resolved, or the plan, including the timeline, to resolve the findings. *(Limit 750 characters)*	2	Yes = 2 No = 0 Findings resolved or corrective action completed with documentation/timeline provided = 2 Findings not fully resolved, but agency demonstrates an active corrective action plan and timeline = 1 Missing, insufficient, or unrelated response = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score
Financial Capacity & Monitoring	Is the agency free of findings in its most recent financial audit? If the agency has findings in their most recent financial audit, explain whether they are resolved, or the plan, including the timeline, to resolve the findings. *(Limit 750 characters)*	2	Yes = 2 No = 0 Findings resolved or corrective action completed with documentation/timeline provided = 2 Findings not fully resolved, but agency demonstrates an active corrective action plan and timeline = 1 Missing, insufficient, or unrelated response = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application

Category	Question	Possible Points	Scoring Considerations	CoC Criteria Score	Reviewer s Score	Reviewer s Comments	Source Documentation/ Attachment
HMIS & Data Quality	Does the agency use HMIS or a comparable database?	2	Yes = 2 No = 0				Source: ND CoC Competition Application
HMIS & Data Quality	Does the agency enter at least 90% of HMIS project start records within 10 days of participant project start dates? If less than 90% of HMIS project start records were entered within 10 days, explain the barriers contributing to delayed data entry and describe the steps the agency will take to improve HMIS data entry timeliness. *(Limit 750 characters)*	2	90-100% of records entered within 10 days = 2 Less than 90% of records entered within 10 days = 0 Detailed explanation and improvement plan = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated response = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score Attachment: Annual Performance Report
HMIS & Data Quality	Does the agency maintain a combined HMIS data quality error rate of less than 3%? If the agency has 6% or higher, explain why and how the agency will improve data completeness. *(Limit 750 characters)*	2	Less than 3% = 2 3-5.99% = 1 6% or higher = 0 Detailed explanation and improvement plan = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated response = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score Attachment: Annual Performance Report
HMIS & Data Quality	What percentage of participants exited to positive or permanent housing destinations in HMIS-participating housing projects? Positive and permanent housing destinations shall be defined consistent with HUD APR Q23c calculations. If less than 60% of participants exited to positive or permanent housing destinations, explain the barriers and how the agency will improve housing outcomes. *(Limit 750 characters)*	3	80-100% = 3 60-79% = 2 40-59% = 1 Less than 40% = 0 Detailed explanation and improvement plan = 2 Unacceptable/unrelated response = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score Attachment: Annual Performance Report
HMIS & Data Quality	What is the agency's total destination error rate? If the agency has an error rate of 10% or higher, please explain how the agency will improve errors. *(Limit 750 characters)*	3	Less than 10% = 3 10% or higher = 0 Detailed explanation and improvement plan = 2 Unacceptable/unrelated response = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score Attachment: Annual Performance Report

Category	Question	Possible Points	Scoring Considerations	CoC Criteria Score	Reviewer s Score	Reviewer s Comments	Source Documentation/ Attachment
Coordinated Entry	Will the project participate in the ND CoC Coordinated Entry process by conducting coordinated entry assessments and placing eligible participants on the prioritization list, as applicable to the project design?	5	Yes = 5 No = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application

Application Information	
Applicant Name	
Funding Type (1A)	
Name of Project (1D)	
Amount Requested (1G)	
Consolidate/Expand (1K)	
Project Type (3A)	
100% Dedicated/ DedicatedPLUS (3C)	
Units/Beds (4B)	
Primary Contact	
Email Address	
Phone Number	
UIE Number	

Overview of Scoring		
Summary of Factors	**Possible Points**	**Reviewer s Score**
Agency Wide Scoring	**23**	**0**
ND CoC Participation	11	0
ND CoC Priority	5	0
Client Participation in Project Design	7	0
Project-Specific Scoring	**91**	**0**
HUD Scoring	4	0
Project Design	25	0
Financial Capacity	25	0
HMIS & Data Quality	10	0
Coordinated Entry	27	0
Total Possible Points	**114**	**0**

AGENCY WIDE SCORING

Category	Question	Possible Points	Scoring Considerations	CoC Criteria Score	Reviewer s Score	Reviewer s Comments	Source Documentation/ Attachment
ND CoC Participation	Does the agency participate in the ND CoC and local efforts to address homelessness? (select one)	3	ND CoC member AND member of ND CoC committee AND active participation in local coalition/homeless response efforts = 3 ND CoC member AND member of ND CoC committee = 2 ND CoC Member = 1				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score
ND CoC Participation	Did agency staff participate in ND CoC meetings in the previous 12 months? *(select one)*	2	Staff participated in 100% of CoC quarterly meetings = 2 Staff participated in at least 50% of CoC quarterly meetings = 1 Staff participated in less than 50% of quarterly meetings = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score
ND CoC Participation	Did agency staff participate in ND CoC monthly trainings in the previous 12 months? *(select one)*	3	Staff participated in 100% of CoC monthly trainings = 3 Staff participated in at least 50% of CoC monthly trainings = 2 Staff participated in less than 50% of CoC monthly trainings = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score
ND CoC Participation	Did the agency participate in the unsheltered PIT Count for 2026? If no, explain why not.	2	Yes = 2 No = 0 Detailed explanation demonstrating reasonable circumstances or barriers to participation = 1				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score
ND CoC Participation	Did the agency submit PIT/HIC sheltered/housing information by the submission due date?	1	Yes = 1 No = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score

Category	Question	Possible Points	Scoring Considerations	CoC Criteria Score	Reviewer s Score	Reviewer s Comments	Source Documentation/ Attachment
ND CoC Priority	Does the project serve geographic areas of the state identified by the ND CoC as underserved or without existing CoC-funded housing/services projects? If yes, identify the region(s) the project will serve and describe how services and housing assistance will be provided within the identified area(s).	5	Serves area with no existing CoC-funded housing/services projects = 5 Expands services in historically underserved region with limited inventory = 3 Does not address identified geographic gap = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application

Category	Question	Possible Points	Scoring Considerations	CoC Criteria Score	Reviewer s Score	Reviewer s Comments	Source Documentation/ Attachment
Client Participation in Project Design	Does the agency engage individuals with lived experience in service design, project implementation, or organizational decision-making through any of the following strategies? (answer each statement; one point per strategy, up to 7 points)	7	7 strategies = 7 6 strategies = 6 5 strategies = 5 4 strategies = 4 3 strategies = 3 2 strategies = 2 1 strategy = 1 0 strategies = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application

PROJECT SPECIFIC SCORING - NEW - SUPPORTIVE SERVICES ONLY-COORDINATED ENTRY

Category	Question	Possible Points	Scoring Considerations (Per the NOFO, applicants must score 3 out of 4 in this category to be considered for funding.)	CoC Criteria Score	Reviewer s Score	Reviewer s Comments	Source Documentation/ Attachment
HUD Scoring	Is the Coordinated Entry system easily available and reachable for all persons who are seeking homelessness assistance and accessible for persons with disabilities within the CoC's geographic area?	1	Detailed description of proposed project = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
HUD Scoring	Does the applicant have a strategy for advertising that is designed specifically to reach households experiencing homelessness with the highest needs?	1	Yes = 1 No = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
HUD Scoring	Is there a standardized assessment process?	1	Yes = 1 No = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
HUD Scoring	Will the project ensure program participants are directed to appropriate housing and services that fit their needs?	1	Yes = 1 No = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application

Category	Question	Possible Points	Scoring Considerations	CoC Criteria Score	Reviewer s Score	Reviewer s Comments	Source Documentation/ Attachment
In this section: **Full Points = Response is detailed, project-specific, and demonstrates a clear operational plan** **Partial Points = Response addresses the topic but lacks sufficient detail or implementation strategy** **Zero Points = Response is missing, unrelated or does not demonstrate an adequate plan**							
Project Design	Provide a description that addresses the proposed project's scope, including the target population, geographic coverage area, and the plan for addressing the needs of participants through the Coordinated Entry process. *(Limit 2,500 characters)*	5	Full Points = 5 Partial Points = 3 Zero Points = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Project Design	Describe how the project will support Coordinated Entry assessments, prioritization, referrals, navigation, by-name list management, data quality, follow-up, and participant engagement. *(Limit 2,500 characters)*	10	Full Points = 10 Partial Points = 5 Zero Points = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Project Design	Explain how participants will be supported in identifying and accessing housing resources, including housing navigation, connection to mainstream benefits, and landlord engagement, as applicable to the project design. *(Limit 2,500 characters)*	5	Full Points = 5 Partial Points = 3 Zero Points = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Project Design	Describe how the project will improve the effectiveness, efficiency, or accessibility of the ND CoC Coordinated Entry system. Include any plans for process improvements, data-driven decision making, reduction of barriers to access, improved referral workflows, increased geographic coverage, or other system enhancements. *(Limit 2,500 characters)*	5	Full Points = 5 Partial Points = 3 Zero Points = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Category	**Question**	**Possible Points**	**Scoring Considerations**	**CoC Criteria Score**	**Reviewer s Score**	**Reviewer s Comments**	**Source Documentation/ Attachment**
Financial Capacity & Monitoring	Does the agency have experience administering Continuum of Care (CoC), Emergency Solutions Grant (ESG), or North Dakota Homeless Grant funding (NDHG), either currently or previously? *(2 points per funding source)*	6	CoC funding = 2 ESG funding = 2 NDHG funding = 2 No experience = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Financial Capacity & Monitoring	Does the agency have experience managing other federal, state, or local housing, homeless assistance, behavioral health, youth, victim services, or human service grants? Experience must demonstrate administration, oversight, fiscal management, or operational responsibility for grant-funded programs. Examples may include Runaway and Homeless Youth (RHY), PATH, HUD housing programs, VA-funded programs, TANF-funded services, domestic violence funding, SAMHSA grants, or other comparable grant programs.	3	Extensive relevant grant management experience = 3 Moderate relevant grant management experience = 2 Limited relevant grant management experience = 1 No relevant experience = 0				
Financial Capacity & Monitoring	What percentage of funds were unexpended for CoC-, ESG-, or NDHG-funded projects in FY24? *(select one)* If the agency had 5% or more of unexpended funds in CoC-, ESG-, or NDHG-funded grants or projects, explain why funds were not expended. If this is a new HUD or ND state-funded grant, explain your projected spend-down date and if you are on track for the total expenditure of funds. *(Limit 750 characters)*	5	0-1% = 5 2-4% = 3 5% or more = 0 Agency does not have funding = N/A Detailed and relevant explanation = 2 Limited explanation = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score & ND CoC Competition Application
Financial Capacity & Monitoring	Did the agency make the required drawdowns for CoC-, ESG-, and/or NDHG-funded projects?	2	Yes = 2 No = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score
Financial Capacity & Monitoring	Describe the agency's financial management systems and internal controls used to administer public funding, including financial oversight, accounting systems, segregation of duties, grant monitoring, and fiscal staffing structure. (Limit 1,500 characters)	3	Detailed description demonstrating strong financial management systems & oversight capacity = 3 Limited explanation = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0				
Financial Capacity & Monitoring	Is the agency free of any HUD monitoring findings for CoC- or ESG-funded projects? If the agency has HUD monitoring findings, explain the findings, if they are resolved, or the plan, including the timeline, to resolve the findings. *(Limit 750 characters)*	2	Yes = 2 No = 0 Findings resolved or corrective action completed with documentation/timeline provided = 2 Findings not fully resolved, but agency demonstrates an active corrective action plan and timeline = 1 Missing, insufficient, or unrelated response = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score
Financial Capacity & Monitoring	Is the agency free of NDHFA monitoring findings for any CoC-, ESG-, or NDHG-funded projects? If the agency has NDHFA monitoring findings, explain the findings, if they are resolved, or the plan, including the timeline, to resolve the findings. *(Limit 750 characters)*	2	Yes = 2 No = 0 Findings resolved or corrective action completed with documentation/timeline provided = 2 Findings not fully resolved, but agency demonstrates an active corrective action plan and timeline = 1 Missing, insufficient, or unrelated response = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score
Financial Capacity & Monitoring	Is the agency free of findings in its most recent financial audit? If the agency has findings in their most recent financial audit, explain whether they are resolved, or the plan, including the timeline, to resolve the findings. *(Limit 750 characters)*	2	Yes = 2 No = 0 Findings resolved or corrective action completed with documentation/timeline provided = 2 Findings not fully resolved, but agency demonstrates an active corrective action plan and timeline = 1 Missing, insufficient, or unrelated response = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Category	**Question**	**Possible Points**	**Scoring Considerations**	**CoC Criteria Score**	**Reviewer s Score**	**Reviewer s Comments**	**Source Documentation/ Attachment**
HMIS & Data Quality	Does the agency use HMIS or a comparable database?	2	Yes = 2 No = 0				Source: ND CoC Competition Application

HMIS & Data Quality	Describe how the project ensures the accuracy, maintenance, and timely updating of the Coordinated Entry prioritization list, by-name list, and referral records, as applicable to the project design. *(Limit 1,500 characters)*	3	Detailed and relevant explanation = 3 Limited explanation = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0				Source: ND CoC Competition Application
HMIS & Data Quality	Explain how the project will use HMIS and Coordinated Entry data to support data quality, referral management, reporting, and compliance with ND CoC and HUD requirements. *(Limit 1,500 characters)*	3	Detailed and relevant explanation = 3 Limited explanation = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0				Source: ND CoC Competition Application
HMIS & Data Quality	Explain the safeguards and procedures in place to protect participant information and ensure confidentiality within Coordinated Entry and HMIS processes. *(Limit 1,500 characters)*	2	Detailed and relevant explanation = 2 Limited explanation = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0				Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Category	**Question**	**Possible Points**	**Scoring Considerations**	**CoC Criteria Score**	**Reviewer s Score**	**Reviewer s Comments**	**Source Documentation/ Attachment**
Coordinated Entry	Describe how the project will operate in accordance with ND CoC Coordinated Entry policies and procedures, including participant choice, prioritization, referral practices, non-discrimination requirements, and any applicable HUD requirements. (Limit 2,500 characters)	5	Full Points = 5 Partial Points = 3 Zero Points = 0				
Coordinated Entry	Explain how the project will ensure equitable access to Coordinated Entry services for all eligible households, including persons in rural areas, survivors of domestic violence, youth, veterans, and persons with disabilities, and ensuring reasonable accommodations are provided when needed. *(Limit 2,500 characters)*	5	Full Points = 5 Partial Points = 3 Zero Points = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Coordinated Entry	Describe how the project will coordinate with housing providers, shelters, victim service providers, behavioral health providers, employment and workforce partners, and mainstream benefit systems. *(Limit 2,500 characters)*	10	Full Points = 10 Partial Points = 5 Zero Points = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Coordinated Entry	Describe how the project will monitor Coordinated Entry performance and use data to evaluate and improve system outcomes. Include any performance measures, reporting processes, or quality improvement activities. *(Limit 2,500 characters)*	5	Full Points = 5 Partial Points = 3 Zero Points = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Coordinated Entry	Describe how the project tracks referrals and uses referral outcomes to improve Coordinated Entry effectiveness and participant access to housing resources. *(Limit 1,000 characters)*	2	Detailed and relevant explanation = 2 Limited explanation = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0				Source: ND CoC Competition Application

Application Information	
Applicant Name	
Funding Type (1A)	
Name of Project (1D)	
Amount Requested (1G)	
Consolidate/Expand (1K)	
Project Type (3A)	
100% Dedicated/ DedicatedPLUS (3C)	
Units/Beds (4B)	
Primary Contact	
Email Address	
Phone Number	
UIE Number	

Overview of Scoring		
Summary of Factors	**Possible Points**	**Reviewer s Score**
Agency Wide Scoring	**23**	**0**
ND CoC Participation	11	0
ND CoC Priority	5	0
Client Participation in Project Design	7	0
Project-Specific Scoring	**91**	**0**
Project Performance	32	0
Project Design	32	0
Financial Performance & Monitoring	13	0
HMIS & Data Quality	10	0
Coordinated Entry	4	0
Total Possible Points	**114**	**0**

AGENCY WIDE SCORING

Category	Question	Possible Points	Scoring Considerations	CoC Criteria Score	Reviewer s Score	Reviewer s Comments	Source Documentation/ Attachment
ND CoC Participation	Does the agency participate in the ND CoC and local efforts to address homelessness? (select one)	3	ND CoC member AND member of ND CoC committee AND active participation in local coalition/homeless response efforts = 3 ND CoC member AND member of ND CoC committee = 2 ND CoC Member = 1				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score
ND CoC Participation	Did agency staff participate in ND CoC meetings in the previous 12 months? *(select one)*	2	Staff participated in 100% of CoC quarterly meetings = 2 Staff participated in at least 50% of CoC quarterly meetings = 1 Staff participated in less than 50% of quarterly meetings = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score
ND CoC Participation	Did agency staff participate in ND CoC monthly trainings in the previous 12 months? *(select one)*	3	Staff participated in 100% of CoC monthly trainings = 3 Staff participated in at least 50% of CoC monthly trainings = 2 Staff participated in less than 50% of CoC monthly trainings = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score
ND CoC Participation	Did the agency participate in the unsheltered PIT Count for 2026? If no, explain why not.	2	Yes = 2 No = 0 Detailed explanation demonstrating reasonable circumstances or barriers to participation = 1				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score
ND CoC Participation	Did the agency submit PIT/HIC sheltered/housing information by the submission due date?	1	Yes = 1 No = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score

Category	Question	Possible Points	Scoring Considerations	CoC Criteria Score	Reviewer s Score	Reviewer s Comments	Source Documentation/ Attachment
ND CoC Priority	Does the project serve geographic areas of the state identified by the ND CoC as underserved or without existing CoC-funded housing/services projects? If yes, identify the region(s) the project will serve and describe how services and housing assistance will be provided within the identified area(s).	5	Serves area with no existing CoC-funded housing/services projects = 5 Expands services in historically underserved region with limited inventory = 3 Does not address identified geographic gap = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application

Category	Question	Possible Points	Scoring Considerations	CoC Criteria Score	Reviewer s Score	Reviewer s Comments	Source Documentation/ Attachment
Client Participation in Project Design	Does the agency engage individuals with lived experience in service design, project implementation, or organizational decision-making through any of the following strategies? (answer each statement; one point per strategy, up to 7 points)	7	7 strategies = 7 6 strategies = 6 5 strategies = 5 4 strategies = 4 3 strategies = 3 2 strategies = 2 1 strategy = 1 0 strategies = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application

PROJECT SPECIFIC SCORING - RENEWAL - PERMANENT SUPPORT HOUSING

Projects will be scored based on HMIS/APR data and the submitted project application. Some criteria are pre-scored based on data and scoring scales. Panelists may adjust pre-scored criteria based on documented project context; however, adjustments may not exceed 20% of the maximum available points for the applicable scoring factor. When using discretion, panelists should keep in mind:

Outcomes may be lower in projects serving higher-acuity populations with severe service needs and vulnerabilities, including persons experiencing chronic homelessness, serious mental illness, substance use disorders, and/or survivors of domestic violence; and

Project size may influence performance percentages, particularly in smaller projects where a limited number of participant outcomes may significantly affect overall percentages.

Category	Question	Possible Points	Scoring Considerations	CoC Criteria Score	Reviewer s Score	Reviewer s Comments	Source Documentation/ Attachment

Project Performance	What was the project's average bed utilization rate during the operating year, based on APR bed utilization data? If the project utilization rate is less than 80%, explain the low utilization rate and how the project will improve this performance measure in the upcoming year. *(Limit 750 characters)*	5	90-100% = 5 80-89% = 4 70-79% = 3 60-69% = 2 50-59% = 1 Less than 50% = 0 Detailed and relevant explanation = 2 Limited explanation = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score Attachment: Annual Performance Report
Project Performance	What percentage of program participants exited to positive or permanent housing destinations, excluding participants who passed away or exited to non-psychiatric hospitals, foster care, long-term care, or nursing homes? If the project is less than 85%, explain the low exits to positive or permanent housing and how the project will improve this performance measure in the upcoming year. *(Limit 750 characters)*	10	95-100% = 10 90-94% = 8 85-89% = 6 80-84% = 4 75-79% = 2 Less than 75% = 0 Detailed and relevant explanation = 4 Limited explanation = 2 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score Attachment: Annual Performance Report
Project Performance	What percentage of participants exited to emergency shelter or places not meant for habitation? If the project is more than 10%, explain the high rate of returns to homelessness and how the project will improve this performance measure in the upcoming year. *(Limit 750 characters)*	7	0-5% = 7 6-10% = 5 11-15% = 3 16-20% = 1 More than 20% = 0 Detailed and relevant explanation = 3 Limited explanation = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score Attachment: Annual Performance Report
Project Performance	What percentage of adult stayers/leavers experienced an increase in cash income from project entry to the latest annual assessment or project exit, excluding stayers not yet required to complete an annual assessment? If the project is less than 40%, explain the low percentage of stayers/leavers with increased cash income and how the project will improve this performance measure in the upcoming year. *(Limit 750 characters)*	5	55% or higher = 5 40-54% = 4 30-39% = 3 20-29% = 2 15-19% = 1 Less than 15% = 0 Detailed and relevant explanation = 2 Limited explanation = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score Attachment: Annual Performance Report
Project Performance	What percentage of participants had at least one non-cash benefit at exit or follow-up assessment, excluding stayers not yet required to have an assessment? If the project is less than 40%, explain the low percentage of participants with at least one non-cash benefit and how the project will improve this performance measure in the upcoming year. *(Limit 750 characters)*	5	60% or higher = 5 40-59% = 3 Less than 40% = 0 Detailed and relevant explanation = 2 Limited explanation = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score Attachment: Annual Performance Report

Category	Question	Possible Points	Scoring Considerations	CoC Criteria Score	Reviewer s Score	Reviewer s Comments	Source Documentation/ Attachment
In this section: **Full Points = Response is detailed, project-specific, and demonstrates a clear operational plan** **Partial Points = Response addresses the topic but lacks sufficient detail or implementation strategy** **Zero Points = Response is missing, unrelated or does not demonstrate an adequate plan**							
Project Design	Provide a description that addresses the project's scope, including the target population and the plan for addressing the needs of the target population. *(Limit 2,500 characters)*	5	Full Points = 5 Partial Points = 3 Zero Points = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Project Design	Describe the project's supportive services and case management approach for participants. Include, as applicable to the project design: types of services provided (e.g., housing navigation, mainstream benefit assistance, SSI/SSDI assistance, behavioral health or substance use coordination, trauma-informed care, employment support, transportation assistance, etc.), frequency of participant engagement, population-specific services (e.g., youth, domestic violence, chronic homelessness), staff-to-participant ratio, coordination with community-based services, follow-up after project exit, and other pertinent operational information related to the project. (Limit 2,500 characters)	15	Full Points = 15 Partial Points = 8 Zero Points = 0				
Project Design	What percentage of participants served by the project were enrolled from unsheltered locations, emergency shelter, or situations involving fleeing/attempting to flee domestic violence?	1	85% or higher of participants served were enrolled from unsheltered locations, emergency shelter, or situations involving fleeing/attempting to flee domestic violence = 1 Less than 85% of participants served were enrolled from unsheltered locations, emergency shelter, or situations involving fleeing/attempting to flee domestic violence = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Project Design	What percentage of turnover beds are prioritized for participants experiencing chronic homelessness?	1	75% or higher of turnover beds are prioritized for participants experiencing chronic homelessness = 1 Less than 75% of turnover beds are prioritized for participants experiencing chronic homelessness = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Project Design	Does the project attempt to follow up with participants at least semi-annually after project exit to ensure participants maintain access to mainstream benefits and community resources for up to 2 years?	2	Yes = 2 No = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application

Project Design	Are annual assessments completed within required HMIS and program timelines for participants who remain enrolled in the project?	2	Yes = 2 No = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Project Design	Describe the project's supportive service participation policies and participant engagement expectations, including how the project communicates, documents, and implements any required supportive service participation in accordance with 24 CFR 578.75(h), as applicable to the project design. Include any supportive service agreements, participation expectations, or participant engagement strategies utilized by the project. *(Limit 1,500 characters)*	3	Full Points = Response clearly describes participant engagement expectations, supportive service participation requirements (if applicable), and how participation is documented and implemented in accordance with project policies and 24 CFR 578.75(h) = 3 Partial Points = Response addresses supportive service participation policies or participant engagement expectations but lacks sufficient operational detail = 1 Zero Points = Response is missing, unrelated, or does not adequately address supportive service participation policies or requirements = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Project Design	Explain how the project will address the behavioral health and substance use service needs of participants, including whether treatment requirements apply, if applicable. Include any applicable project policies, occupancy agreements, referral partnerships, or service coordination strategies. (Limit 2,500 characters) If treatment participation is required, attach applicable occupancy agreements or policies.	3	Full Points = 3 Partial Points = 1 Zero Points = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application Attachment: Agreement(s)

Category	Question	Possible Points	Scoring Considerations	CoC Criteria Score	Reviewer s Score	Reviewer s Comments	Source Documentation/ Attachment
Financial Performance & Monitoring	What percentage of project funds remained unexpended at the end of the FY24 operating year for the grant awarded under Competition Year 2023? If the project had 5% or more of unexpended funds, explain why funds were not expended. If the project did not have a full operating year, explain the projected spend-down date and whether the project is on track for full expenditure of funds. *(Limit 750 characters)*	5	0-1.99% = 5 2-4.99% = 3 5% or more = 0 Project did not have a full operating year = N/A Detailed and relevant explanation = 2 Limited explanation = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score & ND CoC Competition Application
Financial Performance & Monitoring	Did the project complete required drawdowns at least quarterly?	2	Yes = 2 No = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score & Intent to Apply
Financial Performance & Monitoring	Is the agency free of HUD monitoring findings for CoC- or ESG-funded projects? If the agency has HUD monitoring findings, explain the findings, if they are resolved, or the plan, including the timeline, to resolve the findings. *(Limit 750 characters)*	2	Yes = 2 No = 0 Findings resolved or corrective action completed with documentation/timeline provided = 2 Findings not fully resolved, but agency demonstrates an active corrective action plan and timeline = 1 Missing, insufficient, or unrelated response = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score & ND CoC Competition Application Attachment: HUD monitoring, if needed
Financial Performance & Monitoring	Did the project fully participate in the ND CoC monitoring process, including promptly responding to requests for information and subsequent correspondence?	2	Yes = 2 No = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score Attachment: ND CoC monitoring, if needed
Financial Performance & Monitoring	Is the agency free of NDHFA monitoring findings for any CoC-, ESG-, or NDHG-funded projects? If the agency has NDHFA monitoring findings, explain the findings, if they are resolved, or the plan, including the timeline, to resolve the findings. *(Limit 750 characters)*	2	Yes = 2 No = 0 Findings resolved or corrective action completed with documentation/timeline provided = 2 Findings not fully resolved, but agency demonstrates an active corrective action plan and timeline = 1 Missing, insufficient, or unrelated response = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score

Category	Question	Possible Points	Scoring Considerations	CoC Criteria Score	Reviewer s Score	Reviewer s Comments	Source Documentation/ Attachment
HMIS & Data Quality	Does the project enter at least 90% of HMIS project start records within 10 days of participant project start dates? If less than 90% of HMIS project start records were entered within 10 days, explain the barriers contributing to delayed data entry and describe the steps the agency will take to improve HMIS data entry timeliness. *(Limit 750 characters)*	2	90-100% of records entered within 10 days = 2 Less than 90% of records entered within 10 days = 0 Detailed explanation and improvement plan = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated response = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score Attachment: Annual Performance Report
HMIS & Data Quality	Does the project maintain a combined HMIS data quality error rate of less than 3%? If the project has 6% or higher, explain why and how the agency will improve data completeness. *(Limit 750 characters)*	2	Less than 3% = 2 3-5.99% = 1 6% or higher = 0 Detailed explanation and improvement plan = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated response = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score Attachment: Annual Performance Report
HMIS & Data Quality	What is the average number of days between the project start date and the housing move-in date? If the project has 22 days or more, explain the delay between project start and housing move-in and how the agency will improve housing placement timeliness. *(Limit 750 characters)*	3	7 days or less = 3 8-14 days = 2 15-21 days = 1 22 days or more = 0 Detailed explanation and improvement plan = 2 Unacceptable/unrelated response = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score & ND CoC Competition Application Attachment: Annual Performance Report

HMIS & Data Quality	What is the project's total destination error rate? If the project has a destination error rate of 10% or higher, explain the causes of the errors and how the agency will improve data accuracy. *(Limit 750 characters)*	3	0-9.99% = 3 10% or higher = 0 Detailed explanation and improvement plan = 2 Unacceptable/unrelated response = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score & ND CoC Competition Application Attachment: Annual Performance Report
Category	**Question**	**Possible Points**	**Scoring Considerations**	**CoC Criteria Score**	**Reviewer s Score**	**Reviewer s Comments**	**Source Documentation/ Attachment**
Coordinated Entry	Does the project fill all available units through the Coordinated Entry Prioritization List in accordance with ND CoC Coordinated Entry policies and HUD requirements?	2	Yes = 2 No = 0				Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Coordinated Entry	What percentage of Coordinated Entry referrals were rejected by the project? If the project rejection rate is 20% or higher, explain the reasons for the rejected referrals and how the agency will improve referral acceptance and placement outcomes. *(Limit 750 characters)*	2	Less than 15% = 2 15-19.99% = 1 20% or higher = 0 Detailed explanation and improvement plan = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated response = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score & ND CoC Competition Application Attachment: HMIS CE Referral Report

Application Information	
Applicant Name	
Funding Type (1A)	
Name of Project (1D)	
Amount Requested (1G)	
Consolidate/Expand (1K)	
Project Type (3A)	
100% Dedicated/ DedicatedPLUS (3C)	
Units/Beds (4B)	
Primary Contact	
Email Address	
Phone Number	
UIE Number	

Overview of Scoring		
Summary of Factors	**Possible Points**	**Reviewer s Score**
Agency Wide Scoring	**23**	**0**
ND CoC Participation	11	0
ND CoC Priority	5	0
Client Participation in Project Design	7	0
Project-Specific Scoring	**92**	**0**
Project Performance	34	0
Project Design	31	0
Financial Performance & Monitoring	13	0
HMIS & Data Quality	10	0
Coordinated Entry	4	0
Total Possible Points	**115**	**0**

AGENCY WIDE SCORING

Category	Question	Possible Points	Scoring Considerations	CoC Criteria Score	Reviewer s Score	Reviewer s Comments	Source Documentation/ Attachment
ND CoC Participation	Does the agency participate in the ND CoC and local efforts to address homelessness? (select one)	3	ND CoC member AND member of ND CoC committee AND active participation in local coalition/homeless response efforts = 3 ND CoC member AND member of ND CoC committee = 2 ND CoC Member = 1				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score
ND CoC Participation	Did agency staff participate in ND CoC meetings in the previous 12 months? *(select one)*	2	Staff participated in 100% of CoC quarterly meetings = 2 Staff participated in at least 50% of CoC quarterly meetings = 1 Staff participated in less than 50% of quarterly meetings = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score
ND CoC Participation	Did agency staff participate in ND CoC monthly trainings in the previous 12 months? *(select one)*	3	Staff participated in 100% of CoC monthly trainings = 3 Staff participated in at least 50% of CoC monthly trainings = 2 Staff participated in less than 50% of CoC monthly trainings = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score
ND CoC Participation	Did the agency participate in the unsheltered PIT Count for 2026? If no, explain why not.	2	Yes = 2 No = 0 Detailed explanation demonstrating reasonable circumstances or barriers to participation = 1				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score
ND CoC Participation	Did the agency submit PIT/HIC sheltered/housing information by the submission due date?	1	Yes = 1 No = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score

Category	Question	Possible Points	Scoring Considerations	CoC Criteria Score	Reviewer s Score	Reviewer s Comments	Source Documentation/ Attachment
ND CoC Priority	Does the project serve geographic areas of the state identified by the ND CoC as underserved or without existing CoC-funded housing/services projects? If yes, identify the region(s) the project will serve and describe how services and housing assistance will be provided within the identified area(s).	5	Serves area with no existing CoC-funded housing/services projects = 5 Expands services in historically underserved region with limited inventory = 3 Does not address identified geographic gap = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application

Category	Question	Possible Points	Scoring Considerations	CoC Criteria Score	Reviewer s Score	Reviewer s Comments	Source Documentation/ Attachment
Client Participation in Project Design	Does the agency engage individuals with lived experience in service design, project implementation, or organizational decision-making through any of the following strategies? (answer each statement; one point per strategy, up to 7 points)	7	7 strategies = 7 6 strategies = 6 5 strategies = 5 4 strategies = 4 3 strategies = 3 2 strategies = 2 1 strategy = 1 0 strategies = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application

PROJECT SPECIFIC SCORING - RENEWAL - RAPID RE-HOUSING

Projects will be scored based on HMIS/APR data and the submitted project application. Some criteria are pre-scored based on data and scoring scales. Panelists may adjust pre-scored criteria based on documented project context; however, adjustments may not exceed 20% of the maximum available points for the applicable scoring factor. When using discretion, panelists should keep in mind:

Outcomes may be lower in projects serving higher-acuity populations with severe service needs and vulnerabilities, including persons experiencing chronic homelessness, serious mental illness, substance use disorders, and/or survivors of domestic violence; and

Project size may influence performance percentages, particularly in smaller projects where a limited number of participant outcomes may significantly affect overall percentages.

Category	Question	Possible Points	Scoring Considerations	CoC Criteria Score	Reviewer s Score	Reviewer s Comments	Source Documentation/ Attachment
Project Performance	What was the project's average bed utilization rate during the operating year, based on APR bed utilization data?	5	85-100% = 5 70-84% = 3 55-69% = 2 Less than 55% = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score

	If the project utilization rate is less than 70%, explain the low utilization rate and how the project will improve this performance measure in the upcoming year. *(Limit 750 characters)*		Detailed and relevant explanation = 2 Limited explanation = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0				Attachment: Annual Performance Report
Project Performance	What percentage of program participants exited to positive or permanent housing destinations, excluding participants who passed away or exited to non-psychiatric hospitals, foster care, long-term care, or nursing homes? *(select one)* If the project is less than 85%, explain the low exits to positive or permanent housing destinations and how the project will improve this performance measure in the upcoming year. *(Limit 750 characters)*	10	95-100% = 10 90-94% = 8 85-89% = 6 80-84% = 4 75-79% = 2 Less than 75% = 0 Detailed and relevant explanation = 4 Limited explanation = 2 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score Attachment: Annual Performance Report
Project Performance	What is the project's median length of stay for participants who exited the project during the operating year? If the project median length of stay is less than 6 months, explain the factors contributing to shorter lengths of stay and how the project supports long-term housing stability and reduced returns to homelessness following project exit. *(Limit 750 characters)*	2	13-24 months = 2 6-12 months = 1 Less than 6 months = 0 Detailed and relevant explanation = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score & ND CoC Competition Application Attachment: Annual Performance Report
Project Performance	What percentage of participants exited to emergency shelter or places not meant for habitation? If the project is more than 10%, explain the high rate of returns to homelessness and how the project will improve this performance measure in the upcoming year. *(Limit 750 characters)*	7	0-5% = 7 6-10% = 5 11-15% = 3 16-20% = 1 More than 20% = 0 Detailed and relevant explanation = 3 Limited explanation = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score Attachment: Annual Performance Report
Project Performance	What percentage of adult stayers/leavers experienced an increase in cash income from project entry to the latest annual assessment or project exit, excluding stayers not yet required to complete an annual assessment? *(select one)* If the project is less than 40%, explain the low percentage of stayers/leavers with increased cash income and how the project will improve this performance measure in the upcoming year. *(Limit 750 characters)*	5	55% or higher = 5 40-54% = 4 30-39% = 3 20-29% = 2 15-19% = 1 Less than 15% = 0 Detailed and relevant explanation = 2 Limited explanation = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score Attachment: Annual Performance Report
Project Performance	What percentage of participants had at least one non-cash benefit at exit or follow-up assessment, excluding stayers not yet required to have an assessment? *(select one)* If the project is less than 40%, explain the low percentage of participants with at least one non-cash benefit and how the project will improve this performance measure in the upcoming year. *(Limit 750 characters)*	5	60% or higher = 5 40-59% = 3 Less than 40% = 0 Detailed and relevant explanation = 2 Limited explanation = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score Attachment: Annual Performance Report

Category	Question	Possible Points	Scoring Considerations	CoC Criteria Score	Reviewer s Score	Reviewer s Comments	Source Documentation/ Attachment
In this section: **Full Points = Response is detailed, project-specific, and demonstrates a clear operational plan** **Partial Points = Response addresses the topic but lacks sufficient detail or implementation strategy** **Zero Points = Response is missing, unrelated or does not demonstrate an adequate plan**							
Project Design	Provide a description that addresses the project's scope, including the target population and the plan for addressing the needs of the target population. *(Limit 2,500 characters)*	5	Full Points = 5 Partial Points = 3 Zero Points = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Project Design	Describe the project's supportive services and case management approach for participants. Include, as applicable to the project design: types of services provided (e.g., housing navigation, mainstream benefit assistance, SSI/SSDI assistance, behavioral health or substance use coordination, trauma-informed care, employment support, transportation assistance, etc.), frequency of participant engagement, population-specific services (e.g., youth, domestic violence, chronic homelessness), staff-to-participant ratio, coordination with community-based services, follow-up after project exit, and other pertinent operational information related to the project. (Limit 2,500 characters)	15	Full Points = 15 Partial Points = 8 Zero Points = 0				
Project Design	What percentage of participants served by the project were enrolled from unsheltered locations, emergency shelter, or situations involving fleeing/attempting to flee domestic violence?	1	85% or higher of participants served were enrolled from unsheltered locations, emergency shelter, or situations involving fleeing/attempting to flee domestic violence = 1 Less than 85% of participants served were enrolled from unsheltered locations, emergency shelter, or situations involving fleeing/attempting to flee domestic violence = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Project Design	Does the project attempt to follow up with participants at least semi-annually after project exit to ensure participants maintain access to mainstream benefits and community resources for up to 2 years?	2	Yes = 2 No = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Project Design	Are annual assessments completed within required HMIS and program timelines for participants who remain enrolled in the project?	2	Yes = 2 No = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application

Project Design	Describe the project's supportive service participation policies and participant engagement expectations, including how the project communicates, documents, and implements any required supportive service participation in accordance with 24 CFR 578.75(h), as applicable to the project design. Include any supportive service agreements, participation expectations, or participant engagement strategies utilized by the project. *(Limit 1,500 characters)*	3	Full Points = Response clearly describes participant engagement expectations, supportive service participation requirements (if applicable), and how participation is documented and implemented in accordance with project policies and 24 CFR 578.75(h) = 3 Partial Points = Response addresses supportive service participation policies or participant engagement expectations but lacks sufficient operational detail = 1 Zero Points = Response is missing, unrelated, or does not adequately address supportive service participation policies or requirements = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Project Design	Explain how the project will address the behavioral health and substance use service needs of participants, including whether treatment requirements apply, if applicable. Include any applicable project policies, occupancy agreements, referral partnerships, or service coordination strategies. (Limit 2,500 characters) If treatment participation is required, attach applicable occupancy agreements or policies.	3	Full Points = 3 Partial Points = 1 Zero Points = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application Attachment: Agreement(s)

Category	Question	Possible Points	Scoring Considerations	CoC Criteria Score	Reviewer s Score	Reviewer s Comments	Source Documentation/ Attachment
Financial Performance & Monitoring	What percentage of project funds remained unexpended at the end of the FY24 operating year for the grant awarded under Competition Year 2023? If the project had 5% or more of unexpended funds, explain why funds were not expended. If the project did not have a full operating year, explain the projected spend-down date and whether the project is on track for full expenditure of funds. *(Limit 750 characters)*	5	0-1.99% = 5 2-4.99% = 3 5% or more = 0 Project did not have a full operating year = N/A Detailed and relevant explanation = 2 Limited explanation = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score & ND CoC Competition Application
Financial Performance & Monitoring	Did the project complete required drawdowns at least quarterly?	2	Yes = 2 No = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score & Intent to Apply
Financial Performance & Monitoring	Is the agency free of HUD monitoring findings for CoC- or ESG-funded projects? If the agency has HUD monitoring findings, explain the findings, if they are resolved, or the plan, including the timeline, to resolve the findings. *(Limit 750 characters)*	2	Yes = 2 No = 0 Findings resolved or corrective action completed with documentation/timeline provided = 2 Findings not fully resolved, but agency demonstrates an active corrective action plan and timeline = 1 Missing, insufficient, or unrelated response = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score & ND CoC Competition Application Attachment: HUD monitoring, if needed
Financial Performance & Monitoring	Did the project fully participate in the ND CoC monitoring process, including promptly responding to requests for information and subsequent correspondence?	2	Yes = 2 No = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score Attachment: ND CoC monitoring, if needed
Financial Performance & Monitoring	Is the agency free of NDHFA monitoring findings for any CoC-, ESG-, or NDHG-funded projects? If the agency has NDHFA monitoring findings, explain the findings, if they are resolved, or the plan, including the timeline, to resolve the findings. *(Limit 750 characters)*	2	Yes = 2 No = 0 Findings resolved or corrective action completed with documentation/timeline provided = 2 Findings not fully resolved, but agency demonstrates an active corrective action plan and timeline = 1 Missing, insufficient, or unrelated response = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score

Category	Question	Possible Points	Scoring Considerations	CoC Criteria Score	Reviewer s Score	Reviewer s Comments	Source Documentation/ Attachment
HMIS & Data Quality	Does the project enter at least 90% of HMIS project start records within 10 days of participant project start dates? If less than 90% of HMIS project start records were entered within 10 days, explain the barriers contributing to delayed data entry and describe the steps the agency will take to improve HMIS data entry timeliness. *(Limit 750 characters)*	2	90-100% of records entered within 10 days = 2 Less than 90% of records entered within 10 days = 0 Detailed explanation and improvement plan = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated response = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score Attachment: Annual Performance Report
HMIS & Data Quality	Does the project maintain a combined HMIS data quality error rate of less than 3%? If the project has 6% or higher, explain why and how the agency will improve data completeness. *(Limit 750 characters)*	2	Less than 3% = 2 3-5.99% = 1 6% or higher = 0 Detailed explanation and improvement plan = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated response = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score Attachment: Annual Performance Report
HMIS & Data Quality	What is the average number of days between the project start date and the housing move-in date? If the project has 22 days or more, explain the delay between project start and housing move-in and how the agency will improve housing placement timeliness. *(Limit 750 characters)*	3	7 days or less = 3 8-14 days = 2 15-21 days = 1 22 days or more = 0 Detailed explanation and improvement plan = 2 Unacceptable/unrelated response = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score & ND CoC Competition Application Attachment: Annual Performance Report
	What is the project's total destination error rate?		0-9.99% = 3 10% or higher = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score & ND

HMIS & Data Quality	If the project has a destination error rate of 10% or higher, explain the causes of the errors and how the agency will improve data accuracy. *(Limit 750 characters)*	3	Detailed explanation and improvement plan = 2 Unacceptable/unrelated response = 0				CoC Competition Application Attachment: Annual Performance Report
Category	**Question**	**Possible Points**	**Scoring Considerations**	**CoC Criteria Score**	**Reviewer s Score**	**Reviewer s Comments**	**Source Documentation/ Attachment**
Coordinated Entry	Does the project fill all available units through the Coordinated Entry Prioritization List in accordance with ND CoC Coordinated Entry policies and HUD requirements?	2	Yes = 2 No = 0				Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Coordinated Entry	What percentage of Coordinated Entry referrals were rejected by the project? If the project rejection rate is 20% or higher, explain the reasons for the rejected referrals and how the agency will improve referral acceptance and placement outcomes. *(Limit 750 characters)*	2	Less than 15% = 2 15-19% = 1 20% or higher = 0 Detailed explanation and improvement plan = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated response = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score & ND CoC Competition Application Attachment: HMIS CE Referral Report

Application Information	
Applicant Name	
Funding Type (1A)	
Name of Project (1D)	
Amount Requested (1G)	
Consolidate/Expand (1K)	
Project Type (3A)	
100% Dedicated/ DedicatedPLUS (3C)	
Units/Beds (4B)	
Primary Contact	
Email Address	
Phone Number	
UIE Number	

Overview of Scoring		
Summary of Factors	**Possible Points**	**Reviewer s Score**
Agency Wide Scoring	**23**	**0**
ND CoC Participation	11	0
ND CoC Priority	5	0
Client Participation in Project Design	7	0
Project-Specific Scoring	**92**	**0**
Project Performance	34	0
Project Design	31	0
Financial Performance & Monitoring	13	0
HMIS & Data Quality	10	0
Coordinated Entry	4	0
Total Possible Points	**115**	**0**

AGENCY WIDE SCORING

Category	Question	Possible Points	Scoring Considerations	CoC Criteria Score	Reviewer s Score	Reviewer s Comments	Source Documentation/ Attachment
ND CoC Participation	Does the agency participate in the ND CoC and local efforts to address homelessness? (select one)	3	ND CoC member AND member of ND CoC committee AND active participation in local coalition/homeless response efforts = 3 ND CoC member AND member of ND CoC committee = 2 ND CoC Member = 1				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score
ND CoC Participation	Did agency staff participate in ND CoC meetings in the previous 12 months? *(select one)*	2	Staff participated in 100% of CoC quarterly meetings = 2 Staff participated in at least 50% of CoC quarterly meetings = 1 Staff participated in less than 50% of quarterly meetings = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score
ND CoC Participation	Did agency staff participate in ND CoC monthly trainings in the previous 12 months? *(select one)*	3	Staff participated in 100% of CoC monthly trainings = 3 Staff participated in at least 50% of CoC monthly trainings = 2 Staff participated in less than 50% of CoC monthly trainings = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score
ND CoC Participation	Did the agency participate in the unsheltered PIT Count for 2026? If no, explain why not.	2	Yes = 2 No = 0 Detailed explanation demonstrating reasonable circumstances or barriers to participation = 1				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score
ND CoC Participation	Did the agency submit PIT/HIC sheltered/housing information by the submission due date?	1	Yes = 1 No = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score

Category	Question	Possible Points	Scoring Considerations	CoC Criteria Score	Reviewer s Score	Reviewer s Comments	Source Documentation/ Attachment
ND CoC Priority	Does the project serve geographic areas of the state identified by the ND CoC as underserved or without existing CoC-funded housing/services projects? If yes, identify the region(s) the project will serve and describe how services and housing assistance will be provided within the identified area(s).	5	Serves area with no existing CoC-funded housing/services projects = 5 Expands services in historically underserved region with limited inventory = 3 Does not address identified geographic gap = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application

Category	Question	Possible Points	Scoring Considerations	CoC Criteria Score	Reviewer s Score	Reviewer s Comments	Source Documentation/ Attachment
Client Participation in Project Design	Does the agency engage individuals with lived experience in service design, project implementation, or organizational decision-making through any of the following strategies? (answer each statement; one point per strategy, up to 7 points)	7	7 strategies = 7 6 strategies = 6 5 strategies = 5 4 strategies = 4 3 strategies = 3 2 strategies = 2 1 strategy = 1 0 strategies = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application

PROJECT SPECIFIC SCORING - RENEWAL - TRANSITIONAL HOUSING

Projects will be scored based on HMIS/APR data and the submitted project application. Some criteria are pre-scored based on data and scoring scales. Panelists may adjust pre-scored criteria based on documented project context; however, adjustments may not exceed 20% of the maximum available points for the applicable scoring factor. When using discretion, panelists should keep in mind:

Outcomes may be lower in projects serving higher-acuity populations with severe service needs and vulnerabilities, including persons experiencing chronic homelessness, serious mental illness, substance use disorders, and/or survivors of domestic violence; and

Project size may influence performance percentages, particularly in smaller projects where a limited number of participant outcomes may significantly affect overall percentages.

Category	Question	Possible Points	Scoring Considerations	CoC Criteria Score	Reviewer s Score	Reviewer s Comments	Source Documentation/ Attachment
Project Performance	What was the project's average bed utilization rate during the operating year, based on APR bed utilization data?	5	85-100% = 5 70-84% = 3 55-69% = 2 Less than 55% = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score

	If the project utilization rate is less than 70%, explain the low utilization rate and how the project will improve this performance measure in the upcoming year. *(Limit 750 characters)*		Detailed and relevant explanation = 2 Limited explanation = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0				Attachment: Annual Performance Report
Project Performance	What percentage of program participants exited to positive or permanent housing destinations, excluding participants who passed away or exited to non-psychiatric hospitals, foster care, long-term care, or nursing homes? *(select one)* If the project is less than 85%, explain the low exits to positive or permanent housing and how the project will improve this performance measure in the upcoming year. *(Limit 750 characters)*	10	95-100% = 10 90-94% = 8 85-89% = 6 80-84% = 4 75-79% = 2 Less than 75% = 0 Detailed and relevant explanation = 4 Limited explanation = 2 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score Attachment: Annual Performance Report
Project Performance	What is the project's median length of stay for participants who exited the project during the operating year? If the project median length of stay is less than 6 months, explain the factors contributing to shorter lengths of stay and how the project supports long-term housing stability and reduced returns to homelessness following project exit. *(Limit 750 characters)*	2	13-24 months = 2 6-12 months = 1 Less than 6 months = 0 Detailed and relevant explanation = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score & ND CoC Competition Application Attachment: Annual Performance Report
Project Performance	What percentage of participants exited to emergency shelter or places not meant for habitation? If the project is more than 10%, explain the high rate of returns to homelessness and how the project will improve this performance measure in the upcoming year. *(Limit 750 characters)*	7	0-5% = 7 6-10% = 5 11-15% = 3 16-20% = 1 More than 20% = 0 Detailed and relevant explanation = 3 Limited explanation = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score Attachment: Annual Performance Report
Project Performance	What percentage of adult stayers/leavers experienced an increase in cash income from project entry to the latest annual assessment or project exit, excluding stayers not yet required to complete an annual assessment? *(select one)* If the project is less than 40%, explain the low percentage of stayers/leavers with increased cash income and how the project will improve this performance measure in the upcoming year. *(Limit 750 characters)*	5	55% or higher = 5 40-54% = 4 30-39% = 3 20-29% = 2 15-19% = 1 Less than 15% = 0 Detailed and relevant explanation = 2 Limited explanation = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score Attachment: Annual Performance Report
Project Performance	What percentage of participants had at least one non-cash benefit at exit or follow-up assessment, excluding stayers not yet required to have an assessment? *(select one)* If the project is less than 40%, explain the low percentage of participants with at least one non-cash benefit and how the project will improve this performance measure in the upcoming year. *(Limit 750 characters)*	5	60% or higher = 5 40-59% = 3 Less than 40% = 0 Detailed and relevant explanation = 2 Limited explanation = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score Attachment: Annual Performance Report

Category	Question	Possible Points	Scoring Considerations	CoC Criteria Score	Reviewer s Score	Reviewer s Comments	Source Documentation/ Attachment
In this section: **Full Points = Response is detailed, project-specific, and demonstrates a clear operational plan** **Partial Points = Response addresses the topic but lacks sufficient detail or implementation strategy** **Zero Points = Response is missing, unrelated or does not demonstrate an adequate plan**							
Project Design	Provide a description that addresses the project's scope, including the target population and the plan for addressing the needs of the target population. *(Limit 2,500 characters)*	5	Full Points = 5 Partial Points = 3 Zero Points = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Project Design	Describe the project's supportive services and case management approach for participants. Include, as applicable to the project design: types of services provided (e.g., housing navigation, mainstream benefit assistance, SSI/SSDI assistance, behavioral health or substance use coordination, trauma-informed care, employment support, transportation assistance, etc.), frequency of participant engagement, population-specific services (e.g., youth, domestic violence, chronic homelessness), staff-to-participant ratio, coordination with community-based services, follow-up after project exit, and other pertinent operational information related to the project. (Limit 2,500 characters)	15	Full Points = 15 Partial Points = 8 Zero Points = 0				
Project Design	What percentage of participants served by the project were enrolled from unsheltered locations, emergency shelter, or situations involving fleeing/attempting to flee domestic violence?	1	85% or higher of participants served were enrolled from unsheltered locations, emergency shelter, or situations involving fleeing/attempting to flee domestic violence = 1 Less than 85% of participants served were enrolled from unsheltered locations, emergency shelter, or situations involving fleeing/attempting to flee domestic violence = 0				Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Project Design	Does the project attempt to follow up with participants at least semi-annually after project exit to ensure participants maintain access to mainstream benefits and community resources for up to 2 years?	2	Yes = 2 No = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Project Design	Are annual assessments completed within required HMIS and program timelines for participants who remain enrolled in the project?	2	Yes = 2 No = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application

Project Design	Describe the project's supportive service participation policies and participant engagement expectations, including how the project communicates, documents, and implements any required supportive service participation in accordance with 24 CFR 578.75(h), as applicable to the project design. Include any supportive service agreements, participation expectations, or participant engagement strategies utilized by the project. *(Limit 1,500 characters)*	3	Full Points = Response clearly describes participant engagement expectations, supportive service participation requirements (if applicable), and how participation is documented and implemented in accordance with project policies and 24 CFR 578.75(h) = 3 Partial Points = Response addresses supportive service participation policies or participant engagement expectations but lacks sufficient operational detail = 1 Zero Points = Response is missing, unrelated, or does not adequately address supportive service participation policies or requirements = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Project Design	Explain how the project will address the behavioral health and substance use service needs of participants, including whether treatment requirements apply, if applicable. Include any applicable project policies, occupancy agreements, referral partnerships, or service coordination strategies. (Limit 2,500 characters) If treatment participation is required, attach applicable occupancy agreements or policies.	3	Full Points = 3 Partial Points = 1 Zero Points = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application Attachment: Agreement(s)

Category	Question	Possible Points	Scoring Considerations	CoC Criteria Score	Reviewer s Score	Reviewer s Comments	Source Documentation/ Attachment
Financial Performance & Monitoring	What percentage of project funds remained unexpended at the end of the FY24 operating year for the grant awarded under Competition Year 2023? If the project had 5% or more of unexpended funds, explain why funds were not expended. If the project did not have a full operating year, explain the projected spend-down date and whether the project is on track for full expenditure of funds. *(Limit 750 characters)*	5	0-1% = 5 2-4% = 3 5% or more = 0 Project did not have a full operating year = N/A Detailed and relevant explanation = 2 Limited explanation = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score & ND CoC Competition Application
Financial Performance & Monitoring	Did the project complete required drawdowns at least quarterly?	2	Yes = 2 No = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score & Intent to Apply
Financial Performance & Monitoring	Is the agency free of HUD monitoring findings for CoC- or ESG-funded projects? If the agency has HUD monitoring findings, explain the findings, if they are resolved, or the plan, including the timeline, to resolve the findings. *(Limit 750 characters)*	2	Yes = 2 No = 0 Findings resolved or corrective action completed with documentation/timeline provided = 2 Findings not fully resolved, but agency demonstrates an active corrective action plan and timeline = 1 Missing, insufficient, or unrelated response = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score & ND CoC Competition Application Attachment: HUD monitoring, if needed
Financial Performance & Monitoring	Did the project fully participate in the ND CoC monitoring process, including promptly responding to requests for information and subsequent correspondence?	2	Yes = 2 No = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score Attachment: ND CoC monitoring, if needed
Financial Performance & Monitoring	Is the agency free of NDHFA monitoring findings for any CoC-, ESG-, or NDHG-funded projects? If the agency has NDHFA monitoring findings, explain the findings, if they are resolved, or the plan, including the timeline, to resolve the findings. *(Limit 750 characters)*	2	Yes = 2 No = 0 Findings resolved or corrective action completed with documentation/timeline provided = 2 Findings not fully resolved, but agency demonstrates an active corrective action plan and timeline = 1 Missing, insufficient, or unrelated response = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score

Category	Question	Possible Points	Scoring Considerations	CoC Criteria Score	Reviewer s Score	Reviewer s Comments	Source Documentation/ Attachment
HMIS & Data Quality	Does the project enter at least 90% of HMIS project start records within 10 days of participant project start dates? If less than 90% of HMIS project start records were entered within 10 days, explain the barriers contributing to delayed data entry and describe the steps the agency will take to improve HMIS data entry timeliness. *(Limit 750 characters)*	2	90-100% of records entered within 10 days = 2 Less than 90% of records entered within 10 days = 0 Detailed explanation and improvement plan = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated response = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score Attachment: Annual Performance Report
HMIS & Data Quality	Does the project maintain a combined HMIS data quality error rate of less than 3%? If the project has 6% or higher, explain why and how the agency will improve data completeness. *(Limit 750 characters)*	2	Less than 3% = 2 3-5.99% = 1 6% or higher = 0 Detailed explanation and improvement plan = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated response = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score Attachment: Annual Performance Report
HMIS & Data Quality	What is the average number of days between project start date and housing move-in date? If the project has 22 days or more, explain the delay between project start and housing move-in and how the agency will improve housing placement timeliness. *(Limit 750 characters)*	3	7 days or less = 3 8-14 days = 2 15-21 days = 1 22 days or more = 0 Detailed explanation and improvement plan = 2 Unacceptable/unrelated response = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score & ND CoC Competition Application Attachment: Annual Performance Report
	What is the project's total destination error rate?		0-9% = 3 10% or higher = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score & ND

HMIS & Data Quality	If the project has a destination error rate of 10% or higher, explain the causes of the errors and how the agency will improve data accuracy. *(Limit 750 characters)*	3	Detailed explanation and improvement plan = 2 Unacceptable/unrelated response = 0				CoC Competition Application Attachment: Annual Performance Report
Category	**Question**	**Possible Points**	**Scoring Considerations**	**CoC Criteria Score**	**Reviewer s Score**	**Reviewer s Comments**	**Source Documentation/ Attachment**
Coordinated Entry	Does the project fill all available units through the Coordinated Entry Prioritization List in accordance with ND CoC Coordinated Entry policies and HUD requirements?	2	Yes = 2 No = 0				Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Coordinated Entry	What percentage of Coordinated Entry referrals were rejected by the project? If the project rejection rate is 20% or higher, explain the reasons for the rejected referrals and how the agency will improve referral acceptance and placement outcomes. *(Limit 750 characters)*	2	Less than 15% = 2 15-19% = 1 20% or higher = 0 Detailed explanation and improvement plan = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated response = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score & ND CoC Competition Application Attachment: HMIS CE Referral Report

Application Information	
Applicant Name	
Funding Type (1A)	
Name of Project (1D)	
Amount Requested (1G)	
Consolidate/Expand (1K)	
Project Type (3A)	
100% Dedicated/ DedicatedPLUS (3C)	
Units/Beds (4B)	
Primary Contact	
Email Address	
Phone Number	
UIE Number	

Overview of Scoring		
Summary of Factors	**Possible Points**	**Reviewer s Score**
Agency Wide Scoring	**23**	**0**
ND CoC Participation	11	0
ND CoC Priority	5	0
Client Participation in Project Design	7	0
Project-Specific Scoring	**83**	**0**
Project Performance	27	0
Project Design	31	0
Financial Performance & Monitoring	13	0
HMIS & Data Quality	7	0
Coordinated Entry	5	0
Total Possible Points	**106**	**0**

AGENCY WIDE SCORING

Category	Question	Possible Points	Scoring Considerations	CoC Criteria Score	Reviewer s Score	Reviewer s Comments	Source Documentation/ Attachment
ND CoC Participation	Does the agency participate in the ND CoC and local efforts to address homelessness? (select one)	3	ND CoC member AND member of ND CoC committee AND active participation in local coalition/homeless response efforts = 3 ND CoC member AND member of ND CoC committee = 2 ND CoC Member = 1				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score
ND CoC Participation	Did agency staff participate in ND CoC meetings in the previous 12 months? *(select one)*	2	Staff participated in 100% of CoC quarterly meetings = 2 Staff participated in at least 50% of CoC quarterly meetings = 1 Staff participated in less than 50% of quarterly meetings = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score
ND CoC Participation	Did agency staff participate in ND CoC monthly trainings in the previous 12 months? *(select one)*	3	Staff participated in 100% of CoC monthly trainings = 3 Staff participated in at least 50% of CoC monthly trainings = 2 Staff participated in less than 50% of CoC monthly trainings = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score
ND CoC Participation	Did the agency participate in the unsheltered PIT Count for 2026? If no, explain why not.	2	Yes = 2 No = 0 Detailed explanation demonstrating reasonable circumstances or barriers to participation = 1				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score
ND CoC Participation	Did the agency submit PIT/HIC sheltered/housing information by the submission due date?	1	Yes = 1 No = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score

Category	Question	Possible Points	Scoring Considerations	CoC Criteria Score	Reviewer s Score	Reviewer s Comments	Source Documentation/ Attachment
ND CoC Priority	Does the project serve geographic areas of the state identified by the ND CoC as underserved or without existing CoC-funded housing/services projects? If yes, identify the region(s) the project will serve and describe how services and housing assistance will be provided within the identified area(s).	5	Serves area with no existing CoC-funded housing/services projects = 5 Expands services in historically underserved region with limited inventory = 3 Does not address identified geographic gap = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application

Category	Question	Possible Points	Scoring Considerations	CoC Criteria Score	Reviewer s Score	Reviewer s Comments	Source Documentation/ Attachment
Client Participation in Project Design	Does the agency engage individuals with lived experience in service design, project implementation, or organizational decision-making through any of the following strategies? (answer each statement; one point per strategy, up to 7 points)	7	7 strategies = 7 6 strategies = 6 5 strategies = 5 4 strategies = 4 3 strategies = 3 2 strategies = 2 1 strategy = 1 0 strategies = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application

PROJECT SPECIFIC SCORING - RENEWAL - SUPPORTIVE SERVICES ONLY

Projects will be scored based on HMIS/APR data and the submitted project application. Some criteria are pre-scored based on data and scoring scales. Panelists may adjust pre-scored criteria based on documented project context; however, adjustments may not exceed 20% of the maximum available points for the applicable scoring factor. When using discretion, panelists should keep in mind:

Outcomes may be lower in projects serving higher-acuity populations with severe service needs and vulnerabilities, including persons experiencing chronic homelessness, serious mental illness, substance use disorders, and/or survivors of domestic violence; and

Project size may influence performance percentages, particularly in smaller projects where a limited number of participant outcomes may significantly affect overall percentages.

Category	Question	Possible Points	Scoring Considerations	CoC Criteria Score	Reviewer s Score	Reviewer s Comments	Source Documentation/ Attachment

Project Performance	What percentage of program participants exited to positive or permanent housing destinations, excluding participants who passed away or exited to non-psychiatric hospitals, foster care, long-term care, or nursing homes? *(select one)* If the project is less than 85%, explain the low exits to positive or permanent housing destinations and how the project will improve this performance measure in the upcoming year. *(Limit 750 characters)*	10	95-100% = 10 90-94% = 8 85-89% = 6 80-84% = 4 75-79% = 2 Less than 75% = 0 Detailed and relevant explanation = 4 Limited explanation = 2 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score Attachment: Annual Performance Report
Project Performance	What percentage of participants exited to emergency shelter or places not meant for habitation? If the project is more than 10%, explain the high rate of returns to homelessness and how the project will improve this performance measure in the upcoming year. *(Limit 750 characters)*	7	0-5% = 7 6-10% = 5 11-15% = 3 16-20% = 1 More than 20% = 0 Detailed and relevant explanation = 3 Limited explanation = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score Attachment: Annual Performance Report
Project Performance	What percentage of adult stayers/leavers experienced an increase in cash income from project entry to the latest annual assessment or project exit, excluding stayers not yet required to complete an annual assessment? *(select one)* If the project is less than 40%, explain the low percentage of stayers/leavers with increased cash income and how the project will improve this performance measure in the upcoming year. *(Limit 750 characters)*	5	55% or higher = 5 40-54% = 4 30-39% = 3 20-29% = 2 15-19% = 1 Less than 15% = 0 Detailed and relevant explanation = 2 Limited explanation = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score Attachment: Annual Performance Report
Project Performance	What percentage of participants had at least one non-cash benefit at exit or follow-up assessment, excluding stayers not yet required to have an assessment? *(select one)* If the project is less than 40%, explain the low percentage of participants with at least one non-cash benefit and how the project will improve this performance measure in the upcoming year. *(Limit 750 characters)*	5	60% or higher = 5 40-59% = 3 Less than 40% = 0 Detailed and relevant explanation = 2 Limited explanation = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score Attachment: Annual Performance Report
Category	**Question**	**Possible Points**	**Scoring Considerations**	**CoC Criteria Score**	**Reviewer s Score**	**Reviewer s Comments**	**Source Documentation/ Attachment**
In this section: **Full Points = Response is detailed, project-specific, and demonstrates a clear operational plan** **Partial Points = Response addresses the topic but lacks sufficient detail or implementation strategy** **Zero Points = Response is missing, unrelated or does not demonstrate an adequate plan**							
Project Design	Provide a description that addresses the project's scope, including the target population and the plan for addressing the needs of the target population. *(Limit 2,500 characters)*	5	Full Points = 5 Partial Points = 3 Zero Points = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Project Design	Describe the project's supportive services and case management approach for participants. Include, as applicable to the project design: types of services provided (e.g., housing navigation, mainstream benefit assistance, SSI/SSDI assistance, behavioral health or substance use coordination, trauma-informed care, employment support, transportation assistance, etc.), frequency of participant engagement, population-specific services (e.g., youth, domestic violence, chronic homelessness), staff-to-participant ratio, coordination with community-based services, follow-up after project exit, and other pertinent operational information related to the project. (Limit 2,500 characters)	15	Full Points = 15 Partial Points = 8 Zero Points = 0				
Project Design	What percentage of participants served by the project were enrolled from unsheltered locations, emergency shelter, or situations involving fleeing/attempting to flee domestic violence?	1	85% or higher of participants served were enrolled from unsheltered locations, emergency shelter, or situations involving fleeing/attempting to flee domestic violence = 1 Less than 85% of participants served were enrolled from unsheltered locations, emergency shelter, or situations involving fleeing/attempting to flee domestic violence = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Project Design	Does the project attempt to follow up with participants at least semi-annually after project exit to ensure participants maintain access to mainstream benefits and community resources for up to 2 years?	2	Yes = 2 No = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Project Design	Are annual assessments completed within required HMIS and program timelines for participants who remain enrolled in the project?	2	Yes = 2 No = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Project Design	Describe the project's supportive service participation policies and participant engagement expectations, including how the project communicates, documents, and implements any required supportive service participation in accordance with 24 CFR 578.75(h), as applicable to the project design. Include any supportive service agreements, participation expectations, or participant engagement strategies utilized by the project. *(Limit 1,500 characters)*	3	Full Points = Response clearly describes participant engagement expectations, supportive service participation requirements (if applicable), and how participation is documented and implemented in accordance with project policies and 24 CFR 578.75(h) = 3 Partial Points = Response addresses supportive service participation policies or participant engagement expectations but lacks sufficient operational detail = 1 Zero Points = Response is missing, unrelated, or does not adequately address supportive service participation policies or requirements = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application

Project Design	Explain how the project will address the behavioral health and substance use service needs of participants, including whether treatment requirements apply, if applicable. Include any applicable project policies, occupancy agreements, referral partnerships, or service coordination strategies. (Limit 2,500 characters) If treatment participation is required, attach applicable occupancy agreements or policies.	3	Full Points = 3 Partial Points = 1 Zero Points = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application Attachment: Agreement(s)

Category	Question	Possible Points	Scoring Considerations	CoC Criteria Score	Reviewer s Score	Reviewer s Comments	Source Documentation/ Attachment
Financial Performance & Monitoring	What percentage of project funds remained unexpended at the end of the FY24 operating year for the grant awarded under Competition Year 2023? If the project had 5% or more of unexpended funds, explain why funds were not expended. If the project did not have a full operating year, explain the projected spend-down date and whether the project is on track for full expenditure of funds. *(Limit 750 characters)*	5	0-1% = 5 2-4% = 3 5% or more = 0 Project did not have a full operating year = N/A Detailed and relevant explanation = 2 Limited explanation = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score & ND CoC Competition Application
Financial Performance & Monitoring	Did the project complete required drawdowns at least quarterly?	2	Yes = 2 No = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score & Intent to Apply
Financial Performance & Monitoring	Is the agency free of HUD monitoring findings for CoC- or ESG-funded projects? If the agency has HUD monitoring findings, explain the findings, if they are resolved, or the plan, including the timeline, to resolve the findings. *(Limit 750 characters)*	2	Yes = 2 No = 0 Findings resolved or corrective action completed with documentation/timeline provided = 2 Findings not fully resolved, but agency demonstrates an active corrective action plan and timeline = 1 Missing, insufficient, or unrelated response = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score & ND CoC Competition Application Attachment: HUD monitoring, if needed
Financial Performance & Monitoring	Did the project fully participate in the ND CoC monitoring process, including promptly responding to requests for information and subsequent correspondence?	2	Yes = 2 No = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score Attachment: ND CoC monitoring, if needed
Financial Performance & Monitoring	Is the agency free of NDHFA monitoring findings for any CoC-, ESG-, or NDHG-funded projects? If the agency has NDHFA monitoring findings, explain the findings, if they are resolved, or the plan, including the timeline, to resolve the findings. *(Limit 750 characters)*	2	Yes = 2 No = 0 Findings resolved or corrective action completed with documentation/timeline provided = 2 Findings not fully resolved, but agency demonstrates an active corrective action plan and timeline = 1 Missing, insufficient, or unrelated response = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score

Category	Question	Possible Points	Scoring Considerations	CoC Criteria Score	Reviewer s Score	Reviewer s Comments	Source Documentation/ Attachment
HMIS & Data Quality	Does the project enter at least 90% of HMIS project start records within 10 days of participant project start dates? If less than 90% of HMIS project start records were entered within 10 days, explain the barriers contributing to delayed data entry and describe the steps the agency will take to improve HMIS data entry timeliness. *(Limit 750 characters)*	2	90-100% of records entered within 10 days = 2 Less than 90% of records entered within 10 days = 0 Detailed explanation and improvement plan = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated response = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score Attachment: Annual Performance Report
HMIS & Data Quality	Does the project maintain a combined HMIS data quality error rate of less than 3%? If the project has 6% or higher, explain why and how the agency will improve data completeness. *(Limit 750 characters)*	2	Less than 3% = 2 3-5.99% = 1 6% or higher = 0 Detailed explanation and improvement plan = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated response = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score Attachment: Annual Performance Report
HMIS & Data Quality	What is the project's total destination error rate? If the project has a destination error rate of 10% or higher, explain the causes of the errors and how the agency will improve data accuracy. *(Limit 750 characters)*	3	0-9% = 3 10% or higher = 0 Detailed explanation and improvement plan = 2 Unacceptable/unrelated response = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score & ND CoC Competition Application Attachment: Annual Performance Report

Category	Question	Possible Points	Scoring Considerations	CoC Criteria Score	Reviewer s Score	Reviewer s Comments	Source Documentation/ Attachment
Coordinated Entry	Does the project participate in the ND CoC Coordinated Entry process by conducting coordinated entry assessments and placing eligible participants on the prioritization list, as applicable to the project design?	2	Yes = 2 No = 0				Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Coordinated Entry	If a participant is referred to housing, is the project working with the participant to find housing and provide documentation to the housing provider?	3	Yes = 3 No = 0				Source: ND CoC Competition Application

Application Information	
Applicant Name	
Funding Type (1A)	
Name of Project (1D)	
Amount Requested (1G)	
Consolidate/Expand (1K)	
Project Type (3A)	
100% Dedicated/ DedicatedPLUS (3C)	
Units/Beds (4B)	
Primary Contact	
Email Address	
Phone Number	
UIE Number	

Overview of Scoring		
Summary of Factors	**Possible Points**	**Reviewer s Score**
Agency Wide Scoring	**23**	**0**
ND CoC Participation	11	0
ND CoC Priority	5	0
Client Participation in Project Design	7	0
Project-Specific Scoring	**98**	**0**
Project Performance	30	0
Project Design	25	0
Financial Performance & Monitoring	13	0
HMIS & Data Quality	10	0
Coordinated Entry	20	0
Total Possible Points	**121**	**0**

AGENCY WIDE SCORING

Category	Question	Possible Points	Scoring Considerations	CoC Criteria Score	Reviewer s Score	Reviewer s Comments	Source Documentation/ Attachment
ND CoC Participation	Does the agency participate in the ND CoC and local efforts to address homelessness? (select one)	3	ND CoC member AND member of ND CoC committee AND active participation in local coalition/homeless response efforts = 3 ND CoC member AND member of ND CoC committee = 2 ND CoC Member = 1				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score
ND CoC Participation	Did agency staff participate in ND CoC meetings in the previous 12 months? *(select one)*	2	Staff participated in 100% of CoC quarterly meetings = 2 Staff participated in at least 50% of CoC quarterly meetings = 1 Staff participated in less than 50% of quarterly meetings = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score
ND CoC Participation	Did agency staff participate in ND CoC monthly trainings in the previous 12 months? *(select one)*	3	Staff participated in 100% of CoC monthly trainings = 3 Staff participated in at least 50% of CoC monthly trainings = 2 Staff participated in less than 50% of CoC monthly trainings = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score
ND CoC Participation	Did the agency participate in the unsheltered PIT Count for 2026? If no, explain why not.	2	Yes = 2 No = 0 Detailed explanation demonstrating reasonable circumstances or barriers to participation = 1				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score
ND CoC Participation	Did the agency submit PIT/HIC sheltered/housing information by the submission due date?	1	Yes = 1 No = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score

Category	Question	Possible Points	Scoring Considerations	CoC Criteria Score	Reviewer s Score	Reviewer s Comments	Source Documentation/ Attachment
ND CoC Priority	Does the project serve geographic areas of the state identified by the ND CoC as underserved or without existing CoC-funded housing/services projects? If yes, identify the region(s) the project will serve and describe how services and housing assistance will be provided within the identified area(s).	5	Serves area with no existing CoC-funded housing/services projects = 5 Expands services in historically underserved region with limited inventory = 3 Does not address identified geographic gap = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application

Category	Question	Possible Points	Scoring Considerations	CoC Criteria Score	Reviewer s Score	Reviewer s Comments	Source Documentation/ Attachment
Client Participation in Project Design	Does the agency engage individuals with lived experience in service design, project implementation, or organizational decision-making through any of the following strategies? (answer each statement; one point per strategy, up to 7 points)	7	7 strategies = 7 6 strategies = 6 5 strategies = 5 4 strategies = 4 3 strategies = 3 2 strategies = 2 1 strategy = 1 0 strategies = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application

PROJECT SPECIFIC SCORING - RENEWAL - SUPPORTIVE SERVICES ONLY-COORDINATED ENTRY

Projects will be scored based on HMIS/APR data and the submitted project application. Some criteria are pre-scored based on data and scoring scales. Panelists may adjust pre-scored criteria based on documented project context; however, adjustments may not exceed 20% of the maximum

Outcomes may be lower in projects serving higher-acuity populations with severe service needs and vulnerabilities, including persons experiencing chronic homelessness, serious mental illness, substance use disorders, and/or survivors of domestic violence; and
Project size may influence performance percentages, particularly in smaller projects where a limited number of participant outcomes may significantly affect overall percentages.

Category	Question	Possible Points	Scoring Considerations	CoC Criteria Score	Reviewer s Score	Reviewer s Comments	Source Documentation/ Attachment
Project Performance	What percentage of referrals generated through the Coordinated Entry process resulted in successful enrollment in a housing or service program? *(select one)* If the project is less than 70%, explain the barriers to successful referrals and how the project will improve this performance measure in the upcoming year. *(Limit 750 characters)*	10	90-100% = 10 80-89% = 8 70-79% = 6 60-69% = 4 50-59% = 2 Less than 50% = 0 Detailed and relevant explanation = 4 Limited explanation = 2 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0				Source: ND CoC Competition Application

Project Performance	What percentage of participants received a referral to housing or services within 60 days of completing a Coordinated Entry assessment or being placed on the prioritization list? If the project is less than 80%, explain the barriers to timely referrals and how the project will improve this performance measure in the upcoming year. *(Limit 750 characters)*	7	90-100% = 7 80-89% = 5 70-79% = 3 60-69% = 1 Less than 60% = 0 Detailed and relevant explanation = 3 Limited explanation = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0				Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Project Performance	Describe referral activity during the operating year, including trends, barriers, or successes in connecting participants to housing and services through the Coordinated Entry process. *(Limit 2,500 characters)*	3	Detailed and relevant explanation = 3 Limited explanation = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0				Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Project Performance	Describe the project's impact on Coordinated Entry system performance during the operating year, including measurable improvements to access, referral processes, prioritization, system coordination, or other measures of effectiveness and efficiency. *(Limit 2,500 characters)*	10	Detailed and relevant explanation = 10 Limited explanation = 5 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0				Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Category	**Question**	**Possible Points**	**Scoring Considerations**	**CoC Criteria Score**	**Reviewer s Score**	**Reviewer s Comments**	**Source Documentation/ Attachment**
In this section: **Full Points = Response is detailed, project-specific, and demonstrates a clear operational plan** **Partial Points = Response addresses the topic but lacks sufficient detail or implementation strategy** **Zero Points = Response is missing, unrelated or does not demonstrate an adequate plan**							
Project Design	Provide a description that addresses the project's scope, including the target population, geographic coverage area, and the project's role within the ND CoC Coordinated Entry system. *(Limit 2,500 characters)*	5	Full Points = 5 Partial Points = 3 Zero Points = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Project Design	Describe how the project supported Coordinated Entry assessments, prioritization, referrals, navigation, by-name list management, data quality, follow-up, and participant engagement during the operating year. Include any population-specific approaches, internal operational practices, system improvements implemented during the operating year, and other pertinent operational information related to the project. *(Limit 2,500 characters)*	10	Full Points = 10 Partial Points = 5 Zero Points = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Project Design	What percentage of participants assessed through or served by Coordinated Entry were enrolled from unsheltered locations, emergency shelter, or situations involving fleeing/attempting to flee domestic violence?	1	85% or higher of participants served were enrolled from unsheltered locations, emergency shelter, or situations involving fleeing/attempting to flee domestic violence = 1 Less than 85% of participants served were enrolled from unsheltered locations, emergency shelter, or situations involving fleeing/attempting to flee domestic violence = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Project Design	Does the project conduct follow-up activities to monitor referral outcomes and participant engagement following Coordinated Entry referrals, as applicable to the project design?	2	Yes = 2 No = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Project Design	Are Coordinated Entry assessments, prioritization activities, and referral documentation maintained in accordance with ND CoC policies and HMIS requirements?	2	Yes = 2 No = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Project Design	Describe the project's participant engagement practices and procedures, including how participants are informed of Coordinated Entry processes, participant choice, referral options, and applicable project expectations. *(Limit 1,500 characters)*	3	Full Points = 3 Partial Points = 1 Zero Points = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Project Design	Explain how the project addresses behavioral health and substance use service needs through referral partnerships, coordination strategies, and connection to community-based resources, including mental health and substance use treatment providers, as applicable to the project design. *(Limit 2,500 characters)*	2	Full Points = 2 Partial Points = 1 Zero Points = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Category	**Question**	**Possible Points**	**Scoring Considerations**	**CoC Criteria Score**	**Reviewer s Score**	**Reviewer s Comments**	**Source Documentation/ Attachment**
Financial Performance & Monitoring	What percentage of project funds remained unexpended at the end of the FY24 operating year for the grant awarded under Competition Year 2023? If the project had 5% or more of unexpended funds, explain why funds were not expended. If the project did not have a full operating year, explain the projected spend-down date and whether the project is on track for full expenditure of funds. *(Limit 750 characters)*	5	0-1% = 5 2-4% = 3 5% or more = 0 Project did not have a full operating year = N/A Detailed and relevant explanation = 2 Limited explanation = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score & ND CoC Competition Application
Financial Performance & Monitoring	Did the project complete required drawdowns at least quarterly?	2	Yes = 2 No = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score & Intent to Apply
Financial Performance & Monitoring	Is the agency free of HUD monitoring findings for CoC- or ESG-funded projects? If the agency has HUD monitoring findings, explain the findings, if they are resolved, or the plan, including the timeline, to resolve the findings. *(Limit 750 characters)*	2	Yes = 2 No = 0 Findings resolved or corrective action completed with documentation/timeline provided = 2 Findings not fully resolved, but agency demonstrates an active corrective action plan and timeline = 1 Missing, insufficient, or unrelated response = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score & ND CoC Competition Application Attachment: HUD

Financial Performance & Monitoring	Did the project fully participate in the ND CoC monitoring process, including promptly responding to requests for information and subsequent correspondence?	2	Yes = 2 No = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score Attachment: ND CoC monitoring, if needed
Financial Performance & Monitoring	Is the agency free of NDHFA monitoring findings for any CoC-, ESG-, or NDHG-funded projects? If the agency has NDHFA monitoring findings, explain the findings, if they are resolved, or the plan, including the timeline, to resolve the findings. *(Limit 750 characters)*	2	Yes = 2 No = 0 Findings resolved or corrective action completed with documentation/timeline provided = 2 Findings not fully resolved, but agency demonstrates an active corrective action plan and timeline = 1 Missing, insufficient, or unrelated response = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score
Category	**Question**	**Possible Points**	**Scoring Considerations**	**CoC Criteria Score**	**Reviewer s Score**	**Reviewer s Comments**	**Source Documentation/ Attachment**
HMIS & Data Quality	Are Coordinated Entry assessments, prioritization records, and referral data entered and maintained in HMIS or a comparable database in accordance with ND CoC policies and timelines?	2	Yes = 2 No = 0				Source: ND CoC Competition Application
HMIS & Data Quality	Does the project maintain a combined HMIS data quality error rate of less than 3%? If the project has 6% or higher, explain why and how the agency will improve data completeness. *(Limit 750 characters)*	2	Less than 3% = 2 3-5.99% = 1 6% or higher = 0 Detailed explanation and improvement plan = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated response = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score Attachment: Annual Performance Report
HMIS & Data Quality	Describe how the project ensures the accuracy, maintenance, and timely updating of Coordinated Entry prioritization lists, by-name lists, and referral records. *(Limit 1,500 characters)*	3	Detailed and relevant explanation = 3 Limited explanation = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0				Source: ND CoC Competition Application
HMIS & Data Quality	Explain the safeguards and procedures in place to protect participant information and ensure confidentiality within Coordinated Entry and HMIS processes. *(Limit 1,500 characters)*	3	Detailed and relevant explanation = 3 Limited explanation = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0				Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Category	**Question**	**Possible Points**	**Scoring Considerations**	**CoC Criteria Score**	**Reviewer s Score**	**Reviewer s Comments**	**Source Documentation/ Attachment**
Coordinated Entry	Describe how the project operated in accordance with ND CoC Coordinated Entry policies and procedures during the operating year, including assessment, prioritization, referrals, participant choice, and non-discrimination requirements. *(Limit 2,500 characters)*	5	Detailed and relevant explanation = 5 Limited explanation = 3 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0				Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Coordinated Entry	Explain how the project ensured equitable access, including strategies to identify and engage households experiencing the highest needs and vulnerabilities, to Coordinated Entry services for all eligible households, including persons in rural areas, survivors of domestic violence, youth, veterans, and persons with disabilities, and ensuring reasonable accommodations when needed. *(Limit 2,500 characters)*	5	Detailed and relevant explanation = 5 Limited explanation = 3 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0				Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Coordinated Entry	Describe how the project coordinated with housing providers, shelters, victim service providers, behavioral health providers, employment and workforce partners, mainstream benefit systems, and other community partners during the operating year. *(Limit 2,500 characters)*	5	Detailed and relevant explanation = 5 Limited explanation = 3 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0				Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Coordinated Entry	Describe how the project monitored Coordinated Entry performance and used data, including referral outcomes, to evaluate and improve system outcomes, referral processes, and quality improvement activities during the operating year. *(Limit 2,500 characters)*	5	Detailed and relevant explanation = 5 Limited explanation = 3 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0				Source: ND CoC Competition Application

Application Information	
Applicant Name	
Funding Type (1A)	
Name of Project (1D)	
Amount Requested (1G)	
Consolidate/Expand (1K)	
Project Type (3A)	
100% Dedicated/ DedicatedPLUS (3C)	
Units/Beds (4B)	
Primary Contact	
Email Address	
Phone Number	
UIE Number	

Overview of Scoring		
Summary of Factors	**Possible Points**	**Reviewer s Score**
Agency Wide Scoring	**23**	**0**
ND CoC Participation	11	0
ND CoC Priority	5	0
Client Participation in Project Design	7	0
Project-Specific Scoring	**70**	**0**
Project Performance	32	0
Project Design	10	0
Financial Performance & Monitoring	13	0
Provider Support & Training	10	0
Coordinated Entry	5	0
Total Possible Points	**93**	**0**

AGENCY WIDE SCORING

Category	Question	Possible Points	Scoring Considerations	CoC Criteria Score	Reviewer s Score	Reviewer s Comments	Source Documentation/ Attachment
ND CoC Participation	Does the agency participate in the ND CoC and local efforts to address homelessness? (select one)	3	ND CoC member AND member of ND CoC committee AND active participation in local coalition/homeless response efforts = 3 ND CoC member AND member of ND CoC committee = 2 ND CoC Member = 1				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score
ND CoC Participation	Did agency staff participate in ND CoC meetings in the previous 12 months? *(select one)*	2	Staff participated in 100% of CoC quarterly meetings = 2 Staff participated in at least 50% of CoC quarterly meetings = 1 Staff participated in less than 50% of quarterly meetings = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score
ND CoC Participation	Did agency staff participate in ND CoC monthly trainings in the previous 12 months? *(select one)*	3	Staff participated in 100% of CoC monthly trainings = 3 Staff participated in at least 50% of CoC monthly trainings = 2 Staff participated in less than 50% of CoC monthly trainings = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score
ND CoC Participation	Did the agency participate in the unsheltered PIT Count for 2026? If no, explain why not.	2	Yes = 2 No = 0 Detailed explanation demonstrating reasonable circumstances or barriers to participation = 1				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score
ND CoC Participation	Did the agency submit PIT/HIC sheltered/housing information by the submission due date?	1	Yes = 1 No = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score

Category	Question	Possible Points	Scoring Considerations	CoC Criteria Score	Reviewer s Score	Reviewer s Comments	Source Documentation/ Attachment
ND CoC Priority	Does the project serve geographic areas of the state identified by the ND CoC as underserved or without existing CoC-funded housing/services projects? If yes, identify the region(s) the project will serve and describe how services and housing assistance will be provided within the identified area(s).	5	Serves area with no existing CoC-funded housing/services projects = 5 Expands services in historically underserved region with limited inventory = 3 Does not address identified geographic gap = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application

Category	Question	Possible Points	Scoring Considerations	CoC Criteria Score	Reviewer s Score	Reviewer s Comments	Source Documentation/ Attachment
Client Participation in Project Design	Does the agency engage individuals with lived experience in service design, project implementation, or organizational decision-making through any of the following strategies? (answer each statement; one point per strategy, up to 7 points)	7	7 strategies = 7 6 strategies = 6 5 strategies = 5 4 strategies = 4 3 strategies = 3 2 strategies = 2 1 strategy = 1 0 strategies = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application

PROJECT SPECIFIC SCORING - RENEWAL - HOMELESS MANAGEMENT INFORMATION SYSTEM

Projects will be scored based on HMIS/APR data and the submitted project application. Some criteria are pre-scored based on data and scoring scales. Panelists may adjust pre-scored criteria based on documented project context; however, adjustments may not exceed 20% of the maximum available points for the applicable scoring factor. When using discretion, panelists should keep in mind:

Outcomes may be lower in projects serving higher-acuity populations with severe service needs and vulnerabilities, including persons experiencing chronic homelessness, serious mental illness, substance use disorders, and/or survivors of domestic violence; and

Project size may influence performance percentages, particularly in smaller projects where a limited number of participant outcomes may significantly affect overall percentages.

Category	Question	Possible Points	Scoring Considerations	CoC Criteria Score	Reviewer s Score	Reviewer s Comments	Source Documentation/ Attachment
Project Performance	Does HMIS staff provide timely response and technical assistance to provider requests within five business days?	5	Yes = 5 No = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application

Project Performance	Have there been any data breaches in the past three years? If yes, what safety measures has the organization put in place to ensure there are no data breaches in the future?	5	No breaches = 5 Breach occurred but promptly resolved with corrective action/demonstration = 3 Significant unresolved breach or insufficient response = 0 Detailed and relevant explanation = 2 Limited explanation = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Project Performance	Explain the performance measures the applicant uses to measure HMIS success.	5	Detailed and relevant explanation = 5 Limited explanation = 3 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Project Performance	Explain what data security protections are in place to protect client data (e.g., encryption, user authentication, monitoring, etc.)	5	Detailed and relevant explanation = 5 Limited explanation = 3 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Project Performance	Explain how the organization gathers and uses feedback from end users.	2	Detailed and relevant explanation = 2 Limited explanation = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Project Performance	Explain how the organization monitors and improves data quality across participating agencies.	5	Detailed and relevant explanation = 5 Limited explanation = 3 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Project Performance	Explain how HMIS improved coordination across the Continuum of Care and supported system performance measurement this year.	5	Detailed and relevant explanation = 5 Limited explanation = 3 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Category	**Question**	**Possible Points**	**Scoring Considerations**	**CoC Criteria Score**	**Reviewer s Score**	**Reviewer s Comments**	**Source Documentation/ Attachment**
In this section: **Full Points = Response is detailed, project-specific, and demonstrates a clear operational plan** **Partial Points = Response addresses the topic but lacks sufficient detail or implementation strategy** **Zero Points = Response is missing, unrelated or does not demonstrate an adequate plan**							
Project Design	Provide a description that addresses the entire scope of the proposed project. *(Limit 2,500 characters)*	5	Full Points = 5 Partial Points = 3 Zero Points = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Project Design	Explain how the funds will be expended in a way that furthers the CoC's HMIS implementation and supports the use of HMIS as a proactive case management, coordination, and system performance tool.	2	Full Points = 2 Partial Points = 1 Zero Points = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Project Design	Does the HMIS collect and maintain all required Universal Data Elements in accordance with current HUD HMIS Data Standards?	1	Yes = 1 No = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Project Design	Does HMIS have processes and functionality to identify and resolve duplicate client records?	1	Yes = 1 No = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Project Design	Does HMIS produce all HUD-required reports and provide data necessary for HUD reporting and reporting required by other federal partners?	1	Yes = 1 No = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Category	**Question**	**Possible Points**	**Scoring Considerations**	**CoC Criteria Score**	**Reviewer s Score**	**Reviewer s Comments**	**Source Documentation/ Attachment**
Financial Performance & Monitoring	What percentage of project funds remained unexpended at the end of the FY24 operating year for the grant awarded under Competition Year 2023? If the project had 5% or more of unexpended funds, explain why funds were not expended. If the project did not have a full operating year, explain the projected spend-down date and whether the project is on track for full expenditure of funds. *(Limit 750 characters)*	5	0-1% = 5 2-4% = 3 5% or more = 0 Project did not have a full operating year = N/A Detailed and relevant explanation = 2 Limited explanation = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score & ND CoC Competition Application
Financial Performance & Monitoring	Did the project complete required drawdowns at least quarterly?	2	Yes = 2 No = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score & Intent to Apply
Financial Performance & Monitoring	Is the agency free of HUD monitoring findings for CoC- or ESG-funded projects? If the agency has HUD monitoring findings, explain the findings, if they are resolved, or the plan, including the timeline, to resolve the findings. *(Limit 750 characters)*	2	Yes = 2 No = 0 Findings resolved or corrective action completed with documentation/timeline provided = 2 Findings not fully resolved, but agency demonstrates an active corrective action plan and timeline = 1 Missing, insufficient, or unrelated response = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score & ND CoC Competition Application Attachment: HUD monitoring, if needed
Financial Performance & Monitoring	Did the project fully participate in the ND CoC monitoring process, including promptly responding to requests for information and subsequent correspondence?	2	Yes = 2 No = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score Attachment: ND CoC monitoring, if needed
	Is the agency free of NDHFA monitoring findings for any CoC-, ESG-, or NDHG-funded projects?		Yes = 2 No = 0				

Financial Performance & Monitoring	If the agency has NDHFA monitoring findings, explain the findings, if they are resolved, or the plan, including the timeline, to resolve the findings. *(Limit 750 characters)*	2	Findings resolved or corrective action completed with documentation/timeline provided = 2 Findings not fully resolved, but agency demonstrates an active corrective action plan and timeline = 1 Missing, insufficient, or unrelated response = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score
Category	**Question**	**Possible Points**	**Scoring Considerations**	**CoC Criteria Score**	**Reviewer s Score**	**Reviewer s Comments**	**Source Documentation/ Attachment**
Provider Support & Training	Explain how the organization onboards new agencies and users.	2	Detailed and relevant explanation = 2 Limited explanation = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Provider Support & Training	Explain the organization's ongoing training opportunities, including frequency, format, and topics.	2	Detailed and relevant explanation = 2 Limited explanation = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Provider Support & Training	Explain how training is tailored to different project and program types (e.g., emergency shelter, street outreach, RRH, PSH, SSO, domestic violence, youth, or housing-focused projects).	2	Detailed and relevant explanation = 2 Limited explanation = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Provider Support & Training	Explain how the organization provides technical assistance and corrective support to agencies experiencing data quality, reporting, compliance, or HMIS participation challenges, including support provided to victim service providers using comparable databases, as applicable.	2	Detailed and relevant explanation = 2 Limited explanation = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Provider Support & Training	Explain the top three HMIS challenges among users and how the organization is addressing them.	2	Detailed and relevant explanation = 2 Limited explanation = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Category	**Question**	**Possible Points**	**Scoring Considerations**	**CoC Criteria Score**	**Reviewer s Score**	**Reviewer s Comments**	**Source Documentation/ Attachment**
Coordinated Entry	Explain how HMIS supports Coordinated Entry assessments, prioritization, referrals, and workflow management.	2	Detailed and relevant explanation = 2 Limited explanation = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Coordinated Entry	Explain changes implemented to improve Coordinated Entry workflow and functionality in response to user challenges or feedback.	3	Detailed and relevant explanation = 3 Limited explanation = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application

Application Information	
Applicant Name	
Funding Type (1A)	
Name of Project (1D)	
Amount Requested (1G)	
Consolidate/Expand (1K)	
Project Type (3A)	
100% Dedicated/ DedicatedPLUS (3C)	
Units/Beds (4B)	
Primary Contact	
Email Address	
Phone Number	
UIE Number	

Overview of Scoring		
Summary of Factors	**Possible Points**	**Reviewer s Score**
Agency Wide Scoring	**23**	**0**
ND CoC Participation	11	0
ND CoC Priority	5	0
Client Participation in Project Design	7	0
Project-Specific Scoring	**97**	**0**
Project Performance	34	0
Project Design	36	0
Financial Performance & Monitoring	13	0
HMIS & Data Quality	10	0
Coordinated Entry	4	0
Total Possible Points	**120**	**0**

AGENCY WIDE SCORING

Category	Question	Possible Points	Scoring Considerations	CoC Criteria Score	Reviewer s Score	Reviewer s Comments	Source Documentation/ Attachment
ND CoC Participation	Does the agency participate in the ND CoC and local efforts to address homelessness? (select one)	3	ND CoC member AND member of ND CoC committee AND active participation in local coalition/homeless response efforts = 3 ND CoC member AND member of ND CoC committee = 2 ND CoC Member = 1				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score
ND CoC Participation	Did agency staff participate in ND CoC meetings in the previous 12 months? *(select one)*	2	Staff participated in 100% of CoC quarterly meetings = 2 Staff participated in at least 50% of CoC quarterly meetings = 1 Staff participated in less than 50% of quarterly meetings = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score
ND CoC Participation	Did agency staff participate in ND CoC monthly trainings in the previous 12 months? *(select one)*	3	Staff participated in 100% of CoC monthly trainings = 3 Staff participated in at least 50% of CoC monthly trainings = 2 Staff participated in less than 50% of CoC monthly trainings = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score
ND CoC Participation	Did the agency participate in the unsheltered PIT Count for 2026? If no, explain why not.	2	Yes = 2 No = 0 Detailed explanation demonstrating reasonable circumstances or barriers to participation = 1				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score
ND CoC Participation	Did the agency submit PIT/HIC sheltered/housing information by the submission due date?	1	Yes = 1 No = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score
Category	**Question**	**Possible Points**	**Scoring Considerations**	**CoC Criteria Score**	**Reviewer s Score**	**Reviewer s Comments**	**Source Documentation/ Attachment**
ND CoC Priority	Does the project serve geographic areas of the state identified by the ND CoC as underserved or without existing CoC-funded housing/services projects? If yes, identify the region(s) the project will serve and describe how services and housing assistance will be provided within the identified area(s).	5	Serves area with no existing CoC-funded housing/services projects = 5 Expands services in historically underserved region with limited inventory = 3 Does not address identified geographic gap = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Category	**Question**	**Possible Points**	**Scoring Considerations**	**CoC Criteria Score**	**Reviewer s Score**	**Reviewer s Comments**	**Source Documentation/ Attachment**
Client Participation in Project Design	Does the agency engage individuals with lived experience in service design, project implementation, or organizational decision-making through any of the following strategies? (answer each statement; one point per strategy, up to 7 points)	7	7 strategies = 7 6 strategies = 6 5 strategies = 5 4 strategies = 4 3 strategies = 3 2 strategies = 2 1 strategy = 1 0 strategies = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application

PROJECT SPECIFIC SCORING - RENEWAL - JOINT TRANSITIONAL/RAPID RE-HOUSING

Projects will be scored based on HMIS/APR data and the submitted project application. Some criteria are pre-scored based on data and scoring scales. Panelists may adjust pre-scored criteria based on documented project context; however, adjustments may not exceed 20% of the maximum available points for the applicable scoring factor. When using discretion, panelists should keep in mind:

Outcomes may be lower in projects serving higher-acuity populations with severe service needs and vulnerabilities, including persons experiencing chronic homelessness, serious mental illness, substance use disorders, and/or survivors of domestic violence; and

Project size may influence performance percentages, particularly in smaller projects where a limited number of participant outcomes may significantly affect overall percentages.

Category	Question	Possible Points	Scoring Considerations	CoC Criteria Score	Reviewer s Score	Reviewer s Comments	Source Documentation/ Attachment
Project Performance	What was the project's average bed utilization rate during the operating year, based on APR bed utilization data?	5	85-100% = 5 70-84% = 3 55-69% = 2 Less than 55% = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score

	If the project utilization rate is less than 70%, explain the low utilization rate and how the project will improve this performance measure in the upcoming year. *(Limit 750 characters)*		Detailed and relevant explanation = 2 Limited explanation = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0				Attachment: Annual Performance Report
Project Performance	What percentage of program participants exited to positive or permanent housing destinations, excluding participants who passed away or exited to non-psychiatric hospitals, foster care, long-term care, or nursing homes? *(select one)* If the project is less than 85%, explain the low exits to positive or permanent housing destinations and how the project will improve this performance measure in the upcoming year. *(Limit 750 characters)*	10	95-100% = 10 90-94% = 8 85-89% = 6 80-84% = 4 75-79% = 2 Less than 75% = 0 Detailed and relevant explanation = 4 Limited explanation = 2 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score Attachment: Annual Performance Report
Project Performance	What is the project's median length of stay for participants who exited the project during the operating year? If the project median length of stay is less than 6 months, explain the factors contributing to shorter lengths of stay and how the project supports long-term housing stability and reduced returns to homelessness following project exit. *(Limit 750 characters)*	2	13-24 months = 2 6-12 months = 1 Less than 6 months = 0 Detailed and relevant explanation = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score & ND CoC Competition Application Attachment: Annual Performance Report
Project Performance	What percentage of participants exited to emergency shelter or places not meant for habitation? If the project is more than 10%, explain the high rate of returns to homelessness and how the project will improve this performance measure in the upcoming year. *(Limit 750 characters)*	7	0-5% = 7 6-10% = 5 11-15% = 3 16-20% = 1 More than 20% = 0 Detailed and relevant explanation = 3 Limited explanation = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score Attachment: Annual Performance Report
Project Performance	What percentage of adult stayers/leavers experienced an increase in cash income from project entry to the latest annual assessment or project exit, excluding stayers not yet required to complete an annual assessment? *(select one)* If the project is less than 40%, explain the low percentage of stayers/leavers with increased cash income and how the project will improve this performance measure in the upcoming year. *(Limit 750 characters)*	5	55% or higher = 5 40-54% = 4 30-39% = 3 20-29% = 2 15-19% = 1 Less than 15% = 0 Detailed and relevant explanation = 2 Limited explanation = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score Attachment: Annual Performance Report
Project Performance	What percentage of participants had at least one non-cash benefit at exit or follow-up assessment, excluding stayers not yet required to have an assessment? *(select one)* If the project is less than 40%, explain the low percentage of participants with at least one non-cash benefit and how the project will improve this performance measure in the upcoming year. *(Limit 750 characters)*	5	60% or higher = 5 40-59% = 3 Less than 40% = 0 Detailed and relevant explanation = 2 Limited explanation = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score Attachment: Annual Performance Report

Category	Question	Possible Points	Scoring Considerations	CoC Criteria Score	Reviewer s Score	Reviewer s Comments	Source Documentation/ Attachment
In this section: **Full Points = Response is detailed, project-specific, and demonstrates a clear operational plan** **Partial Points = Response addresses the topic but lacks sufficient detail or implementation strategy** **Zero Points = Response is missing, unrelated or does not demonstrate an adequate plan**							
Project Design	Provide a description that addresses the project's scope, including the target population and the plan for addressing the needs of the target population. *(Limit 2,500 characters)*	5	Full Points = 5 Partial Points = 3 Zero Points = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Project Design	Describe the project's supportive services and case management approach for participants. Include, as applicable to the project design: types of services provided (e.g., housing navigation, mainstream benefit assistance, SSI/SSDI assistance, behavioral health or substance use coordination, trauma-informed care, employment support, transportation assistance, etc.), frequency of participant engagement, population-specific services (e.g., youth, domestic violence, chronic homelessness), staff-to-participant ratio, coordination with community-based services, follow-up after project exit, and other pertinent operational information related to the project. (Limit 2,500 characters)	15	Full Points = 15 Partial Points = 8 Zero Points = 0				Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Project Design	Explain how the project will make both Transitional Housing and Rapid Re-Housing assistance available to participants, how participants may choose which assistance to use, and whether any TH or PH-RRH units are funded by non-CoC sources?	5	Full Points = 5 Partial Points = 3 Zero Points = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Project Design	What percentage of participants served by the project were enrolled from unsheltered locations, emergency shelter, or situations involving fleeing/attempting to flee domestic violence?	1	85% or higher of participants served were enrolled from unsheltered locations, emergency shelter, or situations involving fleeing/attempting to flee domestic violence = 1 Less than 85% of participants served were enrolled from unsheltered locations, emergency shelter, or situations involving fleeing/attempting to flee domestic violence = 0				Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Project Design	Does the project attempt to follow up with participants at least semi-annually after project exit to ensure participants maintain access to mainstream benefits and community resources for up to 2 years?	2	Yes = 2 No = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application

Project Design	Are annual assessments completed within required HMIS and program timelines for participants who remain enrolled in the project?	2	Yes = 2 No = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Project Design	Describe the project's supportive service participation policies and participant engagement expectations, including how the project communicates, documents, and implements any required supportive service participation in accordance with 24 CFR 578.75(h), as applicable to the project design. Include any supportive service agreements, participation expectations, or participant engagement strategies utilized by the project. *(Limit 1,500 characters)*	3	Full Points = Response clearly describes participant engagement expectations, supportive service participation requirements (if applicable), and how participation is documented and implemented in accordance with project policies and 24 CFR 578.75(h) = 3 Partial Points = Response addresses supportive service participation policies or participant engagement expectations but lacks sufficient operational detail = 1 Zero Points = Response is missing, unrelated, or does not adequately address supportive service participation policies or requirements = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Project Design	Explain how the project will address the behavioral health and substance use service needs of participants, including whether treatment requirements apply, if applicable. Include any applicable project policies, occupancy agreements, referral partnerships, or service coordination strategies. (Limit 2,500 characters) If treatment participation is required, attach applicable occupancy agreements or policies.	3	Full Points = 3 Partial Points = 1 Zero Points = 0	N/A			Source: ND CoC Competition Application Attachment: Agreement(s)

Category	Question	Possible Points	Scoring Considerations	CoC Criteria Score	Reviewer s Score	Reviewer s Comments	Source Documentation/ Attachment
Financial Performance & Monitoring	What percentage of project funds remained unexpended at the end of the FY24 operating year for the grant awarded under Competition Year 2023? If the project had 5% or more of unexpended funds, explain why funds were not expended. If the project did not have a full operating year, explain the projected spend-down date and whether the project is on track for full expenditure of funds. *(Limit 750 characters)*	5	0-1% = 5 2-4% = 3 5% or more = 0 Project did not have a full operating year = N/A Detailed and relevant explanation = 2 Limited explanation = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated explanation = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score & ND CoC Competition Application
Financial Performance & Monitoring	Did the project complete required drawdowns at least quarterly?	2	Yes = 2 No = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score & Intent to Apply
Financial Performance & Monitoring	Is the agency free of HUD monitoring findings for CoC- or ESG-funded projects? If the agency has HUD monitoring findings, explain the findings, if they are resolved, or the plan, including the timeline, to resolve the findings. *(Limit 750 characters)*	2	Yes = 2 No = 0 Findings resolved or corrective action completed with documentation/timeline provided = 2 Findings not fully resolved, but agency demonstrates an active corrective action plan and timeline = 1 Missing, insufficient, or unrelated response = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score & ND CoC Competition Application Attachment: HUD monitoring, if needed
Financial Performance & Monitoring	Did the project fully participate in the ND CoC monitoring process, including promptly responding to requests for information and subsequent correspondence?	2	Yes = 2 No = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score Attachment: ND CoC monitoring, if needed
Financial Performance & Monitoring	Is the agency free of NDHFA monitoring findings for any CoC-, ESG-, or NDHG-funded projects? If the agency has NDHFA monitoring findings, explain the findings, if they are resolved, or the plan, including the timeline, to resolve the findings. *(Limit 750 characters)*	2	Yes = 2 No = 0 Findings resolved or corrective action completed with documentation/timeline provided = 2 Findings not fully resolved, but agency demonstrates an active corrective action plan and timeline = 1 Missing, insufficient, or unrelated response = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score

Category	Question	Possible Points	Scoring Considerations	CoC Criteria Score	Reviewer s Score	Reviewer s Comments	Source Documentation/ Attachment
HMIS & Data Quality	Does the project enter at least 90% of HMIS project start records within 10 days of participant project start dates? If less than 90% of HMIS project start records were entered within 10 days, explain the barriers contributing to delayed data entry and describe the steps the agency will take to improve HMIS data entry timeliness. *(Limit 750 characters)*	2	90-100% of records entered within 10 days = 2 Less than 90% of records entered within 10 days = 0 Detailed explanation and improvement plan = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated response = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score Attachment: Annual Performance Report
HMIS & Data Quality	Does the project maintain a combined HMIS data quality error rate of less than 3%? If the project has 6% or higher, explain why and how the agency will improve data completeness. *(Limit 750 characters)*	2	Less than 3% = 2 3-5.99% = 1 6% or higher = 0 Detailed explanation and improvement plan = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated response = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score Attachment: Annual Performance Report
HMIS & Data Quality	What is the average number of days between the project start date and the housing move-in date? If the project has 22 days or more, explain the delay between project start and housing move-in and how the agency will improve housing placement timeliness. *(Limit 750 characters)*	3	7 days or less = 3 8-14 days = 2 15-21 days = 1 22 days or more = 0 Detailed explanation and improvement plan = 2 Unacceptable/unrelated response = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score & ND CoC Competition Application Attachment: Annual Performance Report

HMIS & Data Quality	What is the project's total destination error rate? If the project has a destination error rate of 10% or higher, explain the causes of the errors and how the agency will improve data accuracy. *(Limit 750 characters)*	3	0-9% = 3 10% or higher = 0 Detailed explanation and improvement plan = 2 Unacceptable/unrelated response = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score & ND CoC Competition Application Attachment: Annual Performance Report
Category	**Question**	**Possible Points**	**Scoring Considerations**	**CoC Criteria Score**	**Reviewer s Score**	**Reviewer s Comments**	**Source Documentation/ Attachment**
Coordinated Entry	Does the project fill all available units through the Coordinated Entry Prioritization List in accordance with ND CoC Coordinated Entry policies and HUD requirements?	2	Yes = 2 No = 0				Source: ND CoC Competition Application
Coordinated Entry	What percentage of Coordinated Entry referrals were rejected by the project? If the project rejection rate is 20% or higher, explain the reasons for the rejected referrals and how the agency will improve referral acceptance and placement outcomes. *(Limit 750 characters)*	2	Less than 15% = 2 15-19% = 1 20% or higher = 0 Detailed explanation and improvement plan = 1 Unacceptable/unrelated response = 0				Source: ND CoC Criteria Score & ND CoC Competition Application Attachment: HMIS CE Referral Report

Tier	Rank	Applicant Name	Project Name	Project Component	Funding Type *	Total Score	Average	Available Points	Percent **	Amount Requested	Grant Inventory Worksheet	Recommended Funding	Reduction Amount	Reallocated Funds	Project Accepted/Rejected
1	1	Institute for Community Alliances	HMIS	HMIS	Renewal	457.0	91.4	93.0	98%	$ 241,271.00	$ 241,271.00	$ 241,271.00	$ -		Accepted
1	2	Institute for Community Alliances	ND Renewal YHDP Project Application	HMIS	Renewal	413.0	82.6	93.0	89%	$ 54,600.00	$ 54,600.00	$ 54,600.00	$ -		Accepted
1	3	Mountain Plains Youth Services Coalition	YHDP TH/RRH Minot	Joint TH-RRH	Renewal	500.0	100.0	120.0	83%	$ 314,372.00	$ 314,372.00	$ 314,372.00	$ -		Accepted
1	4	YWCA Cass Clay	YWCA Cass Clay DV	Joint TH-RRH	Renewal	501.0	100.2	120.0	84%	$ 393,836.00	$ 393,836.00	$ 393,836.00	$ -		Accepted
1	5	Institute for Community Alliances	ND CE SSO Renewal Project	SSO-CE	Renewal	485.0	97.0	121.0	80%	$ 81,900.00	$ 81,900.00	$ 81,900.00	$ -		Accepted
1	7	Mountain Plains Youth Services Coalition	YHDP SSO	SSO	Renewal	413.0	82.6	106.0	78%	$ 108,372.00	$ 108,372.00	$ 108,372.00	$ -		Accepted
1	6	YWCA Cass Clay	YWCA Cass Clay Transitional Housing	TH	Renewal	456.0	91.2	115.0	79%	$ 225,284.00	$ 225,284.00	$ 225,284.00	$ -		Accepted
1	8	Pathfinder Services of ND	YHDP Pathways to Partnership	SSO	Renewal	408.0	81.6	106.0	77%	$ 219,794.00	$ 219,794.00	$ 219,794.00	$ -		Accepted
1	9	Grand Forks Housing Authority	FY26 Region IV SSO	SSO	Renewal	405.0	81.0	106.0	76%	$ 186,878.00	$ 186,878.00	$ 186,878.00	$ -		Accepted
1	10	Mountain Plains Youth Services Coalition	YHDP TH/RRH Fargo	Joint TH-RRH	Renewal	456.0	91.2	120.0	76%	$ 135,787.00	$ 135,787.00	$ 135,787.00	$ -		Accepted
1	11	Grand Forks Housing Authority	FY26 Grand Forks SSO	SSO	Renewal	400.0	80.0	106.0	75%	$ 97,678.00	$ 97,678.00	$ 55,544.60	$ -		Accepted
2		Grand Forks Housing Authority	FY26 Grand Forks SSO	SSO	Renewal	400.0	80.0	106.0	75%	$ 97,678.00	$ 97,678.00	$ 42,133.40			Accepted
2	12	YWCA Cass Clay	YWCA Cass Clay SHP Consolidated	PH-PSH	Renewal	392.0	78.4	114.0	69%	$ 155,258.00	$ 155,258.00	$ 155,258.00	$ -		Accepted
2	13	Domestic Violence & Rape Crisis Center	SW ND Housing Project	TH	Renewal	384.0	76.8	115.0	67%	$ 39,197.00	$ 39,197.00	$ 39,197.00	$ -		Accepted
2	14	Grand Forks Housing Authority	FY26 YHDP Reg. IV System Navigation	SSO	Renewal	357.0	71.4	106.0	67%	$ 218,112.00	$ 218,112.00	$ 218,112.00	$ -		Accepted
2	15	Abused Adult Resource Center	Rapid Rehousing (Hope Stability/Bismarck)	PH-RRH	Renewal	377.0	75.4	115.0	66%	$ 236,112.00	$ 236,112.00	$ 236,112.00	$ -		Accepted
2	16	Fargo Housing and Redevelopment Authority	RASHP Renewal FY26	PH-PSH	Renewal	368.0	73.6	114.0	65%	$ 322,150.00	$ 322,150.00	$ 322,150.00	$ -		Accepted
2	17	Mountain Plains Youth Services Coalition	CoC Joint TH/RRH Bismarck	Joint TH-RRH	Renewal	450.0	90.0	120.0	75%	$ 105,221.00	$ 105,221.00	$ 105,221.00	$ -		Accepted
2	18	United Way of Grand Forks, East Grand Forks, & Area	Families First - RRH	PH-RRH	New Bonus/Reallocation	447.0	89.4	112.0	80%	$ 50,000.00	$ -	$ 135,186.00	$ -	$ 46,019.00	Accepted
2	19	United Way of Grand Forks, East Grand Forks, & Area	Families First - SSO	SSO	New Bonus/Reallocation	385.0	77.0	106.0	73%	$ 50,000.00	$ -	$ 135,186.00	$ -	$ 46,019.00	Accepted
2	20	Ministry on the Margins	Coordinated Care Across the Margins	SSO	New Bonus/Reallocation	374.0	74.8	106.0	71%	$ 287,000.00	$ -	$ 372,095.00	$ -	$ 46,019.00	Accepted
2	21	Abused Adult Resource Center	DZ Hope House	TH	Renewal	349.0	69.8	115.0	61%	$ 83,561.00	$ 83,561.00	$ 83,561.00	$ -		Accepted
2	22	Abused Adult Resource Center	Bonnie's Apartments	PH-PSH	Renewal	339.0	67.8	114.0	59%	$ 5,291.00	$ 5,291.00	$ 5,291.00	$ -		Accepted
		Missouri Slope Areawide United Way	Center for Opportunity - SSO	SSO	New Bonus/Reallocation	333.0	66.6	106.0	63%	$ 150,000.00	$ -		$ -		Rejected
		Pathfinder Services of ND	PBC Family Rapid Rehousing Program	PH-RRH	New Bonus/Reallocation	337.0	67.4	112.0	60%	$ 200,000.00	$ -		$ -		Rejected
		Missouri Slope Areawide United Way	Center for Opportunity - PH-RRH	PH-RRH	New Bonus/Reallocation	303.0	60.6	112.0	54%	$ 100,000.00	$ -		$ -		Rejected
		The Salvation Army, Grand Forks	Pathway of Hope SSO	SSO	New Bonus/Reallocation	286.0	57.2	106.0	54%	$ 75,000.00	$ -		$ -		Rejected
		Presentation Partners in Housing	Region 5 Centralized Access	SSO-CE	New Bonus/Reallocation	443.0	88.6	114.0	78%	$ 209,480.00	$ -		$ -		Rejected
		Lake Region Community Shelter/Amachi	LRCS	SSO	New Bonus/Reallocation	378.0	75.6	106.0	71%	$ 122,000.00	$ -		$ -		Rejected
		Fraser, Ltd.	Fraser, Ltd. Butler House	PH-PSH	Renewal	419.0	83.8	114.0	74%	$ 138,057.00	$ 138,057.00	$ -	$ (138,057.00)		Fully Reallocated

2026 HDX Competition Report

This workbook contains summary information about your CoC's data as it was entered into the HDX for your use as part of the 2026 Competition.

To Print this Workbook:

This document has been configured as printable with preset print areas of relevant sections. To print it, go to "File", then "Print", then select "Print Entire Workbook" or "Print Active Sheets" depending on your needs.

To Save This Workbook as a PDF:

Click the "File" Tab, then click "Save As" or "Save a Copy", then click "Browse" or "More Options" then select "PDF", click "Options", select "Entire Workbook", press "OK", and click "Save". These instructions may differ depending on your version of Microsoft Excel.

On Accessibility, Navigability, and Printability:

This workbook attempts to maximize accessibility, navigability, printability, and ease of use. Merged cells have been avoided. All tables and text boxes have been given names. Extraneous rows and columns outside printed ranges have been hidden.

For Questions:

If you have questions, please reach out to HUD via the "Ask a Question" page, https://www.hudexchange.info/program-support/my-question/ and choose "HDX" as the topic.

2026 Competition Report - Summary

ND-500 - North Dakota Statewide CoC

HDX Data Submission Participation Information

Government FY and HDX Module Abbreviation	Met Module Deadline*	Data From	Data Collection Period in HDX
2025 LSA	Yes	Government FY 2025 (10/1/24 - 9/30/25).	November 2025 to January 2026
2025 SPM	Yes	Government FY 2025 (10/1/24 - 9/30/25).**	February 2026 to March 2026
2026 HIC	Yes	Government FY 2026. Exact HIC and PIT dates vary by CoC. For most CoCs, it was within the last 10 days of January, 2026.	March 2026 to April 2026
2026 PIT	Yes	Government FY 2026. Exact HIC and PIT dates vary by CoC. For most CoCs, it was within the last 10 days of January, 2026.	March 2026 to April 2026

1) FY = Fiscal Year
2) *This considers all extensions where they were provided.
3) **"Met Deadline" in this context refers to FY25 SPM submissions. Resubmissions from FY24 (10/1/2023 - 9/30/2024) were also accepted during the data collection period, but the previous year's submission is voluntary.

2026 Competition Report - LSA Summary & Usability Status

ND-500 - North Dakota Statewide CoC

FY 2025 Reporting Year: 10/1/2024 - 9/30/2025

LSA Usability Status 2025

Category	EST AO	EST AC	EST CO	RRH AO	RRH AC	RRH CO	PSH AO	PSH AC*	PSH CO
Fully Usable	☑	☑	☑	☑	☑	☑	☑	N/A	☑
Partially Usable								N/A	
Not Usable								N/A	

Glossary: EST = Emergency Shelter, Save Haven, & Transitional Housing; RRH = Rapid Re-housing; PSH = Permanent Supportive Housing; AO = Persons in Households without Children; AC = Persons in Households with at least one Adult and one Child; CO=Persons in Households with only Children
*Usability was not determined for PSH-AC due to built-in conflicts between HOMES and HMIS. As

2026 Competition Report - SPM Data

ND-500 - North Dakota Statewide CoC

FY 2025 Reporting Year: 10/1/2024 - 9/30/2025

Measure 1: Length of Time Persons Remain Homeless

This measures the number of clients active in the report date range across ES, SH (Metric 1.1) and then ES, SH and TH (Metric 1.2) along with their average and median length of time homeless. This includes time homeless during the report date range as well as prior to the report start date, going back no further than the look back stop date or client's date of birth, whichever is later.

Metric 1.1: Change in the average and median length of time persons are homeless in ES and SH projects.

Metric 1.2: Change in the average and median length of time persons are homeless in ES, SH, and TH projects.

a. This measure is of the client’s entry, exit, and bed night dates strictly as entered in the HMIS system.

Metric	Universe (Persons)	Average LOT Homeless (bed nights)	Median LOT Homeless (bed nights)
1.1 Persons in ES-EE, ES-NbN, and SH	2,200	75.4	37.0
1.2 Persons in ES-EE, ES-NbN, SH, and TH	2,217	76.8	38.0

2026 Competition Report - SPM Data

ND-500 - North Dakota Statewide CoC

FY 2025 Reporting Year: 10/1/2024 - 9/30/2025

b. This measure is based on data element 3.917

This measure includes data from each client's Living Situation (Data Standards element 3.917) response as well as time spent in permanent housing projects between Project Start and Housing Move-In. This information is added to the client's entry date, effectively extending the client's entry date backward in time. This "adjusted entry date" is then used in the calculations just as if it were the client's actual entry date.

Metric	Universe (Persons)	Average LOT Homeless (bed nights)	Median LOT Homeless (bed nights)
1.1 Persons in ES-EE, ES-NbN, SH, and PH (prior to "housing move in")	2,465	388.6	115.0
1.2 Persons in ES-EE, ES-NbN, SH, TH, and PH (prior to "housing move in")	2,481	387.8	115.0

2026 Competition Report - SPM Data

ND-500 - North Dakota Statewide CoC

FY 2025 Reporting Year: 10/1/2024 - 9/30/2025

Measure 2: Returns to Homelessness for Persons who Exit to Permanent Housing (PH) Destinations

This measures clients who exited SO, ES, TH, SH or PH to a permanent housing destination in the date range two years prior to the report date range. Of those clients, the measure reports on how many of them returned to homelessness as indicated in the HMIS for up to two years after their initial exit.

	Total # of Persons Exited to a PH Destination (2 Yrs Prior)	Returns to Homelessness in Less than 6 Months (0 - 180 days)		Returns to Homelessness from 6 to 12 Months (181 - 365 days)		Returns to Homelessness from 13 to 24 Months (366 - 730 days)		Number of Returns in 2 Years	
Metric	**Count**	**Count**	**% of Returns**	**Count**	**% of Returns**	**Count**	**% of Returns**	**Count**	**% of Returns**
Exit was from SO	16	0	0.0%	1	6.3%	0	0.0%	1	6.3%
Exit was from ES	513	124	24.2%	37	7.2%	38	7.4%	199	38.8%
Exit was from TH	3	0	0.0%	1	33.3%	0	0.0%	1	33.3%
Exit was from SH	14	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Exit was from PH	225	13	5.8%	12	5.3%	10	4.4%	35	15.6%
TOTAL Returns to Homelessness	771	137	17.8%	51	6.6%	48	6.2%	236	30.6%

2026 Competition Report - SPM Data

ND-500 - North Dakota Statewide CoC

FY 2025 Reporting Year: 10/1/2024 - 9/30/2025

Measure 3: Number of Homeless Persons

Metric 3.1 – Change in PIT Counts

Please refer to PIT section for relevant data.

Metric 3.2 – Change in Annual Counts

This measures the change in annual counts of sheltered homeless persons in HMIS.

Metric	Value
Universe: Unduplicated Total sheltered homeless persons	2,239
Emergency Shelter Total	2,215
Safe Haven Total	0
Transitional Housing Total	35

2026 Competition Report - SPM Data

ND-500 - North Dakota Statewide CoC

FY 2025 Reporting Year: 10/1/2024 - 9/30/2025

Measure 4: Employment and Income Growth for Homeless Persons in CoC Program-funded Projects

This measure is divided into six tables capturing employment and non-employment income changes for system leavers and stayers. The project types reported in these metrics are the same for each metric, but the type of income and universe of clients differs. In addition, the projects reported within these tables are limited to CoC-funded projects.

Metric 4.1 – Change in earned income for adult system stayers during the reporting period

Metric	Value
Universe: Number of adults (system stayers)	44
Number of adults with increased earned income	2
Percentage of adults who increased earned income	4.6%

2026 Competition Report - SPM Data

ND-500 - North Dakota Statewide CoC

FY 2025 Reporting Year: 10/1/2024 - 9/30/2025

Metric 4.2 – Change in non-employment cash income for adult system stayers during the reporting period

Metric	Value
Universe: Number of adults (system stayers)	44
Number of adults with increased non-employment cash income	8
Percentage of adults who increased non-employment cash income	18.2%

Metric 4.3 – Change in total income for adult system stayers during the reporting period

Metric	Value
Universe: Number of adults (system stayers)	44
Number of adults with increased total income	9
Percentage of adults who increased total income	20.5%

Metric 4.4 – Change in earned income for adult system leavers

Metric	Value
Universe: Number of adults who exited (system leavers)	58
Number of adults who exited with increased earned income	8
Percentage of adults who increased earned income	13.8%

2026 Competition Report - SPM Data

ND-500 - North Dakota Statewide CoC

FY 2025 Reporting Year: 10/1/2024 - 9/30/2025

Metric 4.5 – Change in non-employment cash income for adult system leavers

Metric	Value
Universe: Number of adults who exited (system leavers)	58
Number of adults who exited with increased non-employment cash income	15
Percentage of adults who increased non-employment cash income	25.9%

Metric 4.6 – Change in total income for adult system leavers

Metric	Value
Universe: Number of adults who exited (system leavers)	58
Number of adults who exited with increased total income	20
Percentage of adults who increased total income	34.5%

2026 Competition Report - SPM Data

ND-500 - North Dakota Statewide CoC

FY 2025 Reporting Year: 10/1/2024 - 9/30/2025

Measure 5: Number of Persons who Become Homeless for the First Time

This measures the number of people entering the homeless system through ES, SH, or TH (Metric 5.1) or ES, SH, TH, or PH (Metric 5.2) and determines whether they have any prior enrollments in the HMIS over the past two years. Those with no prior enrollments are considered to be experiencing homelessness for the first time.

Metric 5.1 – Change in the number of persons entering ES, SH, and TH projects with no prior enrollments in HMIS

Metric	Value
Universe: Person with entries into ES-EE, ES-NbN, SH or TH during the reporting period.	2,063
Of persons above, count those who were in ES-EE, ES-NbN, SH, TH or any PH within 24 months prior to their entry during the reporting year.	761
Of persons above, count those who did not have entries in ES-EE, ES-NbN, SH, TH or PH in the previous 24 months. (i.e. Number of persons experiencing homelessness for the first time)	1,302

2026 Competition Report - SPM Data

ND-500 - North Dakota Statewide CoC

FY 2025 Reporting Year: 10/1/2024 - 9/30/2025

Metric 5.2 – Change in the number of persons entering ES, SH, TH, and PH projects with no prior enrollments in HMIS

Metric	Value
Universe: Person with entries into ES, SH, TH or PH during the reporting period.	2,322
Of persons above, count those who were in ES, SH, TH or any PH within 24 months prior to their entry during the reporting year.	818
Of persons above, count those who did not have entries in ES, SH, TH or PH in the previous 24 months. (i.e. Number of persons experiencing homelessness for the first time.)	1,504

2026 Competition Report - SPM Data

ND-500 - North Dakota Statewide CoC

FY 2025 Reporting Year: 10/1/2024 - 9/30/2025

Measure 6: Homeless Prevention and Housing Placement of Persons defined by category 3 of HUD's Homeless Definition in CoC Program-funded Projects

Measure 6 is not applicable to CoCs in this reporting period.

Measure 7: Successful Placement from Street Outreach and Successful Placement in or Retention of Permanent Housing

This measures positive movement out of the homeless system and is divided into three tables: movement off the streets from Street Outreach (Metric 7a.1); movement into permanent housing situations from ES, SH, TH, and RRH (Metric 7b.1); and retention or exits to permanent housing situations from PH (other than PH-RRH).

Metric 7a.1 – Change in SO exits to temp. destinations, some institutional destinations, and permanent housing destinations

Metric	Value
Universe: Persons who exit Street Outreach	142
Of persons above, those who exited to temporary & some institutional destinations	6
Of the persons above, those who exited to permanent housing destinations	9
% Successful exits	10.6%

2026 Competition Report - SPM Data

ND-500 - North Dakota Statewide CoC

FY 2025 Reporting Year: 10/1/2024 - 9/30/2025

Metric 7b.1 – Change in ES, SH, TH, and PH-RRH exits to permanent housing destinations

Metric	Value
Universe: Persons in ES-EE, ES-NbN, SH, TH and PH-RRH who exited, plus persons in other PH projects who exited without moving into housing	2,060
Of the persons above, those who exited to permanent housing destinations	578
% Successful exits	28.1%

Metric 7b.2 – Change in PH exits to permanent housing destinations or retention of permanent housing

Metric	Value
Universe: Persons in all PH projects except PH-RRH who exited after moving into housing, or who moved into housing and remained in the PH project	276
Of persons above, those who remained in applicable PH projects and those who exited to permanent housing destinations	229
% Successful exits/retention	83.0%

2026 Competition Report - SPM Data

ND-500 - North Dakota Statewide CoC

FY 2025 Reporting Year: 10/1/2024 - 9/30/2025

System Performance Measures Data Quality

Data coverage and quality will allow HUD to better interpret your SPM submissions.

Metric	All ES, SH	All TH	All PSH, OPH	All RRH	All Street Outreach
Unduplicated Persons Served (HMIS)	2,227	35	393	362	192
Total Leavers (HMIS)	1,914	35	146	247	123
Destination of Don't Know, Refused, or Missing (HMIS)	1,035	2	33	25	103
Destination Error Rate (Calculated)	54.1%	5.7%	22.6%	10.1%	83.7%

2026 Competition Report - SPM Notes

ND-500 - North Dakota Statewide CoC

FY 2025 Reporting Year: 10/1/2024 - 9/30/2025

Notes For Each SPM Measure

Measure	Notes
Measure 1	No notes.
Measure 2	No notes.
Measure 3	No notes.
Measure 4	No notes.
Measure 5	No notes.
Measure 6	No Notes. Measure 6 was not applicable to CoCs in this reporting period.
Measure 7	No notes.
Data Quality	No notes.

2026 Competition Report - HIC Summary

ND-500 - North Dakota Statewide CoC

For HIC conducted in January/February of 2026

HMIS Bed Coverage Rates

Project Type	Total Year Round, Current Beds	Total Year Round, Current Non-VSP Beds in HMIS or Comparable Database	Total Year Round, Current, Non VSP Beds	Removed From Denominator: OPH EHV† Beds or Beds Affected by Natural Disaster*	Adjusted*** Total Year Round, Current, Non VSP Beds	Adjusted*** HMIS Bed Coverage Rate for Year-Round, Current Beds
ES	624	356	367	0	367	97.0%
SH	0	0	0	0	0	NA
TH	158	0	0	0	0	NA
RRH	173	96	96	0	96	100.0%
PSH	543	239	515	0	515	46.4%
OPH	96	23	96	73	23	100.0%
Total	**1,594**	**714**	**1,074**	**73**	**1,001**	**71.3%**

2026 Competition Report

ND-500 - North Dakota Statew

For HIC conducted in January/

HMIS Bed Coverage Rates

Project Type	Total Year Round, Current Beds	Total Year Round, Current, VSP Beds in an HMIS Comparable Database	Total Year Round, Current, VSP Beds	Removed From Denominator: OPH EHV† Beds or Beds Affected by Natural Disaster**	Adjusted*** Total Year Round Current, VSP Beds	HMIS Comparable Bed Coverage Rate for VSP Beds
ES	624	257	257	0	257	100.00%
SH	0	0	0	0	0	NA
TH	158	158	158	0	158	100.00%
RRH	173	77	77	0	77	100.00%
PSH	543	28	28	0	28	100.00%
OPH	96	0	0	0	0	NA
Total	**1,594**	**520**	**520**	**0**	**520**	**100.00%**

2026 Competition Report

ND-500 - North Dakota Statew

For HIC conducted in January/

HMIS Bed Coverage Rates

Project Type	Total Year Round, Current Beds	Total Year Round, Current, HMIS Beds and VSP Beds in an HMIS-Comparable Database	Adjusted*** Total Year-Round, Current, Non-VSP and VSP Beds	HMIS and Comparable Database Coverage Rate
ES	624	613	624	98.24%
SH	0	0	0	NA
TH	158	158	158	100.00%
RRH	173	173	173	100.00%
PSH	543	267	543	49.17%
OPH	96	23	23	100.00%
Total	**1,594**	**1,234**	**1,521**	**81.13%**

2026 Competition Report - HIC Summary

ND-500 - North Dakota Statewide CoC

For HIC conducted in January/February of 2026

1) † EHV = Emergency Housing Voucher
2) *This column includes Current, Year-Round, Natural Disaster beds not associated with a VSP that are not HMIS-participating. For OPH Beds, this includes beds that are Current, Non-HMIS, and EHV-funded.
3) **This column includes Current, Year-Round, Natural Disaster beds associated with a VSP that are not HMIS-participating or HMIS-comparable database participating. For OPH Beds, this includes beds that are Current, VSP, Non-HMIS, and EHV-funded.
4) ***Adjusted bed counts exclude non-HMIS participating OPH EHV Beds and Beds Affected by Natural Disaster
5) Data included in these tables reflect what was entered into HDX 2.0.
6) In the HIC, "Year-Round Beds" is the sum of "Beds HH w/o Children", "Beds HH w/ Children", and "Beds HH w/ only Children". This does not include Overflow ("O/V Beds") or Seasonal Beds ("Total Seasonal Beds").
7) In the HIC, "Current" beds are beds with an "Inventory Type" of "C" and not beds that are Under Development ("Inventory Type" of "U").
8) For historical data: Aggregated data from CoCs that merged are not displayed if HIC data were created separately - that is, only data from the CoC into which the merge occurred are displayed. Additional reports can be requested via AAQ for any CoCs that have been subsumed into other CoCs.

2026 Competition Report - PIT Summary

ND-500 - North Dakota Statewide CoC

For PIT conducted in January/February of 2026

Submission Information

Date of PIT Count	Received HUD Waiver
01/28/2026	Not Applicable

Total Population PIT Count Data

Category	2024	2025	2026
PIT Count Type	Sheltered and Unsheltered Count	Sheltered and Unsheltered Count	Sheltered and Unsheltered Count
Emergency Shelter Total	544	531	536
Safe Haven Total	0	0	0
Transitional Housing Total	131	164	124
Total Sheltered Count	675	695	660
Total Unsheltered Count	190	166	204
Total Sheltered and Unsheltered Count*	865	861	864

1) *Data included in this table reflect what was entered into HDX 2.0. This may differ from what was included in federal reports if the PIT count type was sheltered only.
2) Aggregated data from CoCs that merged is not displayed if PIT data were entered separately - that is, only data from the CoC into which the merge occurred are displayed. Additional reports can be requested via AAQ for any CoCs that have been subsumed into other CoCs.

Total People Experiencing Chronic Homelessness

Category	2024	2025	2026
PIT Count Type	Sheltered and Unsheltered Count	Sheltered and Unsheltered Count	Sheltered and Unsheltered Count
Total Sheltered Count	72	159	133
Total Unsheltered Count	44	50	69
Total	116	209	202